Number: WG24331



www.cymru.gov.uk

Welsh Government

Consultation – Index of Responses

Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers

Date of issue: May 2015

Introduction

 On 7 November 2014 we consulted on proposals by the Welsh Government to make changes to the way decisions on planning and related applications and appeals are dealt with, where they are referred to the Welsh Ministers, or the Planning Inspectorate acting on their behalf. The consultation closed on 30 January 2015.

Responses

- 2. Details of the consultation have been published and can be found here:
 - http://wales.gov.uk/consultations/planning/planning-and-related-decisions-of-thewelsh-ministers/?lang=en
- 3. A total of 29 responses were received. Respondents were asked to complete a separate response form; however some responses were received within an email and hard copy letters. Each response was assigned a specific reference number.
- 4. Due to the size of the documents, the responses have been divided into two separate files; both of which can be found on our consultation pages (detailed above).

Data Protection

5. For data protection purposes, the names and address details for those respondents who did not wish to be identified have been removed from the index below and from the published consultation responses.

Index of Responses

Reference (WG-23275)	Name
001	Wynne Jones
002	Glamorgan Gwent Archaeological Trust
003	Redrow Homes
004	N Perrott
005	Abergele Town Council
006	Merthyr Tydfil County Borough Council
007	Institution of Civil Engineers Wales Cymru
008	National Parks Wales
009	Mochdre with Penstrowed Community Council
010	The Civic Trust for Wales
011	Andrew Ferguson
012	Torfaen County Borough Council
013	Caerphilly County Borough Council
014	RWE Generation UK plc
015	Sainsbury's Supermarkets Ltd
016	The Law Society
017	Ceredigion County Council
018	Lisvane Community Council.
019	Bridgend County Borough Council
020	National Grid plc
021	Health and Safety Executive
022	Vattenfall UK
023	Denbighshire County Council
024	Anonymous
025	RTPI Cymru
026	Taylor Wimpey UK Limited
027	Community Housing Cymru Group
028	RES Ltd
029	Home Builders Federation

Plai	nning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers	- 1
Da	ate of consultation period: 07/11/2014 - 30/01/2015	
Name	Wynne Jones	
Organisation	Planning and Drainage Consultant	
Address	Minyrafon Abercych Boncath Pembrokeshire SA37 0EX	
E-mail address	minyrafon@btinternet.com	
Type (please select	Businesses/ Consultants	\boxtimes
one from the following)	Local Planning Authority	
	Government Agency/Other Public Sector	
	Professional Bodies/Interest Groups	
	Voluntary sector (community groups, volunteers, self help groups, co-operatives, social enterprises, religious, and not for profit organisations)	
	Other (other groups not listed above) or individual	

Q1	Do you agree that appeals against the refusal of, and conditions relating to, consents to display an advertisement should be incorporated within an expedited appeal system as part of the Commercial Appeals	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	Service (CAS)? If not, why not?			
Comi	ments:			

	Do you agree that the Welsh Ministers should apply section 195 of the Planning Act 2008 in Wales (which amends section 266(1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990) so that the default position for the determination of	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
Q2	called in applications and appeals by statutory undertakers is that they are dealt with solely by the Welsh Ministers, unless the Welsh Ministers or the relevant Secretary of State gives direction for them to be dealt with jointly?			0
	ments:			
Q3	Do you agree with the 4 week timescale proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-	Yes	(subject to further	No
Com	proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons. ments: Is there any other comment you wish to make in		(subject to further comment)	
Com	proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons. ments: Is there any other comment you wish to make in appeals against non-determination?		(subject to further comment)	
Com	proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons. ments: Is there any other comment you wish to make in		(subject to further comment)	

Q5	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine listed building consent and listed building enforcement appeals in relation to Grade I and II* listed buildings in line with current procedures for Grade II listed buildings?	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	procedures for Grade It listed buildings?			
Q6	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals for which grants have been made by the Welsh Ministers under section 3A or 4 of the Historic Buildings and Ancient Monuments	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	Act 1953?			
Q7	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against the determination of conditions attached to minerals permissions, made under paragraphs 11(1) of Schedule 13	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	and paragraph 9(1) of Schedule 14 to the Environment Act 1995?			
Q8	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against decisions or failure to take decisions under section 21 of the Planning	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	(Hazardous Substances) Act 1990?	\boxtimes		
Q9	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals under section 208 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 against section	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	207 notices for the replacement of trees?	\boxtimes		
	Are there any additional comments you wish to rechanges to the Town and Country Planning (Det Appointed Persons) (Prescribed Classes) Regulation ments: Troposals should ensure that the appeal process is simplified with the second control of the country of the second control of the sec	erminat ations 1	ion of Appeals b 997?	ру
I do n	not want my name/or address published with my re	esponse	(please tick)	

How to Respond

Please submit your comments in any of the following ways:

Email

Please complete the consultation form and send it to :

planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk

Please include 'Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers - WG 23275' in the subject line.

Post

Please complete the consultation form and send it to:

Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers Consultation Decisions Branch Planning Division Welsh Assembly Government Cathays Park Cardiff CF10 3 NQ

Additional information

If you have any queries on this consultation, please:

email: <u>planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk</u>; or

telephone: Lewis Thomas on 029 2082 3201

Plai	nning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers	
Da	ate of consultation period: 07/11/2014 - 30/01/2015	
Name	Judith Doyle	
Organisation	Glamorgan Gwent Archaeological Trust	
Address	Heathfield House, Heathfield, Mount Pleasant, Swansea, SA1 6EL	
E-mail address	judith@ggat.org.uk	
Type (please select	Businesses/ Consultants	
one from the following)	Local Planning Authority	
	Government Agency/Other Public Sector	
	Professional Bodies/Interest Groups	
	Voluntary sector (community groups, volunteers, self help groups, co-operatives, social enterprises, religious, and not for profit organisations)	П
	Other (other groups not listed above) or individual	\boxtimes

Q1	Do you agree that appeals against the refusal of, and conditions relating to, consents to display an advertisement should be incorporated within an expedited appeal system as part of the Commercial Appeals	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	Service (CAS)? If not, why not?	\boxtimes		
Comr	ments:			

	Do you agree that the Welsh Ministers should apply section 195 of the Planning Act 2008 in Wales (which amends section 266(1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990) so that the default position for the determination of	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
Q2	called in applications and appeals by statutory undertakers is that they are dealt with solely by the Welsh Ministers, unless the Welsh Ministers or the relevant Secretary of State gives direction for them to be dealt with jointly?			0
	ments:			
Q3	Do you agree with the 4 week timescale proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-	Yes	(subject to further	No
Com	proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons. ments: Is there any other comment you wish to make in		(subject to further comment)	
Com	proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons. ments: Is there any other comment you wish to make in appeals against non-determination?		(subject to further comment)	
Com	proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons. ments: Is there any other comment you wish to make in		(subject to further comment)	

Q5	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine listed building consent and listed building enforcement appeals in relation to Grade I and II* listed buildings in line with current	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	procedures for Grade II listed buildings?			ш
Q6	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals for which grants have been made by the Welsh Ministers under section 3A or 4 of the Historic Buildings and Ancient Monuments	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	Act 1953?			Ш
Q7	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against the determination of conditions attached to minerals permissions, made under paragraphs 11(1) of Schedule 13	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	and paragraph 9(1) of Schedule 14 to the Environment Act 1995?			
Q8	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against decisions or failure to take decisions under section 21 of the Planning	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	(Hazardous Substances) Act 1990?	\boxtimes		
Q9	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals under section 208 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 against section	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	207 notices for the replacement of trees?			
Q10	Are there any additional comments you wish to r changes to the Town and Country Planning (Det Appointed Persons) (Prescribed Classes) Regul	erminat	ion of Appeals b	
Comr	ments:			
I do n	ot want my name/or address published with my re	esponse	(please tick)	

How to Respond

Please submit your comments in any of the following ways:

Email

Please complete the consultation form and send it to :

planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk

Please include 'Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers - WG 23275' in the subject line.

Post

Please complete the consultation form and send it to:

Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers Consultation Decisions Branch Planning Division Welsh Assembly Government Cathays Park Cardiff CF10 3 NQ

Additional information

If you have any queries on this consultation, please:

email: <u>planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk</u>; or

telephone: Lewis Thomas on 029 2082 3201

Plan	nning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers	
Da	ate of consultation period: 07/11/2014 - 30/01/2015	
Name	Emyr Davies	
Organisation	Redrow Homes	
Address	Redrow House, Copse Walk, Cardiff Gate Business Park, Cardiff, CF23 8RF	I
E-mail address	emyr.davies@redrow.co.uk	
Type (please select	Businesses/ Consultants	\boxtimes
one from the following)	Local Planning Authority	
	Government Agency/Other Public Sector	
	Professional Bodies/Interest Groups	
	Voluntary sector (community groups, volunteers, self help groups, co-operatives, social enterprises, religious, and not for profit organisations)	
	Other (other groups not listed above) or individual	

Q1	Do you agree that appeals against the refusal of, and conditions relating to, consents to display an advertisement should be incorporated within an expedited appeal system as part of the Commercial Appeals.	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	Service (CAS)? If not, why not?	\boxtimes		

Do you agree that the Welsh Ministers should apply section 195 of the Planning Act 2008 in Wales (which amends section 266(1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990) so that the default position for the determination of	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
called in applications and appeals by statutory undertakers is that they are dealt with solely by the Welsh Ministers, unless the Welsh Ministers or the relevant Secretary of State gives direction for them to be dealt with jointly?			0
Comments:			
Do you agree with the 4 week timescale proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons.	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
Comments:		×	
Comments: The 4 week timescale is considered to be appropriate. This will allow a lapplication bearing in mind a Planning Committee cycle. Internal proced Committee to be called if appropriate with a Member site visit on the day authority. Clarification is sought on when the 4 week timescale would commence: to) to PINS. There should be no possibility for the 4 week timescales to a the appeal process.	lures shou y to avoid It should b	ing authority to deter	anning for the ery close
Comments: The 4 week timescale is considered to be appropriate. This will allow a leapplication bearing in mind a Planning Committee cycle. Internal proced Committee to be called if appropriate with a Member site visit on the day authority. Clarification is sought on when the 4 week timescale would commence: to) to PINS. There should be no possibility for the 4 week timescales to a the appeal process.	tures shou y to avoid It should b act as any	ing authority to deter ld allow a 'special' Pl any further deferral f be upon receipt (or ve possible delay mecha	anning for the ery close anism in
Comments: The 4 week timescale is considered to be appropriate. This will allow a leapplication bearing in mind a Planning Committee cycle. Internal proced Committee to be called if appropriate with a Member site visit on the day authority. Clarification is sought on when the 4 week timescale would commence. To to PINS. There should be no possibility for the 4 week timescales to a	tures shou y to avoid It should b act as any	ing authority to deter ld allow a 'special' Pl any further deferral f be upon receipt (or ve possible delay mecha	anning for the ery close anism in
Comments: The 4 week timescale is considered to be appropriate. This will allow a lapplication bearing in mind a Planning Committee cycle. Internal proced Committee to be called if appropriate with a Member site visit on the day authority. Clarification is sought on when the 4 week timescale would commence to) to PINS. There should be no possibility for the 4 week timescales to a the appeal process. Is there any other comment you wish to make in	tures shou y to avoid It should b act as any	ing authority to deter ld allow a 'special' Pl any further deferral f be upon receipt (or ve possible delay mecha	anning for the ery close anism in
Comments: The 4 week timescale is considered to be appropriate. This will allow a leapplication bearing in mind a Planning Committee cycle. Internal proceds Committee to be called if appropriate with a Member site visit on the day authority. Clarification is sought on when the 4 week timescale would commence to) to PINS. There should be no possibility for the 4 week timescales to a the appeal process. Is there any other comment you wish to make in appeals against non-determination?	tures shou y to avoid It should b act as any	ing authority to deter ld allow a 'special' Pl any further deferral f be upon receipt (or ve possible delay mecha	anning for the ery close anism in

Q5	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine listed building consent and listed building enforcement appeals in relation to Grade I and II* listed buildings in line with current	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	procedures for Grade II listed buildings?	\boxtimes		
Q6	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals for which grants have been made by the Welsh Ministers under section 3A or 4 of the Historic Buildings and Ancient Monuments	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	Act 1953?			
Q7	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against the determination of conditions attached to minerals permissions, made under paragraphs 11(1) of Schedule 13	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	and paragraph 9(1) of Schedule 14 to the Environment Act 1995?			
Q8	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against decisions or failure to take decisions under section 21 of the Planning	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	(Hazardous Substances) Act 1990?	\boxtimes		
G9	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals under section 208 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 against section	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	207 notices for the replacement of trees?			

Are there any additional comments you wish to make in relation to these changes to the Town and Country Planning (Determination of Appeals by Appointed Persons) (Prescribed Classes) Regulations 1997?

Comments:

Redrow are concerned, and has experience of this, with the length of time taken for decisions by Ministers to be dealt with. Redrow would strongly suggest that determinations by Ministers should meet a timetable, as is the case for Local Planning Authorities and the Planning Inspectorate. With the Welsh Government wishing to speed up the planning process then the decision process for Ministers should not be excluded from any review and it should be transparent process where an applicant can be advised of when a decision can be expected. The current unknowns associated with decisions made by the Ministers are having significant financial and legal implications for businesses subject to these decisions, such as national house builders.

I do not want my name/or address published with my response (please tick)

How to Respond

Please submit your comments in any of the following ways:

Email

Please complete the consultation form and send it to:

planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk

Please include 'Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers - WG 23275' in the subject line.

Post

Please complete the consultation form and send it to:

Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers Consultation Decisions Branch Planning Division Welsh Assembly Government Cathays Park Cardiff CF10 3 NQ

Additional information

If you have any queries on this consultation, please:

email: $\underline{\text{planconsultations-}}\underline{\text{q@wales.}}\underline{\text{gsi.}}\underline{\text{gov.}}\underline{\text{uk}}\text{ ; or }$

telephone: Lewis Thomas on 029 2082 3201

From: Nor Perrot

Sent: 22 November 2014 14:10

To: planconsultations-g

Subject: Planning Inspectorate and Householder Appeals.

