# 2012 consultation on changes to the

## **Building Regulations in Wales**

Part L (Conservation of fuel and power)

	sultation oonse Form	Your name: Mr B M Amphlett  Organisation (if applicable): The Builders Merchants' Federation Ltd	
	e.	email / (elephone markets / 1026/1940) 1753	
(i)		expressed on this consultation an official response from to you represent or your own personal views?  Personal Views	he
(ii)	Yes No Name of group	rs expressed on this consultation in connection with your nany group? If yes please state name of group:  The state of the	nembership
(iii)	Please tick the	e one box that best describes your organisation:	. 8
Builde Builde (exter Install	ers/Developers: er / Main contract er/ Small builder: esions/repairs/ma er/ special sub-conercial developer e builder	tor:  Housing association (registered social landlord)  Residential landlord, private sector  Commercial	

Building occupier: Home owner		Building Control Bodies:  Local authority building control	
Tenant (residential)  Commercial Building		Approved Inspector	
Energy Sector		Fire and Rescue Authority	
Designers/Engineers/Surveyor  Architect  Civil/Structural engineer  Building services engineer  Surveyor	rs:	Specific Interest:  Competent person scheme operator  National representative or trade body  Professional body or institution  Research/ academic	

Man	ufacturer/ Supply Chain	Other (please specify)
(iv)	Please tick the one box which best desc business?	cribes the size of your or your organisation's
	Micro – typically 0 to 9 full-time or equivale	nt employees (incl. sole traders)
	Small – typically 10 to 49 full-time or equiva	alent employees
	Medium – typically 50 to 249 full-time or ed	uivalent employees
	Large – typically 250+ full-time or equivalent	nt employees
	None of the above (please specify)	
(vi)	Are you or your organisation a member	of a competent person scheme?
	Yes No x	
	Name of scheme:	
(vii)	Would you be happy for us to contact yo consultation?	ou again in relation to this
	Yes ✓ No	

WG will process any personal information that you provide us with in accordance with the data protection principles in the Data Protection Act 1998. In particular, we shall protect all responses containing personal information by means of all appropriate technical security measures and ensure that they are only accessible to those with an operational need to see them. You should, however, be aware that as a public body, the Welsh Government is subject to the requirements of the Freedom of Information Act 2000, and may receive requests for all responses to this consultation. If such requests are received we shall take all steps to anonymise responses that we disclose, by stripping them of the specifically personal data – name and e-mail address – you supply in responding to this consultation. If, however, you consider that any of the responses that you provide to this survey would be likely to identify you irrespective of the removal of your overt personal data, then we should be grateful if you would indicate that, and the likely reasons, in your response, for example in the relevant comments box.

## Questions:

#### **New homes**

1.	Do you agree with the Government's preference for a $CO_2$ saving of 40% reduction in carbon dioxide emissions compared to Part L 2010.
	No change to 2010
	40% CO <sub>2</sub> saving
	25% CO <sub>2</sub> saving
	Something else (please explain below)
	Don't know
	Comments
	Merchants only sell what their customers want to buy. Many merchants can welcome a progressive shift, over time, to more exacting carbon standards. But in a double-dip recession, housebuilders are suffering badly. A 40% reduction is laudable but unrealistic: a 25% reduction as a step-change is a better option.
2.	Do you agree with the proposal for an 'aggregate' approach to CO <sub>2</sub> target setting for new homes in 2015? The CO <sub>2</sub> target for any individual dwelling varies depending on the ease with which the building can achieve the target, with the overall required CO <sub>2</sub> saving achieved when aggregated over the build mix.
	Yes No Don't know
	Comments
3.	Do you agree with the proposal for a compliant option based on a consistent recipe of elemental specifications for fabric, services plus an additional CO <sub>2</sub> saving equivalent to an amount of photovoltaic (PV). Please justify your choice.
	Yes No Don't know
	Comments
4.	The main difference between the recipes is the required system efficiency for each fuel,

which is appropriate for the heating system type. By adopting this approach to different fuel