To Consultation:

Please legislate to allow the wisdom and good sense of LPA and Councillors in determining Land use consents to be over rided, over turned and otherwise marginalised by the professional cadre in the Planning Inspectorate--

It is not acceptable that UNANIMOUS LPA decsions can be overturned by Inspectors acting in the stead of welsh Ministers without:

1 LPA submissions being made to contest the Appeal-[should be mandatory]

2 Local councillors acting in concert [say min of FIVE lpa cllrs] having the oppportunity to influence and persuade the Inspector.

3 Where a unique poit of law is identified --an appeal to the Court can be made for a declaration that the Legal difference can be adjusticated upon ,judicially..

Please improve the current Householder appeal system and allow democratic decision makers to defend and justify their positions at Appeal.

The current system is not fair, destrictive and not in line with Natural justice or even s6 HRA 1998 provisions.--acting detrimentally to affected Householders.

Thanks for your attention;

N Perrott

Swanseas

Plan	nning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers	
Da	ate of consultation period: 07/11/2014 – 30/01/2015	
Name	Mandy Evans	
Organisation	Abergele Town Council	
Address	Town Hall Llanddulas Road Abergele LL22 7BT	
E-mail address	clerk@abergele-towncouncil.co.uk	
Type (please select	Businesses/ Consultants	
one from the following)	Local Planning Authority	
	Government Agency/Other Public Sector	
	Professional Bodies/Interest Groups	
	Voluntary sector (community groups, volunteers, self help groups, co-operatives, social enterprises, religious, and not for profit organisations)	
	Other (other groups not listed above) or individual	

Q1	Do you agree that appeals against the refusal of, and conditions relating to, consents to display an advertisement should be incorporated within an expedited appeal system as part of the Commercial Appeals	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	Service (CAS)? If not, why not?			
Comr	ments:			

	Do you agree that the Welsh Ministers should apply section 195 of the Planning Act 2008 in Wales (which amends section 266(1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990) so that the default position for the determination of	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
Q2	called in applications and appeals by statutory undertakers is that they are dealt with solely by the Welsh Ministers, unless the Welsh Ministers or the relevant Secretary of State gives direction for them to be dealt with jointly?			0
Comi	ments:			
Q3	Do you agree with the 4 week timescale proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	alternative timescales with your reasons			
Comi	alternative timescales with your reasons. ments:			
	ments: Is there any other comment you wish to make in		to these chang	es to
Q4	Is there any other comment you wish to make in appeals against non-determination?		to these chang	les to
Q4 Comi	ments: Is there any other comment you wish to make in	relation	to these chang	es to

Q5	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine listed building consent and listed building enforcement appeals in relation to Grade I and II* listed buildings in line with current	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	procedures for Grade II listed buildings?	Ш		
Q6	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals for which grants have been made by the Welsh Ministers under section 3A or 4 of the Historic Buildings and Ancient Monuments	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	Act 1953?	\boxtimes		
Q7	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against the determination of conditions attached to minerals permissions, made under paragraphs 11(1) of Schedule 13	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	and paragraph 9(1) of Schedule 14 to the Environment Act 1995?			
Q8	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against decisions or failure to take decisions under section 21 of the Planning	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	(Hazardous Substances) Act 1990?	\boxtimes		
G9	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals under section 208 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 against section	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	207 notices for the replacement of trees?	\boxtimes		
Q10	Are there any additional comments you wish to r changes to the Town and Country Planning (Det Appointed Persons) (Prescribed Classes) Regul	erminat	ion of Appeals b	
Cost of The To	nents: Sappeal, should be born by the applicant. wn Council wish for it to be noted that they have taken time to co Government take the views into consideration.			pe that the

Welsh Government 4

I do not want my name/or address published with my response (please tick)

How to Respond

Please submit your comments in any of the following ways:

Email

Please complete the consultation form and send it to :

planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk

Please include 'Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers - WG 23275' in the subject line.

Post

Please complete the consultation form and send it to:

Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers Consultation Decisions Branch Planning Division Welsh Assembly Government Cathays Park Cardiff CF10 3 NQ

Additional information

If you have any queries on this consultation, please:

email: <u>planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk</u>; or

telephone: Lewis Thomas on 029 2082 3201

Plai	nning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers	
Da	ate of consultation period: 07/11/2014 – 30/01/2015	
Name	Judith Jones	
Organisation	Merthyr Tydfil County Borough Council	
Address	Unit 5, Triangle Business Park, Pentrebach, Merthyr Tydfil CF48 8TQ	
E-mail address	judith.jones@merthyr.gov.uk	
Type (please select	Businesses/ Consultants	
one from the following)	Local Planning Authority	
	Government Agency/Other Public Sector	
	Professional Bodies/Interest Groups	
	Voluntary sector (community groups, volunteers, self help groups, co-operatives, social enterprises, religious, and not for profit organisations)	
	Other (other groups not listed above) or individual	

Q1	Do you agree that appeals against the refusal of, and conditions relating to, consents to display an advertisement should be incorporated within an expedited appeal system as part of the Commercial Appeals	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	Service (CAS)? If not, why not?	\boxtimes		
Comr None	nents:			

determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons.		Do you agree that the Welsh Ministers should apply section 195 of the Planning Act 2008 in Wales (which amends section 266(1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990) so that the default position for the determination of	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
Do you agree with the 4 week timescale proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons. Comments: The proposed 4 week timescale may prove difficult to accommodate within the monthly Planning Commnitte cycle, as such a 6 week timescale would be more appropriate. Is there any other comment you wish to make in relation to these changes to appeals against non-determination? Comments:	Q2	undertakers is that they are dealt with solely by the Welsh Ministers, unless the Welsh Ministers or the relevant Secretary of State gives direction for them to be dealt with			0
proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons. Comments: The proposed 4 week timescale may prove difficult to accommodate within the monthly Planning Committed yole, as such a 6 week timescale would be more appropriate. Is there any other comment you wish to make in relation to these changes to appeals against non-determination? Comments:		ments:			
proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons. Comments: The proposed 4 week timescale may prove difficult to accommodate within the monthly Planning Committed yole, as such a 6 week timescale would be more appropriate. Is there any other comment you wish to make in relation to these changes to appeals against non-determination? Comments:					
Comments: The proposed 4 week timescale may prove difficult to accommodate within the monthly Planning Commnitte cycle, as such a 6 week timescale would be more appropriate. Is there any other comment you wish to make in relation to these changes to appeals against non-determination? Comments:		= - Lord control with the second management		VAC	
The proposed 4 week timescale may prove difficult to accommodate within the monthly Planning Commnittee cycle, as such a 6 week timescale would be more appropriate. Is there any other comment you wish to make in relation to these changes to appeals against non-determination? Comments:	Q3	proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non- determination appeals? If not, please suggest	Yes	(subject to further	No
appeals against non-determination? Comments:		proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non- determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons.	Yes	(subject to further	No 🖂
	Com The pr cycle,	proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons. ments: roposed 4 week timescale may prove difficult to accommodate with as such a 6 week timescale would be more appropriate.	nin the mo	(subject to further comment)	mnittee
	Com The proycle,	proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons. ments: roposed 4 week timescale may prove difficult to accommodate with as such a 6 week timescale would be more appropriate. Is there any other comment you wish to make in appeals against non-determination?	nin the mo	(subject to further comment)	mnittee

Q5	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine listed building consent and listed building enforcement appeals in relation to Grade I and II* listed buildings in line with current	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	procedures for Grade II listed buildings?			
Q6	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals for which grants have been made by the Welsh Ministers under section 3A or 4 of the Historic Buildings and Ancient Monuments	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	Act 1953?			
Q7	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against the determination of conditions attached to minerals permissions, made under paragraphs 11(1) of Schedule 13	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	and paragraph 9(1) of Schedule 14 to the Environment Act 1995?			
Q8	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against decisions or failure to take decisions under section 21 of the Planning	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	(Hazardous Substances) Act 1990?	\boxtimes		
Q9	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals under section 208 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 against section	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	207 notices for the replacement of trees?	\boxtimes		
Q10 Comr	Are there any additional comments you wish to rechanges to the Town and Country Planning (Det Appointed Persons) (Prescribed Classes) Regularients:	erminat	ion of Appeals b	
I do n	not want my name/or address published with my re	enoneo	(nlease tick)	1

How to Respond

Please submit your comments in any of the following ways:

Email

Please complete the consultation form and send it to :

planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk

Please include 'Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers - WG 23275' in the subject line.

Post

Please complete the consultation form and send it to:

Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers Consultation Decisions Branch Planning Division Welsh Assembly Government Cathays Park Cardiff CF10 3 NQ

Additional information

If you have any queries on this consultation, please:

email: <u>planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk</u>; or

telephone: Lewis Thomas on 029 2082 3201

Plan	nning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers	
Da	ate of consultation period: 07/11/2014 – 30/01/2015	
Name	Keith Jones	
Organisation	Institution of Civil Engineers Wales Cymru	
Address	Cambrian Buildings Mount Stuart Square Cardiff Bay CF10 5FL	
E-mail address	keith.jones@ice.org.uk	
Type (please select	Businesses/ Consultants	
one from the following)	Local Planning Authority	
	Government Agency/Other Public Sector	
	Professional Bodies/Interest Groups	
	Voluntary sector (community groups, volunteers, self help groups, co-operatives, social enterprises, religious, and not for profit organisations)	
	Other (other groups not listed above) or individual	

Q1	Do you agree that appeals against the refusal of, and conditions relating to, consents to display an advertisement should be incorporated within an expedited appeal system as part of the Commercial Appeals	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	Service (CAS)? If not, why not?	\boxtimes		
Comr	ments:			

	Do you agree that the Welsh Ministers should apply section 195 of the Planning Act 2008 in Wales (which amends section 266(1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990) so that the default position for the determination of	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
Q2	called in applications and appeals by statutory undertakers is that they are dealt with solely by the Welsh Ministers, unless the Welsh Ministers or the relevant Secretary of State gives direction for them to be dealt with jointly?			
om	ments:			
			1	1
Q3	Do you agree with the 4 week timescale proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non- determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons.	Yes	(subject to	No
Com	proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons. ments:		(subject to further comment)	
Com	proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non- determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons.		(subject to further comment)	
Com Q4	proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons. ments: Is there any other comment you wish to make in		(subject to further comment)	

Q5	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine listed building consent and listed building enforcement appeals in relation to Grade I and II* listed buildings in line with current	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	procedures for Grade II listed buildings?			
Q6	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals for which grants have been made by the Welsh Ministers under section 3A or 4 of the Historic Buildings and Ancient Monuments	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	Act 1953? Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate		Ш	
Q7	should be given authority to determine appeals against the determination of conditions attached to minerals permissions, made under paragraphs 11(1) of Schedule 13	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	and paragraph 9(1) of Schedule 14 to the Environment Act 1995?			
Q8	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against decisions or failure to take decisions under section 21 of the Planning	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	(Hazardous Substances) Act 1990?	\boxtimes		
Q9	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals under section 208 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 against section	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	207 notices for the replacement of trees?	\boxtimes		
Q10	Are there any additional comments you wish to r changes to the Town and Country Planning (Det Appointed Persons) (Prescribed Classes) Regula	erminat	ion of Appeals b	
Comr No.	nents:			

How to Respond

Please submit your comments in any of the following ways:

Email

Please complete the consultation form and send it to :

planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk

Please include 'Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers - WG 23275' in the subject line.

Post

Please complete the consultation form and send it to:

Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers Consultation Decisions Branch Planning Division Welsh Assembly Government Cathays Park Cardiff CF10 3 NQ

Additional information

If you have any queries on this consultation, please:

email: planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk; or

telephone: Lewis Thomas on 029 2082 3201

Plan	nning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers	
Da	ate of consultation period: 07/11/2014 - 30/01/2015	
Name	Jane Gibson	
Organisation	NATIONAL PARKS WALES	
Address	Llanion Park Pembroke Dock Pembrokeshire SA72 6DY	
E-mail address	janeg@pembrokeshirecoast.org.uk	
Type (please select	Businesses/ Consultants	
one from the following)	Local Planning Authority	
	Government Agency/Other Public Sector	
	Professional Bodies/Interest Groups	
	Voluntary sector (community groups, volunteers, self help groups, co-operatives, social enterprises, religious, and not for profit organisations)	Ē
	Other (other groups not listed above) or individual	

Q1	Do you agree that appeals against the refusal of, and conditions relating to, consents to display an advertisement should be incorporated within an expedited appeal system as part of the Commercial Appeals.	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	Service (CAS)? If not, why not?			
This se	ments: eems a sensible suggestion, in principle, subject to reassurance that tanding and full consideration of the issues in areas such as Nation 1(5) land) given the impact that advertisements can have in such	nal Parks	and Conservation Ar	

	Do you agree that the Welsh Ministers should apply section 195 of the Planning Act 2008 in Wales (which amends section 266(1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990) so that the default position for the determination of	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
Q2	called in applications and appeals by statutory undertakers is that they are dealt with solely by the Welsh Ministers, unless the Welsh Ministers or the relevant Secretary of State gives direction for them to be dealt with jointly?			
Comi	ments:			
	Do you agree with the 4 week timescale	Yes	Yes (subject to	No
Q3	proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non- determination appeals? If not, please suggest	163	further	110
Early 1				
Comi Given the pro frequence oe mor	determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons. ments: the likelihood for applications the subject of such appeals to be reposed 4 week opportunity for the LPA to continue to be able to make of committee meetings and necessary lead-in times. On this base appropriate. Is there any other comment you wish to make in	ported to dake a decisis, an ex	further comment) committee, it is important is in prejudiced tended period of 6 week	rtant that d by the eeks would
Comingiven the properties of t	determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons. ments: the likelihood for applications the subject of such appeals to be reposed 4 week opportunity for the LPA to continue to be able to make of committee meetings and necessary lead-in times. On this base appropriate. Is there any other comment you wish to make in appeals against non-determination?	ported to dake a decisis, an ex	further comment) committee, it is important is in prejudiced tended period of 6 week	rtant that d by the eeks would
Comi Given the pro frequence oe mor	determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons. ments: the likelihood for applications the subject of such appeals to be reposed 4 week opportunity for the LPA to continue to be able to make of committee meetings and necessary lead-in times. On this base appropriate. Is there any other comment you wish to make in	ported to dake a decisis, an ex	further comment) committee, it is important is in prejudiced tended period of 6 week	rtant that d by the eeks would

Q5	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine listed building consent and listed building enforcement appeals in relation to Grade I and II* listed buildings in line with current procedures for Grade II listed buildings?	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	procedures for Grade II listed buildings?		1-1	
Q6	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals for which grants have been made by the Welsh Ministers under section 3A or 4 of the Historic Buildings and Ancient Monuments	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	Act 1953?			
Q7	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against the determination of conditions attached to minerals permissions, made under paragraphs 11(1) of Schedule 13	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	and paragraph 9(1) of Schedule 14 to the Environment Act 1995?			
Q8	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against decisions or failure to take decisions under section 21 of the Planning	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	(Hazardous Substances) Act 1990?	\boxtimes		
Q9	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals under section 208 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 against section	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	207 notices for the replacement of trees?	\boxtimes		
Q10	Are there any additional comments you wish to r changes to the Town and Country Planning (Det Appointed Persons) (Prescribed Classes) Regul	erminati	ion of Appeals b	
Comr	ments:			
I do n	ot want my name/or address published with my re	esponse	(nlease tick)	

How to Respond

Please submit your comments in any of the following ways:

Email

Please complete the consultation form and send it to :

planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk

Please include 'Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers - WG 23275' in the subject line.

Post

Please complete the consultation form and send it to:

Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers Consultation Decisions Branch Planning Division Welsh Assembly Government Cathays Park Cardiff CF10 3 NQ

Additional information

If you have any queries on this consultation, please:

email: <u>planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk</u>; or

telephone: Lewis Thomas on 029 2082 3201

Plai	nning and Related Decisions of the	Welsh	Ministers	
Da	ate of consultation period: 07/11/20	14 – 30	/01/2015	
Name				
Organisation	Mochdre with Penstrowed Community Council	il		
Address		- E		
E-mail address				
Type (please select	Businesses/ Consultants			
one from the following)	Local Planning Authority			
	Government Agency/Other Public Sector			
	Professional Bodies/Interest Groups			
	Voluntary sector (community groups, volunteers, self help groups, co-operatives, social enterprises, religious, and not for profit organisations)		ū	
	Other (other groups not listed abov	e) or in	dividual	\boxtimes
of, and co	ree that appeals against the refusal onditions relating to, consents to an advertisement should be did within an expedited appeal	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	part of the Commercial Appeals			

	Do you agree that the Welsh Ministers should apply section 195 of the Planning Act 2008 in Wales (which amends section 266(1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990) so that the default position for the determination of	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
Q2	called in applications and appeals by statutory undertakers is that they are dealt with solely by the Welsh Ministers, unless the Welsh Ministers or the relevant Secretary of State gives direction for them to be dealt with jointly?			
	ments: should be dealt with at a local level.			
	Do you agree with the 4 week timescale proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-	Yes	Yes (subject to further	No
Q3	determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons		comment)	
Q3	alternative timescales with your reasons.		comment)	
Comi 4 week	alternative timescales with your reasons. ments: as is an insufficient timescale - 8 weeks would be better.			
Comi	alternative timescales with your reasons. ments:			
Comi 4 week	alternative timescales with your reasons. ments: as is an insufficient timescale - 8 weeks would be better. Is there any other comment you wish to make in			

Yes (subject to further comment) Yes (subject to further comment) Yes (subject to further comment)	No No
Yes (subject to further comment) Yes (subject to further comment)	No D
(subject to further comment)	No
(subject to further comment)	
Yes (subject to further comment)	No
Yes (subject to further comment)	No
in relation to thes lation of Appeals 3 1997?	
	ation of Appeals

Welsh Government 4

I do not want my name/or address published with my response (please tick)

How to Respond

Please submit your comments in any of the following ways:

Email

Please complete the consultation form and send it to :

planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk

Please include 'Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers - WG 23275' in the subject line.

Post

Please complete the consultation form and send it to:

Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers Consultation Decisions Branch Planning Division Welsh Assembly Government Cathays Park Cardiff CF10 3 NQ

Additional information

If you have any queries on this consultation, please:

email: <u>planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk</u>; or

telephone: Lewis Thomas on 029 2082 3201

Plan	nning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers					
Date of consultation period: 07/11/2014 - 30/01/2015						
Name	Name Anna Lermon					
Organisation	The Civic Trust for Wales					
Address	The Civic Trust for Wales 1/07 Creative Quarter Morgan Arcade Cardiff CF10 1AF					
E-mail address	civictrustwales@gmail.com					
Type (please select	Businesses/ Consultants					
one from the following)	Local Planning Authority					
	Government Agency/Other Public Sector					
	Professional Bodies/Interest Groups					
	Voluntary sector (community groups, volunteers, self help groups, co-operatives, social enterprises, religious, and not for profit organisations)	\boxtimes				
	Other (other groups not listed above) or individual					

Q1	Do you agree that appeals against the refusal of, and conditions relating to, consents to display an advertisement should be incorporated within an expedited appeal system as part of the Commercial Appeals		Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	Service (CAS)? If not, why not?			

02	Do you agree that the Welsh Ministers should apply section 195 of the Planning Act 2008 in Wales (which amends section 266(1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990) so that the default position for the determination of	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
Q2				0
Comi	ments:			
Q3	Do you agree with the 4 week timescale proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons.	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	alternative timescales with your reasons.			
Q4	Is there any other comment you wish to make in	relation	n to these chang	es to
-	appeals against non-determination?			20.00
Comi	ments:			

			The state of the s	1
Q5	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine listed building consent and listed building enforcement appeals in relation to Grade I and II* listed buildings in line with current procedures for Grade II listed buildings?	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	procedures for Grade it listed ballarings:		-	
Q6	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals for which grants have been made by the Welsh Ministers under section 3A or 4 of the Historic Buildings and Ancient Monuments	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	Act 1953?			
Q7	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against the determination of conditions attached to minerals permissions, made under paragraphs 11(1) of Schedule 13	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	and paragraph 9(1) of Schedule 14 to the Environment Act 1995?			
Q8	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against decisions or failure to take decisions under section 21 of the Planning	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	(Hazardous Substances) Act 1990?			
Q9	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals under section 208 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 against section	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	207 notices for the replacement of trees?			
Q10	Are there any additional comments you wish to rechanges to the Town and Country Planning (Det	terminat	ion of Appeals b	
Comr	Appointed Persons) (Prescribed Classes) Regul nents:	ations 1	991 ?	
	ast welcomes the changes, and agrees to the changes proposed in	questions 5	5 and 6.	
I do n	ot want my name/or address published with my re	ocnonco	(please tick)	

How to Respond

Please submit your comments in any of the following ways:

Email

Please complete the consultation form and send it to :

planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk

Please include 'Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers - WG 23275' in the subject line.

Post

Please complete the consultation form and send it to:

Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers Consultation Decisions Branch Planning Division Welsh Assembly Government Cathays Park Cardiff CF10 3 NQ

Additional information

If you have any queries on this consultation, please:

email: <u>planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk</u>; or

telephone: Lewis Thomas on 029 2082 3201

Plan	nning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers			
Da	te of consultation period: 07/11/2014 – 30/01/2015			
Name Andrew Ferguson				
Organisation				
Address	115 Keppoch Street, Roath, Cardiff			
E-mail address	ajferguson80@hotmail.com			
Type (please select	Businesses/ Consultants			
one from the following)	Local Planning Authority			
	Government Agency/Other Public Sector			
	Professional Bodies/Interest Groups			
	Voluntary sector (community groups, volunteers, self help groups, co-operatives, social enterprises, religious, and not for profit organisations)	Ē		
	Other (other groups not listed above) or individual	\boxtimes		

Q1	Do you agree that appeals against the refusal of, and conditions relating to, consents to display an advertisement should be incorporated within an expedited appeal system as part of the Commercial Appeals		Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	Service (CAS)? If not, why not?	\boxtimes		
Comr	ments:			

Q2	Do you agree that the Welsh Ministers should apply section 195 of the Planning Act 2008 in Wales (which amends section 266(1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990) so that the default position for the determination of		Yes (subject to further comment)	No	
Q2	called in applications and appeals by statutory undertakers is that they are dealt with solely by the Welsh Ministers, unless the Welsh Ministers or the relevant Secretary of State gives direction for them to be dealt with jointly?	\boxtimes			
Comn	nents:				
Q3	Do you agree with the 4 week timescale proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons.	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No	
	anomative infloodated with your readons.		\boxtimes		
Comments: Firstly it is recommended that the timeframe is increased to a minimum of 6 weeks to allow for an application to be reported to planning committee if necessary (generally every 4 weeks) and enable the report to be prepared prior to the agenda being set (usually 1 week prior to committee). Scheme of delegations may restrict what could be resolved via this route otherwise if a certain type of application is required to be reported to Planning Committee. The only other query here is if a S106 agreementis required, this is unlikely to be completed within the relevant timeframe or 4 weeks - may need provisio to hold appeal in abeyance longer than this under these circumstances.					
0.1	Is there any other comment you wish to make in	relation	to these change	es to	

Comments:

appeals against non-determination?

In terms of non-determination appeals and allowing non-determination appeals at any time, LPAs can request additional information which is not forthcoming for considerable time and would then be required to issue a decision to close an application which would give the applicant a right of appeal. The removal of the power to dispose of applications or require formal determination (often with a refusal based on insufficient information) requires more work for the LPA that would often be unnecessary. From experience, determining old applications can require re-consultation as policies/ legislation changes over time with applications required to be determined in accordance with up-to-date policies.

If the applicant wishes for more time to submit information, they are entitled to request an extension of time letter and advise when they are likely to submit any requisite information.

Annex 1 – Consultation Response Form Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers

Consultation reference: WG23275

To overcome the problem identified, it may be preferable to extend the time for appeal from 6mths to 12 or 24mths. This would give applicants significantly greater scope to appeal non-determination whilst also enabling older/ historic applications to be disposed off without requiring significant work on the LPAs behalf.

Q5	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine listed building consent and listed building enforcement appeals in relation to Grade I and	Yes	Yes (subject to further	No
	II* listed buildings in line with current procedures for Grade II listed buildings?		comment)	
Q6	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals for which grants have been made by the Welsh Ministers under section 3A or 4 of the Historic Buildings and Ancient Monuments Act 1953?	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
Q7	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against the determination of conditions attached to minerals permissions, made under paragraphs 11(1) of Schedule 13	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	and paragraph 9(1) of Schedule 14 to the Environment Act 1995?	\boxtimes		
Q8	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against decisions or failure to take decisions under section 21 of the Planning	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	(Hazardous Substances) Act 1990?			
Q9	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals under section 208 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 against section	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	207 notices for the replacement of trees?			
Q10 Comr	Are there any additional comments you wish to rechanges to the Town and Country Planning (Det Appointed Persons) (Prescribed Classes) Regularients:	terminat	ion of Appeals b	
l do n	ot want my name/or address published with my re	enoneo	(place tiple)	

How to Respond

Please submit your comments in any of the following ways:

Email

Please complete the consultation form and send it to:

planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk

Please include 'Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers - WG 23275' in the subject line.

Post

Please complete the consultation form and send it to:

Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers Consultation Decisions Branch Planning Division Welsh Assembly Government Cathays Park Cardiff CF10 3 NQ

Additional information

If you have any queries on this consultation, please:

email: <u>planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk</u>; or

telephone: Lewis Thomas on 029 2082 3201

Plai	nning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers					
Date of consultation period: 07/11/2014 - 30/01/2015						
Name	Richard Lewis	4.0				
Organisation	Torfaen Councty Borough Council					
Address	Planning & Public Protection Tŷ Blaen Torfaen, Panteg Way New Inn Pontypool NP4 0LS					
E-mail address	Richard.lewis@torfaen.gov.uk					
Type (please select	Businesses/ Consultants					
one from the following)	Local Planning Authority	\boxtimes				
	Government Agency/Other Public Sector					
	Professional Bodies/Interest Groups					
	Voluntary sector (community groups, volunteers, self help groups, co-operatives, social enterprises, religious, and not for profit organisations)					
	Other (other groups not listed above) or individual					

Q1	Do you agree that appeals against the refusal of, and conditions relating to, consents to display an advertisement should be incorporated within an expedited appeal system as part of the Commercial Appeals.		Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	Service (CAS)? If not, why not?			
Com	ments:	_		

Q2	Do you agree that the Welsh Ministers should apply section 195 of the Planning Act 2008 in Wales (which amends section 266(1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990) so that the default position for the determination of	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
Q2	called in applications and appeals by statutory undertakers is that they are dealt with solely by the Welsh Ministers, unless the Welsh Ministers or the relevant Secretary of State gives direction for them to be dealt with jointly?			0
omi	ments:			
			Yes	
Q3	Do you agree with the 4 week timescale proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest	Yes	(subject to further comment)	No
and a s	proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non- determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons.	Yes		No
Γimes (proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non- determination appeals? If not, please suggest	the case o	further comment) f applications that ne	ed to be
Comi Timeso eporte	proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons. ments: cale should be 6 weeks to allow for 4 weekly committee cycles in the dot committee. Is there any other comment you wish to make in appeals against non-determination?	the case o	further comment) f applications that ne	ed to be
Comi Timeso eporte	proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons. ments: cale should be 6 weeks to allow for 4 weekly committee cycles in the dot committee.	the case o	further comment) f applications that ne	ed to be

Q5	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine listed building consent and listed building enforcement appeals in relation to Grade I and II* listed buildings in line with current procedures for Grade II listed buildings?	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	procedures for Grade II listed buildings?			ш
Q6	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals for which grants have been made by the Welsh Ministers under section 3A or 4 of the Historic Buildings and Ancient Monuments	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	Act 1953?			
Q7	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against the determination of conditions attached to minerals permissions, made under paragraphs 11(1) of Schedule 13	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	and paragraph 9(1) of Schedule 14 to the Environment Act 1995?			
Q8	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against decisions or failure to take decisions under section 21 of the Planning	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	(Hazardous Substances) Act 1990?	\boxtimes		
Q9	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals under section 208 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 against section 207 notices for the replacement of trees?	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
		\boxtimes		
Q10	Are there any additional comments you wish to r changes to the Town and Country Planning (Det Appointed Persons) (Prescribed Classes) Regul	erminati	ion of Appeals b	
Comr	ments:			
I do n	ot want my name/or address published with my re	esponse	(please tick)	

How to Respond

Please submit your comments in any of the following ways:

Email

Please complete the consultation form and send it to :

planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk

Please include 'Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers - WG 23275' in the subject line.

Post

Please complete the consultation form and send it to:

Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers Consultation Decisions Branch Planning Division Welsh Assembly Government Cathays Park Cardiff CF10 3 NQ

Additional information

If you have any queries on this consultation, please:

email: <u>planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk</u>; or

telephone: Lewis Thomas on 029 2082 3201

Plai	nning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers		
Da	ate of consultation period: 07/11/2014 – 30/01/2015		
Name	Tim Stephens		
Organisation	Caerphilly County Borough Council		
Address	Pontllanfraith House Pontllanfraith NP12 2YW		
E-mail address	stepht@caerphilly.gov.uk		
Type (please select	Businesses/ Consultants		
one from the following)	Local Planning Authority	\boxtimes	
	Government Agency/Other Public Sector		
	Professional Bodies/Interest Groups		
	Voluntary sector (community groups, volunteers, self help groups, co-operatives, social enterprises, religious, and not for profit organisations)		
	Other (other groups not listed above) or individual		

Q1	Do you agree that appeals against the refusal of, and conditions relating to, consents to display an advertisement should be incorporated within an expedited appeal system as part of the Commercial Appeals	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	Service (CAS)? If not, why not?			
Comr	ments:			

	Do you agree that the Welsh Ministers should apply section 195 of the Planning Act 2008 in Wales (which amends section 266(1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990) so that the default position for the determination of	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
Q2	called in applications and appeals by statutory undertakers is that they are dealt with solely by the Welsh Ministers, unless the Welsh Ministers or the relevant Secretary of State gives direction for them to be dealt with jointly?			0
	Do you agree with the 4 week timescale	Ves	Yes	
Q3	Do you agree with the 4 week timescale proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons.	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non- determination appeals? If not, please suggest	Yes	(subject to further	No
Com	proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons. ments: Is there any other comment you wish to make in		(subject to further comment)	
Com	proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons. ments: Is there any other comment you wish to make in appeals against non-determination?		(subject to further comment)	
Com	proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons. ments: Is there any other comment you wish to make in		(subject to further comment)	

Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine listed building enforcement appeals in relation to Grade I and II* listed buildings in line with current procedures for Grade II listed buildings? Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals for which grants have been made by the Welsh Ministers under section 3A or 4 of the Historic Buildings and Ancient Monuments Act 1953? Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against the determination of conditions attached to minerals permissions, made under paragraphs 11(1) of Schedule 13 and paragraph 9(1) of Schedule 14 to the Environment Act 1995? Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against decisions or failure to take decisions under section 21 of the Planning (Hazardous Substances) Act 1990? Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals under section 28 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 against section 207 notices for the replacement of trees? Are there any additional comments you wish to make in relation to these changes to the Town and Country Planning (Determination of Appeals by Appointed Persons) (Prescribed Classes) Regulations 1997? Comments: No					
Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals for which grants have been made by the Welsh Ministers under section 3A or 4 of the Historic Buildings and Ancient Monuments Act 1953? Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against the determination of conditions attached to minerals permissions, made under paragraphs 11(1) of Schedule 13 and paragraph 9(1) of Schedule 14 to the Environment Act 1995? Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against decisions or failure to take decisions under section 21 of the Planning (Hazardous Substances) Act 1990? Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals under section 208 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 against section 207 notices for the replacement of trees? Are there any additional comments you wish to make in relation to these changes to the Town and Country Planning (Determination of Appeals by Appointed Persons) (Prescribed Classes) Regulations 1997? Comments: No	Q5	should be given authority to determine listed building consent and listed building enforcement appeals in relation to Grade I and II* listed buildings in line with current		(subject to further	No
should be given authority to determine appeals for which grants have been made by the Welsh Ministers under section 3A or 4 of the Historic Buildings and Ancient Monuments Act 1953? Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against the determination of conditions attached to minerals permissions, made under paragraphs 11(1) of Schedule 13 and paragraph 9(1) of Schedule 13 and paragraph 9(1) of Schedule 14 to the Environment Act 1995? Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against decisions or failure to take decisions under section 21 of the Planning (Hazardous Substances) Act 1990? Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals under section 208 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 against section 207 notices for the replacement of trees? Are there any additional comments you wish to make in relation to these changes to the Town and Country Planning (Determination of Appeals by Appointed Persons) (Prescribed Classes) Regulations 1997? Comments: No		procedures for Grade II listed buildings?			
Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against the determination of conditions attached to minerals permissions, made under paragraphs 11(1) of Schedule 13 and paragraph 9(1) of Schedule 14 to the Environment Act 1995? Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against decisions or failure to take decisions under section 21 of the Planning (Hazardous Substances) Act 1990? Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals under section 208 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 against section 207 notices for the replacement of trees? Are there any additional comments you wish to make in relation to these changes to the Town and Country Planning (Determination of Appeals by Appointed Persons) (Prescribed Classes) Regulations 1997? Comments: No	Q6	should be given authority to determine appeals for which grants have been made by the Welsh Ministers under section 3A or 4 of the Historic Buildings and Ancient Monuments		(subject to further	No
should be given authority to determine appeals against the determination of conditions attached to minerals permissions, made under paragraphs 11(1) of Schedule 13 and paragraph 9(1) of Schedule 14 to the Environment Act 1995? Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against decisions or failure to take decisions under section 21 of the Planning (Hazardous Substances) Act 1990? Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals under section 208 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 against section 207 notices for the replacement of trees? Are there any additional comments you wish to make in relation to these changes to the Town and Country Planning (Determination of Appeals by Appointed Persons) (Prescribed Classes) Regulations 1997? Comments: No					
Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against decisions or failure to take decisions under section 21 of the Planning (Hazardous Substances) Act 1990? Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals under section 208 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 against section 207 notices for the replacement of trees? Are there any additional comments you wish to make in relation to these changes to the Town and Country Planning (Determination of Appeals by Appointed Persons) (Prescribed Classes) Regulations 1997? Comments: No	Q7	should be given authority to determine appeals against the determination of conditions attached to minerals permissions, made under paragraphs 11(1) of Schedule 13	Yes	(subject to further	No
should be given authority to determine appeals against decisions or failure to take decisions under section 21 of the Planning (Hazardous Substances) Act 1990? Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals under section 208 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 against section 207 notices for the replacement of trees? Are there any additional comments you wish to make in relation to these changes to the Town and Country Planning (Determination of Appeals by Appointed Persons) (Prescribed Classes) Regulations 1997? Comments: No					
Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals under section 208 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 against section 207 notices for the replacement of trees? Are there any additional comments you wish to make in relation to these changes to the Town and Country Planning (Determination of Appeals by Appointed Persons) (Prescribed Classes) Regulations 1997? Comments: No	Q8	should be given authority to determine appeals against decisions or failure to take decisions under section 21 of the Planning	Yes	(subject to further	No
should be given authority to determine appeals under section 208 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 against section 207 notices for the replacement of trees? Are there any additional comments you wish to make in relation to these changes to the Town and Country Planning (Determination of Appeals by Appointed Persons) (Prescribed Classes) Regulations 1997? Comments: No		(Hazardous Substances) Act 1990?	\boxtimes		
Are there any additional comments you wish to make in relation to these changes to the Town and Country Planning (Determination of Appeals by Appointed Persons) (Prescribed Classes) Regulations 1997? Comments: No	Q9	should be given authority to determine appeals under section 208 of the Town and	Yes	(subject to further	No
changes to the Town and Country Planning (Determination of Appeals by Appointed Persons) (Prescribed Classes) Regulations 1997? Comments: No		207 notices for the replacement of trees?	\boxtimes		
I do not want my name/or address published with my response (places tiels)	Comr	changes to the Town and Country Planning (Det Appointed Persons) (Prescribed Classes) Regul	erminat	ion of Appeals b	
	1 da	of word my name (or address with list ad with year	200222	(places tiple)	

How to Respond

Please submit your comments in any of the following ways:

Email

Please complete the consultation form and send it to :

planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk

Please include 'Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers - WG 23275' in the subject line.

Post

Please complete the consultation form and send it to:

Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers Consultation Decisions Branch Planning Division Welsh Assembly Government Cathays Park Cardiff CF10 3 NQ

Additional information

If you have any queries on this consultation, please:

email: <u>planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk</u>; or

telephone: Lewis Thomas on 029 2082 3201

Plai	nning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers	
Da	te of consultation period: 07/11/2014 - 30/01/2015	
Name	Neil Richardson	
Organisation	RWE Generation UK plc	
Address	Electron Building Windmill Hill Business Park Whitehill Way SWINDON SN5 6PB	
E-mail address	neil richardson@rwenpower.com	
Type (please select	Businesses/ Consultants	\boxtimes
one from the following)	Local Planning Authority	
	Government Agency/Other Public Sector	
	Professional Bodies/Interest Groups	
	Voluntary sector (community groups, volunteers, self help groups, co-operatives, social enterprises, religious, and not for profit organisations)	
	Other (other groups not listed above) or individual	

Q1	Do you agree that appeals against the refusal of, and conditions relating to, consents to display an advertisement should be incorporated within an expedited appeal system as part of the Commercial Appeals	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	Service (CAS)? If not, why not?	\boxtimes		

Comments:

While we do not have a direct interest in this matter we would welcome any steps which reduce the burden on local planning authorities and the Planning Inspectorate arising from processing minor appeals of this nature.

und by the Mingive join Comments This could posites. It is clear appeals by star and the Welsh It is particular with the Secret responsibility. We support the Doproj determined after the Comments We agree with final opportunitiesser period of the Minal opportunitiesser period of the Minal opportunities of the Minal o	alled in applications and appeals by statutory indertakers is that they are dealt with solely of the Welsh Ministers, unless the Welsh inisters or the relevant Secretary of State was direction for them to be dealt with intly? Ints: potentially directly affect our own applications in relation to learly anomalous that in the Welsh context of a devolved plastatutory undertakers may by default fall to be determined joulish Ministers. Clarly anomalous that appeals by Natural Resources Wales show cretary of State for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, giving for any Welsh internal matters and NRW is a Welsh Govern this proposed change.	unning syst intly by the ould be sul ven that DI	em, called in applicate relevant UK Secretar oject to determination EFRA does not have	tions and ary of Stat i jointly direct
This could posites. It is clear appeals by star and the Welsh It is particular with the Secretesponsibility. We support the Doproj determined agree with final opporturiesser period of the secretesponsibility.	potentially directly affect our own applications in relation to learly anomalous that in the Welsh context of a devolved plastatutory undertakers may by default fall to be determined joulsh Ministers. Italy anomalous that appeals by Natural Resources Wales shources of State for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, giving for any Welsh internal matters and NRW is a Welsh Government.	unning syst intly by the ould be sul ven that DI	em, called in applicate relevant UK Secretar oject to determination EFRA does not have	tions and ary of Stat i jointly direct
project determination of the comments of the c				
project determined opportunesser period				
Comments We agree with final opportur esser period	o you agree with the 4 week timescale roposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-etermination appeals? If not, please suggest ternative timescales with your reasons.	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
We agree with final opportur lesser period	terriative timescales with your reasons.	\boxtimes		
	with the proposed 4 week period. The purpose of this period is tunity to issue a decision before jurisdiction transfers comple id will be insufficient to allow this, a longer period introduces the appeal process where an appeal is no longer required.	tely to the	Planning Inspectorate	e. Any
		relation	to these chang	es to
Comments	there any other comment you wish to make in opeals against non-determination?			

Q5	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine listed building consent and listed building enforcement appeals in relation to Grade I and II* listed buildings in line with current	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	procedures for Grade II listed buildings?			
Q6	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals for which grants have been made by the Welsh Ministers under section 3A or 4 of the Historic Buildings and Ancient Monuments Act 1953?	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
Q7	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against the determination of conditions attached to minerals permissions, made under paragraphs 11(1) of Schedule 13	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	and paragraph 9(1) of Schedule 14 to the Environment Act 1995?			
Q8	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against decisions or failure to take decisions under section 21 of the Planning	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	(Hazardous Substances) Act 1990?	\boxtimes		
Q9	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals under section 208 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 against section	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	207 notices for the replacement of trees?	\boxtimes		
Q10	Are there any additional comments you wish to r changes to the Town and Country Planning (Det Appointed Persons) (Prescribed Classes) Regula			

How to Respond

Please submit your comments in any of the following ways:

Email

Please complete the consultation form and send it to :

planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk

Please include 'Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers - WG 23275' in the subject line.