types, there is no need for a separate fuel factor. Do you agree with the proposed approach?
Yes No Don't know
Comments
For the $CO_2$ savings proposed, are the recipe specifications a sensible way of achieving them? Please justify your choice.
Yes No Don't know
Comments
In approaching the selection of the amount of PV to be installed on dwellings, do you prefer?
Fixed percentage of building foundation area
Proportion of gross internal floor area with a practical cap
Don't know
Comments
*
Do you agree that the limits on design flexibility 'backstop' values for fabric elements in new homes should be changed from the current reasonable provision in the technical guidance to become mandatory?
Yes No Don't know Comments
Continents
Do you agree with the changes to the 'backstop' values proposed? Please explain your decision.
Yes No Don't know

	Comments
9.	Do you have any other comments on the proposed changes to Approved Document L1A or
	the domestic National Calculation Methodology? Please make it clear which issue each comment relates to by identifying the relevant paragraph number.
	Comments
10.	The Impact Assessment makes a number of assumptions on fabric/services/ renewables costs, new build rates, phase-in rates, learning rates, etc for new homes. Do you think these assumptions are fair and reasonable? Please justify your views.
	Yes No Don't know
	Comments
	Not competent to answer. The BMF represents those in the building materials' supply chain. Members do not design or build new homes.
11.	Overall, do you think the impact assessment is a fair and reasonable assessment of the potential costs and benefits of the proposed options for new homes? Please justify your view and provide alternative evidence if necessary.
	Yes No Don't know
	Comments
Ne	w non-domestic buildings
12.	Do you agree with the proposal for 2013 for non-domestic buildings to explicitly regulate energy efficiency separately from low carbon technologies through the assessment of primary energy consumption (PEC)? Does PEC seem like a reasonable basis for standard setting?
	Yes No Don't know
	Comments

2012 consultation on changes to the Building Regulations in Wales Part L (Conservation of fuel and power) I 7

Comments

	2012 consultation on changes to the Building Regulations in Wales Part L (Conservation of fuel and power) I 9
20.	Do you have any other comments on the proposed changes to Approved Document L2A or the non-domestic National Calculation Methodology? Please make it clear which issue each comment relates to by identifying the relevant paragraph number.
	Comments
21.	The Impact Assessment makes a number of assumptions on the costs of fabric/services/ renewables, new build rates, etc for new non-domestic buildings. Do you think these assumptions are fair and reasonable? Please justify your views.
	Yes No Don't know
	Comments
**	Not competent to answer. The BMF represents supply chain businesses.  Members do not design or build new non-residential buildings.
22.	Overall, do you think the impact assessment is a fair and reasonable assessment of the potential costs and benefits of the proposed options for new non-domestic buildings?
	Please justify your view and provide alternative evidence if necessary.
	Yes No Don't know
	Comments
Cum	ulative impact of policies
23.	Overall, do you think the assessment of the impact on development is broadly fair and reasonable? Please justify your view and provide alternative evidence if necessary.
	Yes No Don't know
	Comments

### **National Planning Policy Review**

24.	What role should planning play in facilitating higher carbon standards? Should it focus on facilitating site wide energy opportunities that will be needed as we move towards zero or near zero carbon buildings?
	Views
	Buildings built to low or zero carbon standards ought to enjoy a 'light touch' and benign treatment in being granted accelerated planning permission.
	What these 2 questions are really asking for is input on planning policies such as the Merton Rule - i.e. onsite low carbon generation of heat or power from renewable sources. Our answer to this point is yes.
25.	What are the implications from future (and regular) changes to the Code for Sustainable Homes and BREEAM on the implementation of the policy?
	Views
26.	Are the costs of assessment and certification now disproportionate to the costs and benefits of achieving a minimum sustainable buildings standard level?
	Yes No Don't know
	Comments
27.	What should be the role of local planning authorities in setting local standards above and beyond Building Regulations? How can we ensure there is a level playing field of standards across Wales?
	Views
	None whatsoever. Local authorities should <u>not</u> be allowed to 'gold plate' the Building Regs by (for instance) stipulating the use of local or traditional materials. Any good builder will know that by doing so - e.g. Welsh sheep wool for insulation - for aesthetic or other reasons will differentiate him/her from the next builder. Indeed, it can be a USP and create a sales & marketing edge.
28.	What do you see as the positive/negative impacts of removing Part B of the policy expecting buildings to be certified against Code/BREEAM?
	Views

Do you agree with the proposal to raise performance standards for domestic extensions?