Post

Please complete the consultation form and send it to:

Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers Consultation Decisions Branch Planning Division Welsh Assembly Government Cathays Park Cardiff CF10 3 NQ

Additional information

If you have any queries on this consultation, please:

email: planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk; or

telephone: Lewis Thomas on 029 2082 3201

Plan	Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers			
Da	ate of consultation period: 07/11/2014 – 30/01/2015			
Name				
Organisation	Sainsburys Supermarkets Ltd			
Address	c/o Peter Waldren, WYG, 5th Floor Longcross Court, 47 Newport Road, Car 0AD	diff, CF24		
E-mail address	WYG: peter.waldren@wyg.com			
Type (please select	Businesses/ Consultants			
one from the following)	Local Planning Authority			
	Government Agency/Other Public Sector			
	Professional Bodies/Interest Groups			
	Voluntary sector (community groups, volunteers, self help groups, co-operatives, social enterprises, religious, and not for profit organisations)			
	Other (other groups not listed above) or individual			

Q1	Do you agree that appeals against the refusal of, and conditions relating to, consents to display an advertisement should be incorporated within an expedited appeal system as part of the Commercial Appeals	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	Service (CAS)? If not, why not?	\boxtimes		
Comr	ments:			

	Do you agree that the Welsh Ministers should apply section 195 of the Planning Act 2008 in Wales (which amends section 266(1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990) so that the default position for the determination of	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
Q2	called in applications and appeals by statutory undertakers is that they are dealt with solely by the Welsh Ministers, unless the Welsh Ministers or the relevant Secretary of State gives direction for them to be dealt with jointly?			0
	ments:			
				1
Q3	Do you agree with the 4 week timescale proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons.	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	alternative timescales with your reasons.			
	nsider an 8 week timescale should be put in place to leave sufficientions with officers of the LPA, and for the subsequent agreed positions.			ul
formal particu	resolution. Four weeks does not leave sufficient time for the relevelar course of action. Is there any other comment you wish to make in	ant comm	uittee to meet and res	olve a
Q4 Comr	resolution. Four weeks does not leave sufficient time for the relevelar course of action.	relation	n to these chang	olve a

Q5	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine listed building consent and listed building enforcement appeals in relation to Grade I and	Yes	Yes (subject to further	No
	II* listed buildings in line with current procedures for Grade II listed buildings?		comment)	
Q6	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals for which grants have been made by the Welsh Ministers under section 3A or 4 of the Historic Buildings and Ancient Monuments	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	Act 1953?			
Q 7	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against the determination of conditions attached to minerals permissions, made under paragraphs 11(1) of Schedule 13	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	and paragraph 9(1) of Schedule 14 to the Environment Act 1995?			
Q8	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against decisions or failure to take decisions under section 21 of the Planning	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	(Hazardous Substances) Act 1990?			
Q9	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals under section 208 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 against section	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	207 notices for the replacement of trees?			
Q10 Comr N/A	Are there any additional comments you wish to r changes to the Town and Country Planning (Det Appointed Persons) (Prescribed Classes) Regul- nents:	erminat	ion of Appeals b	
1/12/2	ot want my name/or address published with my re		(alabase Bala)	

How to Respond

Please submit your comments in any of the following ways:

Email

Please complete the consultation form and send it to :

planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk

Please include 'Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers - WG 23275' in the subject line.

Post

Please complete the consultation form and send it to:

Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers Consultation Decisions Branch Planning Division Welsh Assembly Government Cathays Park Cardiff CF10 3 NQ

Additional information

If you have any queries on this consultation, please:

email: <u>planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk</u>; or

telephone: Lewis Thomas on 029 2082 3201

Plai	nning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers			
Da	ate of consultation period: 07/11/2014 – 30/01/2015			
Name Lori Frecker				
Organisation	The Law Society			
Address	113 Chancery Lane London WC2A 1PL			
E-mail address	Lori.Frecker@lawsociety.org.uk			
Type (please select	Businesses/ Consultants			
one from the following)	Local Planning Authority			
	Government Agency/Other Public Sector			
	Professional Bodies/Interest Groups	\boxtimes		
	Voluntary sector (community groups, volunteers, self help groups, co-operatives, social enterprises, religious, and not for profit organisations)	Ī.		
	Other (other groups not listed above) or individual			

Q1	Do you agree that appeals against the refusal of, and conditions relating to, consents to display an advertisement should be incorporated within an expedited appeal system as part of the Commercial Appeals	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	Service (CAS)? If not, why not?	\boxtimes		
	ments: w Society agrees with this proposal.			

	Do you agree that the Welsh Ministers should apply section 195 of the Planning Act 2008 in Wales (which amends section 266(1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990) so that the default position for the determination of	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
Q2	called in applications and appeals by statutory undertakers is that they are dealt with solely by the Welsh Ministers, unless the Welsh Ministers or the relevant Secretary of State gives direction for them to be dealt with jointly?			
	nents: w Society agrees with this proposal.			
Q3	Do you agree with the 4 week timescale proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	alternative timescales with your reasons.			
to be un Govern In pract decision commit An alte to retain abeyan scenario	we Society considers that a four week timescale may be too short a necessary. An extension of the period to six weeks could be more ment's policy objective. tice, the most likely scenario in non-determination appeals is a pern-making, which may involve the preparation of a report and, posttee. The period, which would address the concern about wasted expenditure in the four week period, but then allow the applicant and the local acce for up to a further four weeks during which the dual jurisdiction of where discussions have made progress but the agreement needs a process.	riod of fur ssibly, con ure by the l authority to n would co	ther discussion follows the discussion follows: Planning Inspectorate to jointly request a peopre on time. This would the discussion of t	wed by ning e, would beriod of cover a
Q4	Is there any other comment you wish to make in appeals against non-determination?	relation	to these chang	es to
		relation	to these chang	es to

Annex 1 – Consultation Response Form Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers

Consultation reference: WG23275

Q5	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine listed building consent and listed building enforcement appeals in relation to Grade I and II* listed buildings in line with current procedures for Grade II listed buildings?	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	procedures for Grade II listed buildings?	X		
Q6	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals for which grants have been made by the Welsh Ministers under section 3A or 4 of the Historic Buildings and Ancient Monuments.	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	Act 1953?			
Q 7	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against the determination of conditions attached to minerals permissions, made under paragraphs 11(1) of Schedule 13	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	and paragraph 9(1) of Schedule 14 to the Environment Act 1995?			
Q8	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against decisions or failure to take decisions under section 21 of the Planning	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	(Hazardous Substances) Act 1990?	\boxtimes		
Q9	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals under section 208 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 against section	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	207 notices for the replacement of trees?			

Q10	changes to the Town and Country Planning (Determination of Appeals by Appointed Persons) (Prescribed Classes) Regulations 1997?
Comr	nents:
should	w Society agrees with the proposals referred to in questions 5 to 9 and the general proposition that appeals be determined by a planning inspector subject to the Welsh Minsters retaining a general power to recover tion in exceptional cases.

I do not want my name/or address published with my response (please tick) I		_
	l do not want my name/or address published with my response (please tick) 🗌	

How to Respond

Please submit your comments in any of the following ways:

Email

Please complete the consultation form and send it to:

planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk

Please include 'Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers - WG 23275' in the subject line.

Post

Please complete the consultation form and send it to:

Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers Consultation Decisions Branch Planning Division Welsh Assembly Government Cathays Park Cardiff CF10 3 NQ

Additional information

If you have any queries on this consultation, please:

email: <u>planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk</u>; or

telephone: Lewis Thomas on 029 2082 3201

Plan	nning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers				
Da	ate of consultation period: 07/11/2014 – 30/01/2015				
Name	Name Ceredigion County Council				
Organisation	Ceredigion County Council				
Address	Neuadd Cyngor Ceredigion Penmorfa Aberaeron Ceredigion SA46 0PA				
E-mail address	ldp@ceredigion.gov.uk				
Type (please select	Businesses/ Consultants				
one from the following)	Local Planning Authority	\boxtimes			
	Government Agency/Other Public Sector				
	Professional Bodies/Interest Groups				
	Voluntary sector (community groups, volunteers, self help groups, co-operatives, social enterprises, religious, and not for profit organisations)				
	Other (other groups not listed above) or individual				

of di in	o you agree that appeals against the refusal f, and conditions relating to, consents to isplay an advertisement should be corporated within an expedited appeal ystem as part of the Commercial Appeals.	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	ervice (CAS)? If not, why not?		\boxtimes	

This seems a sensible suggestion, in principle, subject to reassurance that the process will enable a sound understanding and full consideration of the issues in areas such as Conservation Areas (Article 1(5) land) given the impact that advertisements can have in such sensitive locations.

	Do you agree that the Welsh Ministers should apply section 195 of the Planning Act 2008 in Wales (which amends section 266(1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990) so that the default position for the determination of	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
Q2	called in applications and appeals by statutory undertakers is that they are dealt with solely by the Welsh Ministers, unless the Welsh Ministers or the relevant Secretary of State gives direction for them to be dealt with jointly?			0
Comr	ments:			
	Do you agree with the 4 week timescale	Yes	Yes (subject to	No
Q3	proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non- determination appeals? If not, please suggest		further comment)	
Series 1			further comment)	
Comr Given the pro frequer seem n	determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons. ments: the likelihood for applications the subject of such appeals to be reposed 4 week opportunity for the LPA to continue to be able to make of committee meetings and necessary lead-in times. On this base here appropriate.	ake a deci	comment) committee, it is important is not prejudiced tended period of 6 week	l by the eeks woul
Comr Given the pro frequer eem n	determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons. ments: the likelihood for applications the subject of such appeals to be reposed 4 week opportunity for the LPA to continue to be able to make of committee meetings and necessary lead-in times. On this base here appropriate. Is there any other comment you wish to make in appeals against non-determination?	ake a deci	comment) committee, it is important is not prejudiced tended period of 6 week	l by the eeks woul
Comr Given the pro frequence not be the pro-	determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons. ments: the likelihood for applications the subject of such appeals to be reposed 4 week opportunity for the LPA to continue to be able to make of committee meetings and necessary lead-in times. On this base here appropriate.	ake a deci	comment) committee, it is important is not prejudiced tended period of 6 week	l by the eeks woul

Q5	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine listed building consent and listed building enforcement appeals in relation to Grade I and II* listed buildings in line with current procedures for Grade II listed buildings?	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	procedures for Grade II listed buildings?			ш
Q6	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals for which grants have been made by the Welsh Ministers under section 3A or 4 of the Historic Buildings and Ancient Monuments	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	Act 1953?			
Q7	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against the determination of conditions attached to minerals permissions, made under paragraphs 11(1) of Schedule 13	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	and paragraph 9(1) of Schedule 14 to the Environment Act 1995?			
Q8	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against decisions or failure to take decisions under section 21 of the Planning	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	(Hazardous Substances) Act 1990?	\boxtimes		
Q9	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals under section 208 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 against section	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	207 notices for the replacement of trees?	\boxtimes		
Q10	Are there any additional comments you wish to r changes to the Town and Country Planning (Det Appointed Persons) (Prescribed Classes) Regul	erminati	ion of Appeals b	
Comr	ments:			
I do n	ot want my name/or address published with my re	esponse	(please tick)	

How to Respond

Please submit your comments in any of the following ways:

Email

Please complete the consultation form and send it to :

planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk

Please include 'Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers - WG 23275' in the subject line.

Post

Please complete the consultation form and send it to:

Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers Consultation Decisions Branch Planning Division Welsh Assembly Government Cathays Park Cardiff CF10 3 NQ

Additional information

If you have any queries on this consultation, please:

email: <u>planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk</u>; or

telephone: Lewis Thomas on 029 2082 3201

Plan	nning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers	
Da	te of consultation period: 07/11/2014 – 30/01/2015	
Name	Chris Burdett	2.1
Organisation	Lisvane Community Council	
Address	38, Llwyn y Pia Road, Lisvane Cardiff CF14 0SY	
E-mail address	clerk.lisvanecc@btinternet.com	
Type (please select	Businesses/ Consultants	
one from the following)	Local Planning Authority	
	Government Agency/Other Public Sector	\boxtimes
	Professional Bodies/Interest Groups	
	Voluntary sector (community groups, volunteers, self help groups, co-operatives, social enterprises, religious, and not for profit organisations)	j.
	Other (other groups not listed above) or individual	

Q1	Do you agree that appeals against the refusal of, and conditions relating to, consents to display an advertisement should be incorporated within an expedited appeal system as part of the Commercial Appeals	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	Service (CAS)? If not, why not?	\boxtimes		
Comr	ments:			

Q2	Do you agree that the Welsh Ministers should apply section 195 of the Planning Act 2008 in Wales (which amends section 266(1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990) so that the default position for the determination of called in applications and appeals by statutory undertakers is that they are dealt with solely by the Welsh Ministers, unless the Welsh Ministers or the relevant Secretary of State gives direction for them to be dealt with jointly?	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
				0
Comi	ments:			
Q3	Do you agree with the 4 week timescale proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons.	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	anomative unreceases with year reasons.			
Comi	ments:			
Com	ments:			
Comi	Is there any other comment you wish to make in appeals against non-determination?		n to these chang	es to
Q4	Is there any other comment you wish to make in		n to these chang	res to
Q4	Is there any other comment you wish to make in appeals against non-determination?		n to these chang	es to

Q5	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine listed building consent and listed building enforcement appeals in relation to Grade I and II* listed buildings in line with current	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	procedures for Grade II listed buildings?	Ш		
Q6	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals for which grants have been made by the Welsh Ministers under section 3A or 4 of the Historic Buildings and Ancient Monuments	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	Act 1953?			ш
Q7	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against the determination of conditions attached to minerals permissions, made under paragraphs 11(1) of Schedule 13	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	and paragraph 9(1) of Schedule 14 to the Environment Act 1995?			
Q8	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against decisions or failure to take decisions under section 21 of the Planning	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	(Hazardous Substances) Act 1990?	\boxtimes		
Q9	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals under section 208 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 against section	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	207 notices for the replacement of trees?			
Q10	Are there any additional comments you wish to r changes to the Town and Country Planning (Det Appointed Persons) (Prescribed Classes) Regul	erminati	ion of Appeals b	
Comr	ments:			
I do n	ot want my name/or address published with my re	esponse	(please tick)	

How to Respond

Please submit your comments in any of the following ways:

Email

Please complete the consultation form and send it to :

planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk

Please include 'Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers - WG 23275' in the subject line.