Don't know

33.

Yes

Please explain your answer.

No

	Comments
34.	Do you agree with the proposal to raise performance standards for non-domestic extensions? Please explain your answer.
	Yes No Don't know
	Comments
35.	Do you agree that the exemption for conservatories or porches should be removed where an individual room heat or air conditioning unit is installed? How effective would this change be in limiting energy use/emissions, or are there other ways by which energy performance might be improved where conservatories or porches are installed?
	Yes No Don't know
	Comments
36.	Do you agree with the proposal to require consequential improvements upon extensions or
50.	increases in habitable space in existing homes below 1000m <sup>2</sup> ? Please explain your view.
	Yes No X Don't know
	Comments
	Imposing further regulation is <u>not</u> sensible as Wales (in general) and the house building & home improvement industries (in particular) remain in deep economic recession. It is the wrong move as anything that makes extensions & conversions more expensive will reduce trading activity for merchants. At a time when construction is vital in creating much-needed Welsh jobs & growth, this is muddle-headed thinking.
	We reckon your idea will have the reverse effect - namely to deter families & businesses from spending - meaning lower sales for merchants and less work for builders & allied trades. We oppose your consequential improvements' proposal.
37.	The consultation explains that the regulatory requirement for consequential improvements upon domestic extensions or increases in habitable space would be limited to a list of measures comprising a minimum standard of loft insulation, hot water cylinder insulation and the installation of cavity wall insulation.
	Do you agree with this list of measures?
	Should this list be different (please explain below)?
	Another approach (please explain below)

	Don't know
	Comments
	Please do <u>not</u> introduce these consequential improvements. If you must foist them onto Welsh property owners, your intended approach is incoherent and out-of-kilter with similar proposals in England. Of course, insulation manufacturers (like Rockwool near Bridgend) will be happy you want to legislate for minimum insulation standards. Despite, or because of the CERT & CESP schemes, loft insulation cannot be given away at present to help voters facing fuel poverty
38.	What effect do you think the requirements for consequential improvements may have on the demand for repair, maintenance and improvement activity? Please use evidence to explain your answer.
	Increase demand
	Reduce demand
	No effect
	Don't know
	Comments
	If you impose these proposals, homeowners will be pushed into taking out loans to pay for the extra work they will be forced to do. This could compel them to use specialist suppliers, or third-party contractors, in addition to (or instead of) their local builder. Alternatively, voters may simply hand the entire project over to vertically-integrated national businesses (probably from England) and cut out merchants and SME firms completely.
39.	Do you agree with the proposal to introduce consequential improvements upon extensions or increases in habitable space in non-domestic buildings under 1000m <sup>2</sup> ? Please explain your view.
	Yes No X Don't know
	Comments
	Our answer to question 36 also applies here.
40.	The consultation proposes that for non-domestic buildings, any measure from list which is used to generate Green Deal assessments, the list in SBEM used to generate Energy Performance Certificate recommendations and the existing list of typical consequential improvement measures from Approved Document L2B should be eligible to be a consequential improvement. Do you agree?
	Yes
	No