Post

Please complete the consultation form and send it to:

Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers Consultation Decisions Branch Planning Division Welsh Assembly Government Cathays Park Cardiff CF10 3 NQ

Additional information

If you have any queries on this consultation, please:

email: <u>planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk</u>; or

telephone: Lewis Thomas on 029 2082 3201

Plan	nning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers	
Da	ate of consultation period: 07/11/2014 – 30/01/2015	
Name	Jonathan Parsons	
Organisation	Bridgend County Borough Council	
Address	Civic Offices Angel St Bridgend CF31 4WB	
E-mail address	planning@bridgend.gov.uk	
Type (please select	Businesses/ Consultants	
one from the following)	Local Planning Authority	
	Government Agency/Other Public Sector	
	Professional Bodies/Interest Groups	
	Voluntary sector (community groups, volunteers, self help groups, co-operatives, social enterprises, religious, and not for profit organisations)	
	Other (other groups not listed above) or individual	

Q1	Do you agree that appeals against the refusal of, and conditions relating to, consents to display an advertisement should be incorporated within an expedited appeal system as part of the Commercial Appeals	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	Service (CAS)? If not, why not?	\boxtimes		
Comr	ments:			

	undertakers is that they are dealt with solely	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
Q2				0
Comr	ments:			
Q3	Do you agree with the 4 week timescale proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons.	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	alternative timescales with your reasons.		П	
	ments:			
Given of time for Planning following	current staffing and resource issues with LPAs it is unlikley that the rany meaningful discussion. A period of a further 8 weeks would not provide the resources and whilst it is appreciated and a longer prescribed period the sme applies to LPAs who also consists with developer to achieve a positive result only for the PI to a like the result of the result of the PI to a like the result of the result of the PI to a like the result of the result of the PI to a like the result of the result	d be more ed that the ould wast accitivate	appropriate.It is a materie may be wasted extended extend	sufficient atter for the penditure on
Given of time for Planning following discussions of the app	current staffing and resource issues with LPAs it is unlikley that the rany meaningful discussion. A period of a further 8 weeks would be a supported to organise its rescources and whilst it is appreciated a longer prescribed period the sme applies to LPAs who also consistent of the property of the pr	d be more ed that the ould wast accitivate relation	appropriate. It is a mater may be wasted extended to the and resources the appeal. I to these changer are to be weighted in the second to the	sufficient atter for the penditure on
Comr Some of the app pressur	Is there any other comment you wish to make in appeals against non-determination? Is there are welcomed however, the proposals under this second and the reforms are welcomed however, the proposals under this second and the second	d be more ed that the ould wast accitivate relation etion appear knowing	appropriate. It is a mater may be wasted extended to the and resources the appeal. It to these changes are to be weighted in the that it puts LPAs under the appear to the that it puts LPAs under the appear to the that it puts LPAs under the appear to the that it puts LPAs under the appear to the that it puts LPAs under the appear to the that it puts LPAs under the appear to the that it puts LPAs under the appear to the that it puts LPAs under the appear to th	sufficient atter for the penditure on

Q5	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine listed building consent and listed building enforcement appeals in relation to Grade I and II* listed buildings in line with current procedures for Grade II listed buildings?	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	procedures for Grade II listed buildings?			ш
Q6	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals for which grants have been made by the Welsh Ministers under section 3A or 4 of the Historic Buildings and Ancient Monuments	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	Act 1953?			
Q7	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against the determination of conditions attached to minerals permissions, made under paragraphs 11(1) of Schedule 13	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	and paragraph 9(1) of Schedule 14 to the Environment Act 1995?			
Q8	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against decisions or failure to take decisions under section 21 of the Planning	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	(Hazardous Substances) Act 1990?	\boxtimes		
Q9	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals under section 208 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 against section	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	207 notices for the replacement of trees?	\boxtimes		
Q10	Are there any additional comments you wish to r changes to the Town and Country Planning (Det Appointed Persons) (Prescribed Classes) Regul	erminati	ion of Appeals b	
Comr	ments:			
I do n	ot want my name/or address published with my re	esponse	(please tick)	

How to Respond

Please submit your comments in any of the following ways:

Email

Please complete the consultation form and send it to :

planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk

Please include 'Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers - WG 23275' in the subject line.

Post

Please complete the consultation form and send it to:

Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers Consultation Decisions Branch Planning Division Welsh Assembly Government Cathays Park Cardiff CF10 3 NQ

Additional information

If you have any queries on this consultation, please:

email: <u>planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk</u>; or

telephone: Lewis Thomas on 029 2082 3201

Plan	nning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers		
Da	te of consultation period: 07/11/2014 – 30/01/2015		
Name	Stefan Preuss		
Organisation	National Grid ple		
Address National Grid House, Warwick Technology Park, Gallows Hill, Warwick, CV			
E-mail address	stefan.preuss@nationalgrid.com		
Type (please select	Businesses/ Consultants	\boxtimes	
one from the following)	Local Planning Authority		
	Government Agency/Other Public Sector		
	Professional Bodies/Interest Groups		
	Voluntary sector (community groups, volunteers, self help groups, co-operatives, social enterprises, religious, and not for profit organisations)		
	Other (other groups not listed above) or individual		

Q1	Do you agree that appeals against the refusal of, and conditions relating to, consents to display an advertisement should be incorporated within an expedited appeal system as part of the Commercial Appeals.	relating to, consents to divertisement should be an expedited appeal of the Commercial Appeals Yes Yes (subject to further comment)	
	Service (CAS)? If not, why not?		
Comr No con	ments:		

	Do you agree that the Welsh Ministers should apply section 195 of the Planning Act 2008 in Wales (which amends section 266(1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990) so that the default position for the determination of	ct 2008 in 1) of the 20) so that ation of Yes (subject to further comment)			
Q2	called in applications and appeals by statutory undertakers is that they are dealt with solely by the Welsh Ministers, unless the Welsh Ministers or the relevant Secretary of State gives direction for them to be dealt with jointly?				
Comn	nents:				
	sent arrangements for joint determination provide a clear process ational processes and responsibilties. This brings clarity and predic		gns with planning an	d	
We won	e, setting out the circumstances in which joint determination would uld suggest that such a direction policy clarifies that, where applic order dimension in terms of their geographic location or functional evolved jurisdication (e.g. energy policy), there should be a presur-	eations by	statutory undertaker or where the applicat	s have a	
Nationa how the provision	more it is unclear how the Planning (Wales) Bill deals with joint of all Significance (DNS) applications by statutory undertakers. We were current proposals for DNS sit within the constitutional arrangements, including responsibilities and thresholds, will need to be constitutional should remain appropriate in relevant cases.	vould weld ents for jo	come clarification on oint determination. T	this and he DNS	
Nationa how the provision	al Significance (DNS) applications by statutory undertakers. We we current proposals for DNS sit within the constitutional arrangements, including responsibilities and thresholds, will need to be constitutional should remain appropriate in relevant cases. Do you agree with the 4 week timescale proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest	vould weld ents for jo	come clarification on oint determination. T	this and he DNS	
Nationa how the provisic that join	al Significance (DNS) applications by statutory undertakers. We we current proposals for DNS sit within the constitutional arrangements, including responsibilities and thresholds, will need to be constitution should remain appropriate in relevant cases. Do you agree with the 4 week timescale proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-	yould well- ents for jo sistent with	Yes (subject to further	this and he DNS atary intent	
National how the provision that join	al Significance (DNS) applications by statutory undertakers. We we current proposals for DNS sit within the constitutional arrangements, including responsibilities and thresholds, will need to be constitutional should remain appropriate in relevant cases. Do you agree with the 4 week timescale proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest	vould weldents for jo sistent wit	Yes (subject to further	this and he DNS attary intent	
National how the provision that join	Do you agree with the 4 week timescale proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons.	yould well- ents for jo sistent with	Yes (subject to further	this and he DNS attary intent	

Annex 1 – Consultation Response Form Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers

Consultation reference: WG2321	75		

Q5	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine listed building consent and listed building enforcement appeals in relation to Grade I and II* listed buildings in line with current	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	procedures for Grade II listed buildings?	\boxtimes		
Q6	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals for which grants have been made by the Welsh Ministers under section 3A or 4 of the Historic Buildings and Ancient Monuments	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	Act 1953?			
Q 7	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against the determination of conditions attached to minerals permissions, made under paragraphs 11(1) of Schedule 13	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	and paragraph 9(1) of Schedule 14 to the Environment Act 1995?			
Q 8	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against decisions or failure to take decisions under section 21 of the Planning	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	(Hazardous Substances) Act 1990?			
Q9	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals under section 208 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 against section	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	207 notices for the replacement of trees?	\boxtimes		
Q10	Are there any additional comments you wish to rechanges to the Town and Country Planning (Det Appointed Persons) (Prescribed Classes) Regula	nake in erminat	ion of Appeals b	
Comr	ments:	udons 1	001:	

Welsh Government 5

I do not want my name/or address published with my response (please tick)

How to Respond

Please submit your comments in any of the following ways:

Email

Please complete the consultation form and send it to:

planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk

Please include 'Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers - WG 23275' in the subject line.

Post

Please complete the consultation form and send it to:

Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers Consultation Decisions Branch Planning Division Welsh Assembly Government Cathays Park Cardiff CF10 3 NQ

Additional information

If you have any queries on this consultation, please:

email: planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk; or telephone: Lewis Thomas on 029 2082 3201

From:	Dave.MHPD.Adams@hse.gsi.gov.uk	PD-Con. WG 23275-02
Sent:	28 January 2015 13:11	
То:	planconsultations-g	
Subject:	Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers - WG 23275	
For the attention	n of: The Welsh Government (Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers Cons	sultation)
Dear Sir / Madam	n,	
which appears to	eve any comments specifically relating to this consultation and does not therefore intend to make mainly relate to planning decisions and the transfer of powers from Welsh Ministers to the Plant or safety matters for us to comment on.	
Yours faithfully,		
Dave Adams		
Dave.MHPD.Ada	ums .	
Land Use Planni	ing Policy, Major Hazards Policy Division, Hazardous Installations Directorate, Health and	Safety Executive.
Desk 20, 5.S.2, R	Redgrave Court, Merton Road, Bootle, Merseyside L20 7HS	
0151 951 3408 <u>da</u>	ave.mhpd.adams@hse.gsi.gov.uk	
www.hse.gov.uk	http://hse.gov.uk/landuseplanning	
*******	******************	
	ing and outgoing email messages are routinely monitored for compliance with our policy on the use of electronic cor r lawful purposes by the GSI service provider.	ommunications and may be automatically logged, monitored
Interested in Occupat	ational Health and Safety information?	
Please visit the HSE	website at the following address to keep yourself up to date	
www.hse.gov.uk		
*******	***********	

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) This email has been certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

Da	ate of consultation period: 07/11/20	14 - 30	/01/2015	
Name				
Organisation	Vattenfall UK			
Address				
E-mail address				
Type (please select	Businesses/ Consultants			
one from the following)	Local Planning Authority			
	Government Agency/Other Public Sector			
	Professional Bodies/Interest Groups			
	Voluntary sector (community groups, volunteers, self help groups, co-operatives, social enterprises, religious, and not for profit organisations)			
	Other (other groups not listed above) or individual			
of, and condisplay a incorporate	ree that appeals against the refusal onditions relating to, consents to an advertisement should be ded within an expedited appeal	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	part of the Commercial Appeals AS)? If not, why not?			
Comments:				

	Do you agree that the Welsh Ministers should apply section 195 of the Planning Act 2008 in Wales (which amends section 266(1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990) so that the default position for the determination of	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
Q2	called in applications and appeals by statutory undertakers is that they are dealt with solely by the Welsh Ministers, unless the Welsh Ministers or the relevant Secretary of State gives direction for them to be dealt with jointly?			
Comi	ments:			
Q3	Do you agree with the 4 week timescale proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-	Yes	Yes (subject to further	No
Cardinal Control	determination appeals? If not, please suggest		comment)	
200	alternative timescales with your reasons.		comment)	
Comi Timely are in p	alternative timescales with your reasons. ments: y decision making is vital for investor confidence in the Welsh man place help to provide the certainty needed.		sures to ensure clear t	
Comi Timely are in p	alternative timescales with your reasons. ments: v decision making is vital for investor confidence in the Welsh manuface help to provide the certainty needed. Is there any other comment you wish to make in appeals against non-determination?		sures to ensure clear t	
Comi Timely are in p	alternative timescales with your reasons. ments: v decision making is vital for investor confidence in the Welsh man place help to provide the certainty needed. Is there any other comment you wish to make in		sures to ensure clear t	

Q5	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine listed building consent and listed building	Yes	Yes (subject to	NI.
	enforcement appeals in relation to Grade I and II* listed buildings in line with current		further comment)	No
	procedures for Grade II listed buildings?			
Q6	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals for which grants have been made by the Welsh Ministers under section 3A or 4 of the Historic Buildings and Ancient Monuments	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	Act 1953?			
Q7	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against the determination of conditions attached to minerals permissions, made under paragraphs 11(1) of Schedule 13	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	and paragraph 9(1) of Schedule 14 to the Environment Act 1995?			
Q8	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against decisions or failure to take decisions under section 21 of the Planning	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	(Hazardous Substances) Act 1990?			
Q9	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals under section 208 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 against section	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	207 notices for the replacement of trees?			
Q10	Are there any additional comments you wish to r changes to the Town and Country Planning (Det Appointed Persons) (Prescribed Classes) Regula	erminat	ion of Appeals b	
Comm The dete Vattenfa	ermination of possible appeals by Inspectors, and consequent earl	lier decisio	ons is in principle we	lcomed by

Welsh Government 4

I do not want my name/or address published with my response (please tick)

How to Respond

Please submit your comments in any of the following ways:

Email

Please complete the consultation form and send it to :

planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk

Please include 'Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers - WG 23275' in the subject line.

Post

Please complete the consultation form and send it to:

Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers Consultation Decisions Branch Planning Division Welsh Assembly Government Cathays Park Cardiff CF10 3 NQ

Additional information

If you have any queries on this consultation, please:

email: <u>planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk</u>; or

telephone: Lewis Thomas on 029 2082 3201

Plan	Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers				
Da	te of consultation period: 07/11/2014 – 30/01/2015				
Name	Name Angela Loftus				
Organisation	Denbighshire County Council				
Address Caledfryn, Smithfield Road, Denbigh, LL16 3RJ					
E-mail address	angela.loftus@denbighshire.gov.uk				
Type (please select	Businesses/ Consultants				
one from the following)	Local Planning Authority	\boxtimes			
	Government Agency/Other Public Sector				
	Professional Bodies/Interest Groups				
	Voluntary sector (community groups, volunteers, self help groups, co-operatives, social enterprises, religious, and not for profit organisations)				
	Other (other groups not listed above) or individual				

Q1	Do you agree that appeals against the refusal of, and conditions relating to, consents to display an advertisement should be incorporated within an expedited appeal system as part of the Commercial Appeals	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	Service (CAS)? If not, why not?	\boxtimes		
Comr Agreed	ments: I.			

	Do you agree that the Welsh Ministers should apply section 195 of the Planning Act 2008 in Wales (which amends section 266(1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990) so that the default position for the determination of	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
Q2	called in applications and appeals by statutory undertakers is that they are dealt with solely by the Welsh Ministers, unless the Welsh Ministers or the relevant Secretary of State gives direction for them to be dealt with jointly?			
Comr Agreed	ments:			
Q3	Do you agree with the 4 week timescale proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons.	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	alternative timescales with your reasons.			
Camar	nanta:			
Agreed		relation	n to these chang	les to
		relation	n to these chang	es to

Q5	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine listed building consent and listed building enforcement appeals in relation to Grade I and II* listed buildings in line with current	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	procedures for Grade II listed buildings?	\boxtimes		
Q6	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals for which grants have been made by the Welsh Ministers under section 3A or 4 of the Historic Buildings and Ancient Monuments	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	Act 1953?			
Q7	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against the determination of conditions attached to minerals permissions, made under paragraphs 11(1) of Schedule 13	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	and paragraph 9(1) of Schedule 14 to the Environment Act 1995?			
Q8	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against decisions or failure to take decisions under section 21 of the Planning	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	(Hazardous Substances) Act 1990?	\boxtimes		
Q9	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals under section 208 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 against section	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	207 notices for the replacement of trees?			

	Are there any additional comments you wish to make in relation to these
Q10	changes to the Town and Country Planning (Determination of Appeals by
	Appointed Persons) (Prescribed Classes) Regulations 1997?

Comments:

General Denbighshire concerns and comments relating to this consultation document:

- Welsh Ministers should be given a deadline to respond to appeals.
- Members want to see a decision from Welsh Ministers rather than just a response.
- Concerned that this is taking more power away from elected members.
- An Inspectorate solely for Wales is needed in Wales.

I do not want my name/or address published with my response (please tick)

How to Respond

Please submit your comments in any of the following ways:

Email

Please complete the consultation form and send it to :

planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk

Please include 'Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers - WG 23275' in the subject line.

Post

Please complete the consultation form and send it to:

Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers Consultation Decisions Branch Planning Division Welsh Assembly Government Cathays Park Cardiff CF10 3 NQ

Additional information

If you have any queries on this consultation, please:

email: planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk; or

telephone: Lewis Thomas on 029 2082 3201

	ining and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers	
Da	te of consultation period: 07/11/2014 – 30/01/2015	
Name		
Organisation		
Address		
E-mail address		
Type (please select	Businesses/ Consultants	
one from the following)	Local Planning Authority	
	Government Agency/Other Public Sector	
	Professional Bodies/Interest Groups	
	Voluntary sector (community groups, volunteers, self help groups, co-operatives, social enterprises, religious, and not for profit organisations)	
	Other (other groups not listed above) or individual	×

Q1	Do you agree that appeals against the refusal of, and conditions relating to, consents to display an advertisement should be incorporated within an expedited appeal system as part of the Commercial Appeals	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	Service (CAS)? If not, why not?		×	

Comments:

It seems appropriate for advertisement appeals to be incorporated into an expedited appeal system. However paragraph 4.7 of the consultation refers to speed, certainty, proportionality and fairness; there is no mention of the need to ensure the quality of the decision making and the need to minimise impacts on local amenity and the environment.

It is important that if an expedited system is to be used, adequate staffing resources and quality assurance mechanisms are available within PINS, to ensure that local amenity and the environmment are not the losers in an effort to speed up an appeal decision making process that has the potential to become overstretched in the curent economic climate.

Annex 1 – Consultation Response Form Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers

Consultation reference: WG23275

	Do you agree that the Welsh Ministers should apply section 195 of the Planning Act 2008 in Wales (which amends section 266(1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990) so that the default position for the determination of	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
Q2	called in applications and appeals by statutory undertakers is that they are dealt with solely by the Welsh Ministers, unless the Welsh Ministers or the relevant Secretary of State gives direction for them to be dealt with jointly?		X	
Comr	nents:			
Agree	with the proposal except in circumstances w	here the	Welsh Governi	ment
	ad inolvement with proposed schemes, such a			
	osal/ feasibility etc, or where Welsh Governme			
1	terest in the land. In such circumstances to en est, appeals should be referred to the relevant			t or
Engla	• • •	. Seciet	ary or state in	
_	ionally, the comment in paragraph 4.12 regard	ding dela	ays to the proce	ess and
1	to statutory undertakers is noted. However, is		•	
	opose more realistic proposals at the outset ra			
	n, and take on board the advice of statutory co ive manner, it would probably result in achievi			
	ive mainler, it would probably result in achievi			illore
Lanes		That wo	ouid result in	
	fily and avoid the the need for costly appeals.		ould result in	
			ould result in	
	fily and avoid the the need for costly appeals.		ould result in	
	fily and avoid the the need for costly appeals.		ould result in	
	fily and avoid the the need for costly appeals.		ould result in	
	fily and avoid the the need for costly appeals.		ould result in	
	dily and avoid the the need for costly appeals. icant cost and resource savings for all concern			
	dily and avoid the the need for costly appeals. Ficant cost and resource savings for all concern to the concern	ed.	Yes	No
	Do you agree with the 4 week timescale proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-			No
signif	Do you agree with the 4 week timescale proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest	ed.	Yes (subject to	No
signif	Do you agree with the 4 week timescale proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-	ed.	Yes (subject to further	No
signif Q3	Do you agree with the 4 week timescale proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons.	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
Q3 Comr	Do you agree with the 4 week timescale proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
Q3 Comr	Do you agree with the 4 week timescale proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons.	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
Q3 Comr	Do you agree with the 4 week timescale proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons.	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
Q3 Comr	Do you agree with the 4 week timescale proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons.	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
Q3 Comr	Do you agree with the 4 week timescale proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons.	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
Q3 Comr	Do you agree with the 4 week timescale proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons. Is there any other comment you wish to make in	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	
Q3 Comr	Do you agree with the 4 week timescale proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons. ments:	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	
Q3 Comr No co	Do you agree with the 4 week timescale proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons. Is there any other comment you wish to make in	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	

Annex 1 – Consultation Response Form
Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers

Consultation reference: WG23275

Q5	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine listed building consent and listed building enforcement appeals in relation to Grade I and II* listed buildings in line with current	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	procedures for Grade II listed buildings?	×		
Q6	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals for which grants have been made by the Welsh Ministers under section 3A or 4 of the Historic Buildings and Ancient Monuments	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	Act 1953?	×		
Q7	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against the determination of conditions attached to minerals permissions, made under paragraphs 11(1) of Schedule 13	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	and paragraph 9(1) of Schedule 14 to the Environment Act 1995?	×		
Q8	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against decisions or failure to take decisions under section 21 of the Planning	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	(Hazardous Substances) Act 1990?	×		
Q9	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals under section 208 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 against section	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	207 notices for the replacement of trees?	×		

Q10	Are there any additional comments you wish to make in relation to these changes to the Town and Country Planning (Determination of Appeals by Appointed Persons) (Prescribed Classes) Regulations 1997?
Comi	ments:

I do not want my name/or address published with my response (please tick) ⊠

How to Respond

Please submit your comments in any of the following ways:

Email

Please complete the consultation form and send it to:

planconsultations-q@wales.gsi.gov.uk

Please include 'Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers - WG 23275' in the subject line.

Post

Please complete the consultation form and send it to:

Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers Consultation Decisions Branch Planning Division Welsh Assembly Government Cathays Park Cardiff CF10 3 NQ

Additional information

If you have any queries on this consultation, please:

email: <u>planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk</u>; or

telephone: Lewis Thomas on 029 2082 3201

Plan	nning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers	
Da	te of consultation period: 07/11/2014 - 30/01/2015	
Name	Roisin Willmott	
Organisation	Royal Town Planning Institute	
Address	41 Botolph Lane, London, EC3R 8DL	
E-mail address	roisin.willmott@rtpi.org.uk	
Type (please select	Businesses/ Consultants	
one from the following)	Local Planning Authority	
	Government Agency/Other Public Sector	
	Professional Bodies/Interest Groups	\boxtimes
	Voluntary sector (community groups, volunteers, self help groups, co-operatives, social enterprises, religious, and not for profit organisations)	
	Other (other groups not listed above) or individual	

Q1	Do you agree that appeals against the refusal of, and conditions relating to, consents to display an advertisement should be incorporated within an expedited appeal system as part of the Commercial Appeals	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	Service (CAS)? If not, why not?	\boxtimes		
A COUNTY BUSINESS	nents: ieve this is a suitable subject area for the use of the expedited pro	cedures alr	eady tested.	

	Do you agree that the Welsh Ministers should apply section 195 of the Planning Act 2008 in Wales (which amends section 266(1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990) so that the default position for the determination of	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
Q2	called in applications and appeals by statutory undertakers is that they are dealt with solely by the Welsh Ministers, unless the Welsh Ministers or the relevant Secretary of State gives direction for them to be dealt with jointly?			
	ments:			
	1,			
	ments:	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No

Is there any other comment you wish to make in relation to these changes to appeals against non-determination?

Comments:

We support the proposal to remove the 6 month limit to non determination appeals. We believe it will promote a more positive approach to resolving any outstanding issues associated with an application where that is possible.

Our members have reported that many authorities are not good at requesting agreed extensions of time for determination beyond the 8 week period (this automatically extends the current 6 month non determination period to 6 months from the agreed extended date) and applicants, particularly those not familiar with the planning system, can quickly find themselves out of time to appeal against non determination with their only recourse then being to submit a further application if a decision is not forthcoming.

Q5	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine listed building consent and listed building enforcement appeals in relation to Grade I and II* listed buildings in line with current	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	procedures for Grade II listed buildings?			
Q6	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals for which grants have been made by the Welsh Ministers under section 3A or 4 of the Historic Buildings and Ancient Monuments	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	Act 1953?	\boxtimes		
Q7	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against the determination of conditions attached to minerals permissions, made under paragraphs 11(1) of Schedule 13	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	and paragraph 9(1) of Schedule 14 to the Environment Act 1995?	\boxtimes		
Q8	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against decisions or failure to take decisions under section 21 of the Planning	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	(Hazardous Substances) Act 1990?	\boxtimes		
Q9	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals under section 208 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 against section	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	207 notices for the replacement of trees?	\boxtimes		
Q10	Are there any additional comments you wish to rechanges to the Town and Country Planning (Det Appointed Persons) (Prescribed Classes) Regul	terminat	ion of Appeals b	
Comr	ments:			
No fu	rther comment			
I do n	ot want my name/or address published with my re	esponse	(please tick)	

Plan	nning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers	
Da	te of consultation period: 07/11/2014 – 30/01/2015	
Name	Jennie Daly	
Organisation	Taylor Wimpey UK Limited	
Address	Gate House Tumpike Road High Wycombe Bucks HP12 3NR	
E-mail address	jennie.daly@taylorwimpey.com	
Type (please select	Businesses/ Consultants	\boxtimes
one from the following)	Local Planning Authority	
	Government Agency/Other Public Sector	
	Professional Bodies/Interest Groups	
	Voluntary sector (community groups, volunteers, self help groups, co-operatives, social enterprises, religious, and not for profit organisations)	Ē
	Other (other groups not listed above) or individual	

Q1	Do you agree that appeals against the refusal of, and conditions relating to, consents to display an advertisement should be incorporated within an expedited appeal system as part of the Commercial Appeals.	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	Service (CAS)? If not, why not?	\boxtimes		
Taylor	nents: Wimpey UK Limited (TWUK) are supportive of the proposals as tion of resources and therefore to speedier decisions not just adve			nate

	Do you agree that the Welsh Ministers should apply section 195 of the Planning Act 2008 in Wales (which amends section 266(1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990) so that the default position for the determination of	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
Q2	called in applications and appeals by statutory undertakers is that they are dealt with solely by the Welsh Ministers, unless the Welsh Ministers or the relevant Secretary of State gives direction for them to be dealt with jointly?			0
Comi	ments:			
Q3	Do you agree with the 4 week timescale proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons.	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	alternative timescales with your reasons.			
Whilet.	ments:	h Ministe	rs and the local plant	ning
authori period	supportive of a short period of dual jurisdiction between the Wels ity, 4 weeks, given normal committee cycles is considered overly rof duality will, whilst marginally longer result overall in more ben	restrictive neficial ou	TWUK suggest that tcomes.	a 6 week
authori period	supportive of a short period of dual jurisdiction between the Wels ity, 4 weeks, given normal committee cycles is considered overly not duality will, whilst marginally longer result overall in more benefits there any other comment you wish to make in appeals against non-determination?	restrictive neficial ou	TWUK suggest that tcomes.	a 6 week
Q4 Comit TWUK plannin circum agreen In such	supportive of a short period of dual jurisdiction between the Wels ity, 4 weeks, given normal committee cycles is considered overly rof duality will, whilst marginally longer result overall in more benefit to the support of duality will, whilst marginally longer result overall in more benefit to the support of duality will, whilst marginally longer result overall in more benefit to the support of duality will, whilst marginally longer result overall in more benefit to the support of duality will, whilst marginally longer result overall in more benefit to the support of duality will, whilst marginally longer result overall in more benefit to the support of duality will, whilst marginally longer result overall in more benefit to the support of duality will, whilst marginally longer result overall in more benefit to the support of duality will, whilst marginally longer result overall in more benefit to the support of duality will, whilst marginally longer result overall in more benefit to the support of duality will, whilst marginally longer result overall in more benefit to the support of duality will, whilst marginally longer result overall in more benefit to the support of duality will, whilst marginally longer result overall in more benefit to the support of duality will be supported by the supported by the supported by the suppor	relation the appli	TWUK suggest that tcomes. In to these change cant / appellant and to the capable of extentiation / completion of cation either positive.	pes to the local assion in of \$106

25	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine listed building consent and listed building enforcement appeals in relation to Grade I and II* listed buildings in line with current	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	procedures for Grade II listed buildings?			
26	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals for which grants have been made by the Welsh Ministers under section 3A or 4 of the Historic Buildings and Ancient Monuments	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	Act 1953?			Ш
27	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against the determination of conditions attached to minerals permissions, made under paragraphs 11(1) of Schedule 13	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	and paragraph 9(1) of Schedule 14 to the Environment Act 1995?			
28	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against decisions or failure to take decisions under section 21 of the Planning	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	(Hazardous Substances) Act 1990?			
19	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals under section 208 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 against section	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	207 notices for the replacement of trees?	\boxtimes		
ommo com	Are there any additional comments you wish to r changes to the Town and Country Planning (Det Appointed Persons) (Prescribed Classes) Regula nents:	erminat	ion of Appeals b	

How to Respond

Please submit your comments in any of the following ways:

Email

Please complete the consultation form and send it to :

planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk

Please include 'Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers - WG 23275' in the subject line.

Post

Please complete the consultation form and send it to:

Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers Consultation Decisions Branch Planning Division Welsh Assembly Government Cathays Park Cardiff CF10 3 NQ

Additional information

If you have any queries on this consultation, please:

email: <u>planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk</u>; or

telephone: Lewis Thomas on 029 2082 3201

Plan	ning and Related Decisions of the	Welsh	Ministers	
Da	te of consultation period: 07/11/20	14 – 30/	01/2015	
Name	Shea Jones			
Organisation	Community Housing Cymru Group			
Address	2 Ocean Way, Cardiff, CF24 5TG			
E-mail address	shea-jones@chcymru.org.uk			
Type (please select	Businesses/ Consultants			
one from the following)	Local Planning Authority			
	Government Agency/Other Public Sector			
	Professional Bodies/Interest Group	os		
	Voluntary sector (community group help groups, co-operatives, social and not for profit organisations)			
	Other (other groups not listed above	ve) or inc	lividual	
of, and co display a incorporate	ee that appeals against the refusal inditions relating to, consents to n advertisement should be d within an expedited appeal part of the Commercial Appeals	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
Service (CA	S)? If not, why not?			
Comments: No comment				

	Do you agree that the Welsh Ministers should apply section 195 of the Planning Act 2008 in Wales (which amends section 266(1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990) so that the default position for the determination of	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
Q2	called in applications and appeals by statutory undertakers is that they are dealt with solely by the Welsh Ministers, unless the Welsh Ministers or the relevant Secretary of State gives direction for them to be dealt with jointly?			
Comn	nents:			
	Do you agree with the 4 week timescale	Yes	Yes (subject to	No
Q3	proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non- determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons.	163	further comment)	NO
	alternative timescales with your reasons.		,	
Comr	nents:			
At presonant plant plant plant plant plant plant plant plant application.	nents: ent in Wales, when Appeals are submitted against non determinant planning authority who can only then report to committee on the anning permisiion or refuse. For week period would represent a reasonable time scale for the LF tion. It is assumed that this 4 week period would commence on the se further clarification will be required.	tion, the dee basis of v	whether they are mind on and determine the	ed to planning
At presonant plant plant plant plant plant plant plant plant application.	ent in Wales, when Appeals are submitted against non determinated planning authority who can only then report to committee on the anning permisiion or refuse. For week period would represent a reasonable time scale for the LF tion. It is assumed that this 4 week period would commence on the scale for the tion.	tion, the detection the desired to carry the date of the	whether they are mind on and determine the ne receipt of a valid ap	ed to planning ppeal,
At press the local grant plus The four application otherwise Commanda a coperiod, grants p	ent in Wales, when Appeals are submitted against non determinated planning authority who can only then report to committee on the anning permisiion or refuse. It week period would represent a reasonable time scale for the LF tion. It is assumed that this 4 week period would commence on the se further clarification will be required. Is there any other comment you wish to make in	PA to carry ne date of the relation	on and determine the ne receipt of a valid apon to these change on within this addition on the local planning a	planning opeal, es to nal authority

Annex 1 – Consultation Response Form Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers

C	consultation ref	erence: WG23	3275		

Q5	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine listed building consent and listed building enforcement appeals in relation to Grade I and II* listed buildings in line with current	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
Q6	procedures for Grade II listed buildings? Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals for which grants have been made by the Welsh Ministers under section 3A or 4 of the Historic Buildings and Ancient Monuments Act 1953?	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
Q7	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against the determination of conditions attached to minerals permissions, made under paragraphs 11(1) of Schedule 13 and paragraph 9(1) of Schedule 14 to the	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
Q8	Environment Act 1995? Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against decisions or failure to take decisions under section 21 of the Planning (Hazardous Substances) Act 1990?	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
Q9	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals under section 208 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 against section 207 notices for the replacement of trees?	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	207 Houses for the replacement of frees!			

Are there any additional comments you wish to make in relation to these changes to the Town and Country Planning (Determination of Appeals by Appointed Persons) (Prescribed Classes) Regulations 1997?

Comments:

Larger residential and mixed use planning applications are often subject to call ins and consequently dealt with by the Minister.

Despite the recent emphasis given by the Welsh Government on the need to deliver housing, such call in procedures frequently result in considerable delays and uncertainty regarding the timescale for a decision.

From the applicant/appelant's point of view it can be frustrating, as in order to seek updates on the progress of such applications, frequently there is no person identified as a first point of contact in the Welsh Government. The process should therefore be more transparent and should include a timetable which gives a firm indication as to when a decision is likely to be issued.

Consultation reference: WG23275
I do not want my name/or address published with my response (please tick)

How to Respond

Please submit your comments in any of the following ways:

Email

Please complete the consultation form and send it to:

planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk

Please include 'Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers - WG 23275' in the subject line.

Post

Please complete the consultation form and send it to:

Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers Consultation Decisions Branch Planning Division Welsh Assembly Government Cathays Park Cardiff CF10 3 NQ

Additional information

If you have any queries on this consultation, please:

email: planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk; or

telephone: Lewis Thomas on 029 2082 3201

	Plan	ning and Related Decisions of the	Welsh	Ministers	
	Dat	e of consultation period: 07/11/20	14 – 30/	/01/2015	
Name					
Orga	nisation	RES Ltd			
Address					
E-ma	il address				
Type (please select one from the following)		Businesses/ Consultants			\boxtimes
		Local Planning Authority	Local Planning Authority		
		Government Agency/Other Public Sector			
		Professional Bodies/Interest Groups			
		Voluntary sector (community groups, volunteers, self help groups, co-operatives, social enterprises, religious, and not for profit organisations)			
		Other (other groups not listed above) or individual			
of, and co display a incorporated system as		ee that appeals against the refusal nditions relating to, consents to advertisement should be within an expedited appeal part of the Commercial Appeals	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	•	S)? If not, why not?			
Comr	nents:				

Q2	Do you agree that the Welsh Ministers should apply section 195 of the Planning Act 2008 in Wales (which amends section 266(1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990) so that the default position for the determination of	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
Q2	called in applications and appeals by statutory undertakers is that they are dealt with solely by the Welsh Ministers, unless the Welsh Ministers or the relevant Secretary of State gives direction for them to be dealt with jointly? Comments:			
Comr	, ,			
Q3	Do you agree with the 4 week timescale proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	alternative timescales with your reasons.	\boxtimes		
RES fur submission the g proposa to promeconom balance	ments: Illy supports the Welsh Government's decision to remove the currision of appeals for non-determination, and to introduce a 4 week grounds of non-determination during which the planning authority als will provide greater certainty for developers, local planning autote a positive, constructive and collective approach to new develope benefits this brings in Wales. RES believes that the proposed of enabling constructive dialogue between developers and local scussions and causing additional delay to the planning process with	period after y can detern thorities ar opment, an 4 week peri planning au	the submission of an mine the application. ad communities alike d the significant socio od delivers the appro- athorities, without pro-	appeal Both and help priate longing
Q4	Is there any other comment you wish to make in	relation	to these change	es to
	appeals against non-determination? nents:			
Comi	nono.			

Q5	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine listed building consent and listed building	Yes	Yes (subject to	No
QJ	enforcement appeals in relation to Grade I and II* listed buildings in line with current		further comment)	
	procedures for Grade II listed buildings?			
Q6	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals for which grants have been made by the Welsh Ministers under section 3A or 4 of the Historic Buildings and Ancient Monuments		Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	Act 1953?		Ш	
Q7	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against the determination of conditions attached to minerals permissions, made under paragraphs 11(1) of Schedule 13	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	and paragraph 9(1) of Schedule 14 to the Environment Act 1995?			
Q8	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against decisions or failure to take decisions under section 21 of the Planning (Hazardous Substances) Act 1990?	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
Q9	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals under section 208 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 against section	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	207 notices for the replacement of trees?			
Q10	Are there any additional comments you wish to rechanges to the Town and Country Planning (Def Appointed Persons) (Prescribed Classes) Regul	terminati	on of Appeals by	/
Comr	nents:			
l do n	ot want my name/or address published with my re	esponse	(please tick)	

How to Respond

Please submit your comments in any of the following ways:

Email

Please complete the consultation form and send it to:

planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk

Please include 'Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers - WG 23275' in the subject line.

Post

Please complete the consultation form and send it to:

Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers Consultation Decisions Branch Planning Division Welsh Assembly Government Cathays Park Cardiff CF10 3 NQ

Additional information

If you have any queries on this consultation, please:

email: <u>planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk</u>; or

telephone: Lewis Thomas on 029 2082 3201

Plan	nning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers		
Da	ate of consultation period: 07/11/2014 - 30/01/2015		
Name Mark Harris			
Organisation	Home Builders Federation		
Address	Po Box 201 Barry CF639FA	1	
E-mail address	mark.harris@hotmail.co.uk		
Type (please select	Businesses/ Consultants		
one from the following)	Local Planning Authority		
	Government Agency/Other Public Sector		
	Professional Bodies/Interest Groups	\boxtimes	
	Voluntary sector (community groups, volunteers, self help groups, co-operatives, social enterprises, religious, and not for profit organisations)		
	Other (other groups not listed above) or individual		

Q1	Do you agree that appeals against the refusal of, and conditions relating to, consents to display an advertisement should be incorporated within an expedited appeal system as part of the Commercial Appeals		Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	Service (CAS)? If not, why not?			
Comr No con	nents:			

	Do you agree that the Welsh Ministers should apply section 195 of the Planning Act 2008 in Wales (which amends section 266(1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990) so that the default position for the determination of	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
Q2	called in applications and appeals by statutory undertakers is that they are dealt with solely by the Welsh Ministers, unless the Welsh Ministers or the relevant Secretary of State gives direction for them to be dealt with jointly?	\boxtimes		
Comr	nents:			
Q3	Do you agree with the 4 week timescale proposed for the dual-jurisdiction of non-determination appeals? If not, please suggest alternative timescales with your reasons.	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	·	\boxtimes		
This is	nents: considered to be a reasonable time scale for the LPA to determine d on when the 4 week period starts.		ation. Clarification w	ill be
This is required	nents: considered to be a reasonable time scale for the LPA to determine d on when the 4 week period starts.	e an applica		
This is	nents: considered to be a reasonable time scale for the LPA to determine	e an applica		
Q4 Comr We sup the app permiss an appe We sup statutor	nents: considered to be a reasonable time scale for the LPA to determined on when the 4 week period starts. Is there any other comment you wish to make in	relation permission sal. If the lappeal on relation	to these change within this additional local planning authori- vised grounds (for ex-	es to I period, ty grants cample,

Q5	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine listed building consent and listed building enforcement appeals in relation to Grade I and II* listed buildings in line with current procedures for Grade II listed buildings?	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate			
Q6	should be given authority to determine appeals for which grants have been made by the Welsh Ministers under section 3A or 4 of the Historic Buildings and Ancient Monuments	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
	Act 1953?			
Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against the determination of conditions attached to minerals permissions, made under paragraphs 11(1) of Schedule 13 and paragraph 9(1) of Schedule 14 to the Environment Act 19952	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No	
	Environment Act 1995?			
Q8	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals against decisions or failure to take decisions under section 21 of the Planning (Hazardous Substances) Act 1990?	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
Q9	Do you agree that the Planning Inspectorate should be given authority to determine appeals under section 208 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 against section 207 notices for the replacement of trees?	Yes	Yes (subject to further comment)	No
We are Ministe concern	Are there any additional comments you wish to rechanges to the Town and Country Planning (Det Appointed Persons) (Prescribed Classes) Regularients: aware that a number of larger residential / mixed use schemes doer. We have concerns about the length of time it takes to make detend about the lack of a contact point for updates on the progress of cess should be more open and include a timetable in which the detendance of the progress of the pr	erminat ations 1 get called cisions on f such app	ion of Appeals to 997? in and dealt with by these applications are lications. It is considered	the

How to Respond

Please submit your comments in any of the following ways:

Email

Please complete the consultation form and send it to :

planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk

Please include 'Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers - WG 23275' in the subject line.

Post

Please complete the consultation form and send it to:

Planning and Related Decisions of the Welsh Ministers Consultation Decisions Branch Planning Division Welsh Assembly Government Cathays Park Cardiff CF10 3 NQ

Additional information

If you have any queries on this consultation, please:

email: <u>planconsultations-g@wales.gsi.gov.uk</u>; or

telephone: Lewis Thomas on 029 2082 3201