	Prefer a different list (please specify)
	Don't know
	Comments
41.	Do you agree that there should not be major problems in extending the requirement for consequential improvements for the building control process? If you do foresee issues, what are they and how might these be addressed?
	Yes No x Don't know
	Comments
	Local authorities would be unlikely to enforce any new Regulations you impose.  Given the financial pressures they face - and with Council Tax frozen again by many authorities - local councils are under pressure to concentrate on other priorities.
	Local Authority Building Control deals with approx. 200,000 extensions & conversions a year. Over 2 million homes have windows or boilers replaced annually. Most of these are carried out via Competent Person Schemes with no payment to (or involvement of) building control. The BMF cannot see how town
	halls will have the resources to enforce these proposals, if introduced.
42.	Do you have any other comments on the proposed changes to Approved Document L1B? Please make it clear which issue each comment relates to by identifying the relevant paragraph number.
	Comments
43.	Do you have any other comments on the proposed changes to Approved Document L2B? Please make it clear which issue each comment relates to by identifying the relevant paragraph number.
	Comments
44.	Do you think that the Impact Assessment is a fair and reasonable assessment of the potential costs and benefits of raising the performance standards for replacement domestic windows and domestic/non-domestic extensions? Please justify your view and provide alternative evidence if necessary.
	Yes No Don't know

	Comments
45.	Overall, do you think the impact assessment is a fair and reasonable assessment of the potential costs and benefits of the proposed options for consequential improvements in existing homes? Please justify your view and provide alternative evidence if necessary.
	Yes No Don't know
	Comments
46.	Overall, do you think the impact assessment is a fair and reasonable assessment of the potential costs and benefits of the proposed options for consequential improvements in existing non-domestic buildings? Please justify your view and provide alternative evidence if necessary.
	Yes No Don't know
	Comments
C	
Com	pliance and Performance
47.	ipliance and Performance  For new dwellings, Welsh Government is proposing to develop a compliance checklist. Do you think such a checklist would be used sufficiently to warrant its development?
47.	For new dwellings, Welsh Government is proposing to develop a compliance checklist. Do
47.	For new dwellings, Welsh Government is proposing to develop a compliance checklist. Do you think such a checklist would be used sufficiently to warrant its development?  Yes  No  Don't know
47.	For new dwellings, Welsh Government is proposing to develop a compliance checklist. Do you think such a checklist would be used sufficiently to warrant its development?  Yes No Don't know  Comments  On the surface, this ought to make to make it easy for builders/developers to know (in advance) what is expected of them. But in a double-dip
47.	For new dwellings, Welsh Government is proposing to develop a compliance checklist. Do you think such a checklist would be used sufficiently to warrant its development?  Yes No Don't know  Comments  On the surface, this ought to make to make it easy for builders/developers to know (in advance) what is expected of them. But in a double-dip recession, spending £££ to prepare such a checklist is hard to justify.
47.	For new dwellings, Welsh Government is proposing to develop a compliance checklist. Do you think such a checklist would be used sufficiently to warrant its development?  Yes No Don't know  Comments  On the surface, this ought to make to make it easy for builders/developers to know (in advance) what is expected of them. But in a double-dip recession, spending £££ to prepare such a checklist is hard to justify.

Comments

	Would any other approach be likely to prove more effective instead (such as a PAS <sup>1</sup> type approach).
	Yes No Don't know
	Comments
2	
51a.	Would it be preferable for buildings of a domestic nature to be able to achieve compliance through applying the recipe in AD L1A, in acknowledgement of the domestic nature of such buildings, rather than demonstrating compliance with AD L2A?
	Yes No Don't know
	Comments
51b.	What are the arguments for and against this approach?
	Comments
52.	Additional views and suggestions for addressing compliance and performance issues in new non domestic buildings would be welcome.
	Comments
53.	Is the newly formatted ADL1B easier to understand and use?
	Yes No Don't know
	Comments

2012 consultation on changes to the Building Regulations in Wales Part L (Conservation of fuel and power) I 16

A PAS is a Publically Available Specification, and the PAS would set out a quality assurance approach.

54.	recommend? If so, please provide details.
	Yes No Don't know
	Comments
55.	How do the consultation proposals impact on the work of Local Authorities and Approved Inspectors? Please give positive and negative impacts.
	Comments
56.	We have asked a number of specific questions. If you have any related issues which we have not specifically addressed, please use this space to report them:
	Please enter here:
in a re	onses to consultations may be made public – on the internet or eport. If you would prefer your response to be kept confidential, e tick here: