Annual implementation report for the Investment for growth and jobs goal ${\bf PART}~{\bf A}$

IDENTIFICATION OF THE ANNUAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT

CCI	CCI
Title	United Kingdom - ESF West Wales and the
	Valleys
Version	2018.0
Date of approval of the report by the monitoring	03-Jun-2019
committee	

II	DENTIFICATION OF THE ANNUAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT	1
2. 11	OVERVIEW OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE OPERATIONAL PROGRAMME (Article 50(2) an 1(3)(a) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)	
	2.1. Key information on the implementation of the operational programme for the year concerned, including on financial instruments, with relation to the financial and indicator data	
3.	IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PRIORITY AXIS (Article 50(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)	7
	3.1. Overview of the implementation	7
	3.2. Common and programme specific indicators (Article 50(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)	
	Table 2A: Common result indicators for ESF (by priority axis, investment priority, and by category of region). Data	
	all common ESF result indicators (with and without target) shall be reported broken down by gender. For a Technica	
	Assistance priority axis only those common indicators shall be reported for which a target has been set - 1 / 9i	
	1.1. Table 2C: Programme specific result indicators for ESF and YEI	
	Table 4A: Common output indicators for the ESF and YEI - 1/9i	12
	Table 4B: Programme specific output indicators for the ESF and YEI - 1	
	Table 2A: Common result indicators for ESF (by priority axis, investment priority, and by category of region). Data	
	all common ESF result indicators (with and without target) shall be reported broken down by gender. For a Technica	
	$Assistance\ priority\ axis\ only\ those\ common\ indicators\ shall\ be\ reported\ for\ which\ a\ target\ has\ been\ set\ -\ 2\ /\ 8iv\$	15
	1.2. Table 2C: Programme specific result indicators for ESF and YEI	
	Table 4A : Common output indicators for the ESF and YEI - 2 / 8iv	
	Table 4B: Programme specific output indicators for the ESF and YEI - 2	
	Table 2A: Common result indicators for ESF (by priority axis, investment priority, and by category of region). Data	
	all common ESF result indicators (with and without target) shall be reported broken down by gender. For a Technica Assistance priority axis only those common indicators shall be reported for which a target has been set - 2 / 10iii	
	1.3. Table 2C: Programme specific result indicators for ESF and YEI	
	Table 4A : Common output indicators for the ESF and YEI - 2 / 10iii	
	Table 4B: Programme specific output indicators for the ESF and YEI - 2	
	Table 2A: Common result indicators for ESF (by priority axis, investment priority, and by category of region). Data	
	all common ESF result indicators (with and without target) shall be reported broken down by gender. For a Technica	
	Assistance priority axis only those common indicators shall be reported for which a target has been set - 3 / 8ii	
	1.4. Table 2C : Programme specific result indicators for ESF and YEI	
	Table 4A: Common output indicators for the ESF and YEI - 3 / 8ii	
	Table 4B: Programme specific output indicators for the ESF and YEI - 3	
	Table 2A: Common result indicators for ESF (by priority axis, investment priority, and by category of region). Data	
	all common ESF result indicators (with and without target) shall be reported broken down by gender. For a Technica	
	$Assistance\ priority\ axis\ only\ those\ common\ indicators\ shall\ be\ reported\ for\ which\ a\ target\ has\ been\ set\ -3\ /\ 10i$	
	1.5. Table 2C: Programme specific result indicators for ESF and YEI	
	Table 4A : Common output indicators for the ESF and YEI - 3 / 10i	
	Table 4B: Programme specific output indicators for the ESF and YEI - 3	
	Table 2A: Common result indicators for ESF (by priority axis, investment priority, and by category of region). Data all common ESF result indicators (with and without target) shall be reported broken down by gender. For a Technical	al
	$Assistance\ priority\ axis\ only\ those\ common\ indicators\ shall\ be\ reported\ for\ which\ a\ target\ has\ been\ set\ -5\ /\ 11i$	
	1.6. Table 2C: Programme specific result indicators for ESF and YEI	
	Table 4A : Common output indicators for the ESF and YEI - 5 / 11i	
	Table 4B: Programme specific output indicators for the ESF and YEI - 5	
	Table 4A: Common output indicators for the ESF and YEI - 4	
	Table 4B: Programme specific output indicators for the ESF and YEI - 4	
	Table 2C : Programme specific result indicators for ESF and YEI - 4 / 4.1	43
	Table 5: Information on the milestones and targets defined in the performance framework	
	3.4 Financial data (Article 50(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)	
	Table 6: Financial information at priority axis and programme level	
	Table 7: Breakdown of the cumulative financial data by category of intervention for the ERDF, the ESF and the Cohesion Fund (Article 112(1) and (2) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 5 of Regulation (EU) No	т/
	1304/2013)	48
	Table 8: The use made of cross-financing	49
	Table 10: Expenditure incurred outside the Union (ESF)	50
1	SVNTHESIS OF THE EVALUATIONS	51

6 ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN (Article 50(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)
7. CITIZEN'S SUMMARY59
8. REPORT ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS
9. Optional for the report to be submitted in 2016, not applicable to other light reports: ACTIONS TAKEN TO FULFILL EX-ANTE CONDITIONALITIES
10. PROGRESS IN PREPARATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF MAJOR PROJECTS AND JOINT ACTION PLANS (Article 101(h) and 111(3) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)
10.1.Major projects6310.2.Joint action plans66
11. ASSESSMENT OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE OPERATIONAL PROGRAMME (Articles 50(4) and 111(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)
11.1. Information in Part A and achieving objectives of the programme (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)69
11.2. Specific actions taken to promote equality between men and women and to prevent discrimination, in particular accessibility for persons with disabilities, and the arrangements implemented to ensure the integration of the gender perspective in the operational programme and operations (Articles 50(4) and 111(4), second subparagraph, (e) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)
11.3. Sustainable development (Articles 50(4) and 111(4), second subparagraph, (f) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)
11.4. Reporting on support used for climate change objectives (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)73 11.5. Role of partners in the implementation of the programme
12. OBLIGATORY INFORMATION AND ASSESSMENT ACCORDING TO ARTICLE 111(4), FIRST SUBPARAGRAPH, (a) AND (b), OF REGULATION (EU) No 1303/201375
12.1. Progress in implementation of the evaluation plan and the follow-up given to the findings of evaluations75 12.2. The results of the information and publicity measures of the Funds carried out under the communication strategy 77
13. ACTIONS TAKEN TO FULFILL EX-ANTE CONDITIONALITIES (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013) (May be included in report to be submitted in 2016 (see point 9 above). Required in report submitted in 2017) Option: progress report
14. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION WHICH MAY BE ADDED DEPENDING ON THE CONTENT AND OBJECTIVES OF THE OPERATIONAL PROGRAMME (Article 111(4), second subparagraph, (a), (b), (c), (d), (g) and (h), of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)
14.1. Progress in the implementation of the integrated approach to territorial development, including development of regions facing demographic challenges and permanent or natural handicaps, integrated territorial investments,
sustainable urban development, and community led local development under the operational programme
14.3. Progress in the implementation of any interregional and transnational actions
14.4. Where appropriate, the contribution to macro-regional and sea basin strategies
14.5. Progress in the implementation of actions in the field of social innovation, where appropriate
by poverty or of target groups at highest risk of poverty discrimination or social exclusion, with special regard to
marginalised communities and persons with disabilities, long term unemployment and young people not in employment including, where appropriate, the financial resources used
15. FINANCIAL INFORMATION AT PRIORITY AXIS AND PROGRAMME LEVEL (Articles 21(2) and 22(7) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)
16. SMART, SUSTAINABLE AND INCLUSIVE GROWTH (option progress report)85
17. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN — PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK (Article 50(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)90
Documents 91

Latest validation results92

2. OVERVIEW OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE OPERATIONAL PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(2) AND 111(3)(A) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)

2.1. Key information on the implementation of the operational programme for the year concerned, including on financial instruments, with relation to the financial and indicator data.

Implementation of this Programme continued to make good progress in 2018. By the end of December 2018, approvals issued by the Managing Authority amounted to a total commitment of €1,102m of eligible costs, supported by just over €804m of EU Grant. This meant that around 93% of the EU funds available under the Programme had been committed. The Managing Authority therefore remains on track to achieve full commitment of the Programme during 2019.

Eligible expenditure declared to the Managing Authority by beneficiaries by December was €431m, almost 40% of the programme total, and funds paid out by WEFO to beneficiaries totalled €209m.

The number of approved operations at the end of December 2018 was 58. Priorities were characterised by well-developed portfolios of operations selected to be complementary and reinforcing of each other. The Priority portfolios consisted of a blend of strategic national-level and regional-level interventions, as well as a range of more localised or target group-specific operations meeting particular needs.

The labour market remained relatively strong during the year although economic growth slowed. Many approved ESF operations helped individuals to take advantage of the labour market opportunities available and gain the skills demanded by employers. The Welsh Government's National Strategy *Prosperity for All* and in particular its Employability Plan provided a refreshed policy context for skills and employment delivery in Wales and the Managing Authority supported operations to work in synergy with this new context, particularly in Priorities 1 and 3.

By December 2018, operations had reported engaging with over 134,000 participants and of these over 45,800 had gained a qualification, over 10,100 had been supported into employment or self-employment, and over 5,500 young people moved into education or training.

The Performance Framework milestones for 2018 were achieved with performance in excess of 100% of each milestone, with the exception of the new Priority 5 where the milestone for approving one operation was not achieved due to this new priority experiencing an unexpected slow start.

A Programme Modification submitted during the year was approved by the EC in September 2018. This modification reset some indicators where experience of programme implementation had shown the need. In particular, the merger of the Priority 2 indicator for participants holding no qualifications with that for low qualifications and the increased numerical targets for participants holding intermediate or advanced qualifications responded to increasing demand for higher levels of training. The Modification also added the new Priority 5 to the Programme.

The Managing Authority remained compliant with E-cohesion requirements. Electronic transfer of data between beneficiaries and the Managing Authority was supported by the externally facing system

known as WEFO Online, used by beneficiaries to enter data, including everything from appraisal documentation to transaction lists and claims. This system links to the IT system used by Managing Authority and Certifying Authority Officials to manage structural funds, which is known as PPIMS.

3. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PRIORITY AXIS (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)

3.1. Overview of the implementation

	Priority axis	Key information on the implementation of the priority axis with reference to key developments, significant problems and
		steps taken to address these problems
1	Tackling Poverty through Sustainable Employment	
	Sustamuote Emproyment	Four new operations were approved in 2018 and additional funding approved to expand delivery in a number of established projects. The new operations address short-term unemployment (Better Jobs Better Futures, led by Gower College Swansea) and support to help employed people who are underemployed or working with health conditions or disabilities to remain in work and prosper (Expanding Mons Horizons – led by Mon Communities Forward; Nurture Equip Thrive, led by Torfaen County Borough Council; and Building Resilience Prosperity and Wellbeing, led by Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council). Approved operations have plans to support over 101,000 participants by the end of the programme period, 139% of the
		Operational Programme target. At the end of 2018, over 29,000 people had been supported, 41% of the Programme final target for 2023. Result indicators are strong in relation to helping economically inactive and long-term unemployed participants into employment or self-employment, meeting the Programme benchmark target of 20%. The focus to date for Specific Objective (1.1) has been almost exclusively on those impacted by redundancy but the improved labour market conditions in Wales over recent years has led to less demand for large-scale redundancy support and so plans are in place to concentrate on short-term unemployed participants between 2019 and 2023. Approved operations forecast helping nearly 21,000 participants in this Specific Objective, 115% of the Programme final target.
	Skills for Growth	By the end of 2018, 96% of the EU funds available had been committed to 20 operations under the Priority. The pipeline of proposals in development is sufficient to fully commit the remainder of the allocation in 2019. 5 new operations were approved in 2018. These included three operations aiming to support innovation skills (BioInnovation Wales, led by Aberystwyth University and the University of Wales Trinity St David's-led International Innovation Masters and Upskilling for Industry 4.0) and two operations supporting women's progression in the workforce (Limitless led by Threshold DAS and Better Jobs Better Futures for Women led by Gower College Swansea). Extensions and additional funds were also awarded to some well performing operations. Good progress was made during 2018 against the indicator targets, with some 62,000 participants already assisted by
		approved operations under this Priority. Approved operations have plans to support over 140,000 participants in total by the end of the programme. This would enable most Operational Programme targets to be exceeded. In the gender equality specific objective further outputs still need to be contracted but there is funding remaining to support this.

ID	Priority axis	Key information on the implementation of the priority axis with reference to key developments, significant problems and steps taken to address these problems
		Qualification results were also encouraging, with the numbers of participants gaining qualifications making good progress towards the proportions required by the Operational Programme in most areas of the Priority. Progress against the enterprises targets in Specific Objectives 3 and 4 was also good. The new operations approved in 2018 will now allow participant targets for Specific Objective 3 (research and innovation skills) to be met in full, overcoming a concern recorded in previous AIRs.
3	Youth Employment and Attainment	Almost all (99%) of the EU allocation to this Priority was committed by the end of 2018, supporting 18 operations. Three new operations were approved in 2018 and additional funding approved to expand delivery in a number of established projects. The new operations all focus on STEM engagement and attainment, with an emphasis on supporting girls and young women (Trio Sci Cymru, led by the Welsh Government's National Science Academy; Technocamps II, led by Swansea University; and STEM Gogledd, led by Gwynedd County Council). Approved operations have plans to support over 101,000 participants by the end of the programme period, 85% of the Operational Programme target. At the end of 2018, over 42,000 people had been supported, 35% of the final target. Interventions to address youth unemployment are particularly robust, already achieving over 50% of the final output target for number of NEET participants. Immediate result indicators are strong in relation to helping NEET participants. 23% of NEET participants immediately moved into employment or self-employment and a further 22% entered education or training. 29% of NEET participants gained qualifications. Support has been delivered to over 10,300 young people at risk of becoming NEET, with positive result indicators reported for 22% of those assisted (reduced risk of NEET, including committing to new courses of education or training). Support to engage and learn STEM subjects is making good progress with 31% of boys and 17% of girls completing STEM training. Results on those continuing to study STEM post-16 are currently low which will be monitored. However, most of the young people supported (56%) are girls and young women.
4	Technical Assistance	By the end of 2018 the full EU Grant allocation available had been committed to 6 operations in the Priority. This includes revenue support for WEFO in implementing the programme, the four 'Regional Engagement Teams' based in North Wales, Mid Wales, Swansea Bay and South East Wales, and Intermediary Body revenue support for the WCVA.
5	Public services reform and regional working	EC Approval for this new priority axis was received in September 2018 as part of the Programme Modification. Whilst the funding allocation is relatively small at €15.4m, the Priority aims to facilitate real improvement in public services through building capacity for regional working. Although no operations reached approval in 2018, discussions were ongoing with a number of partners who were developing proposals. A workshop about the new Priority was held at WEFO's Annual Event in December 2018.

3.2. Common and programme specific indicators (Article 50(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)

Priority axes other than technical assistance

Priority axis	1 - Tackling Poverty through Sustainable Employment
Investment Priority	9i - Active inclusion, including with a view to promoting equal opportunities and active participation, and improving employability

Table 2A: Common result indicators for ESF (by priority axis, investment priority, and by category of region). Data on all common ESF result indicators (with and without target) shall be reported broken down by gender. For a Technical Assistance priority axis only those common indicators shall be reported for which a target has been set - 1/9i

ID	Indicator	Category o	f Common output indicator used as basis	Measurement unit for baseline	Targe	et valu	e (2023)	Cun	nulative v	alue	Achiev	ement ratio	20	18
		region	for target setting	and target										
					Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total N	Ien Women	Men	Women
CR0	inactive participants engaged in job searching upon leaving	Less developed						1,068.00	407.00	661.00			117.00	139.00
	participants in education/training upon leaving	Less developed						787.00	367.00	420.00			138.00	150.00
	participants gaining a qualification upon leaving	Less developed						4,349.00	2,642.00	1,707.00			566.00	481.00
	participants in employment, including self-employment, upon leaving	Less developed						4,921.00	2,316.00	2,605.00			601.00	595.00
CR0	disadvantaged participants engaged in job searching, education/ training, gaining a qualification, or in	Less developed						7,682.00	3,752.00	3,930.00			1,002.00	969.00
	employment, including self-employment, upon leaving													
CR0	participants in employment, including self-employment, six months after leaving	Less developed						8,175.00	4,221.00	3,954.00			4,221.00	3,954.00
CR0	participants with an improved labour market situation six months after leaving	Less developed						524.00	198.00	326.00			198.00	326.00
	participants above 54 years of age in employment, including self-employment, six months after leaving	Less developed						0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00
CR0	disadvantaged participants in employment, including self-employment, six months after leaving	Less developed						0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00

ID	Indicator	Category of region	20:	17	2	016	20)15	20	014
			Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
CR01	inactive participants engaged in job searching upon leaving	Less developed	176.00	273.00	95.00	200.00	19.00	49.00	0.00	0.00
CR02	participants in education/training upon leaving	Less developed	155.00	194.00	68.00	66.00	6.00	10.00	0.00	0.00
CR03	participants gaining a qualification upon leaving	Less developed	882.00	694.00	888.00	423.00	306.00	109.00	0.00	0.00
CR04	participants in employment, including self-employment, upon leaving	Less developed	1,029.00	1,156.00	570.00	723.00	116.00	131.00	0.00	0.00
CR05	disadvantaged participants engaged in job searching, education/ training, gaining a qualification, or in employment, including self-employment, upon leaving	Less developed	1,543.00	1,733.00	903.00	1,019.00	304.00	209.00	0.00	0.00
CR06	participants in employment, including self-employment, six months after leaving	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CR07	participants with an improved labour market situation six months after leaving	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CR08	participants above 54 years of age in employment, including self-employment, six months after leaving	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CR09	disadvantaged participants in employment, including self-employment, six months after leaving	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Priority axis	1 - Tackling Poverty through Sustainable Employment
Investment Priority	9i - Active inclusion, including with a view to promoting equal opportunities and active participation, and improving employability

1.1. Table 2C : Programme specific result indicators for ESF and YEI

ID	Indicator	Category of	Measurement	unit	Output indicator used as basis for target setting	Measurement unit for	Targe	et value	(2023)	Ach	ievemen	t ratio				2018			
		region	for indicator	ator	baseline and target			,											
														Cumulativ	e	I	Annual to	al	Qualitative
								Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women			Women	
1110A		Less	Ratio (Ratio)			Ratio	33.00%						%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	ĺ
	disability in employment upon leaving	developed																L	
1101B	Individuals impacted by redundancy in employment upon leaving	Less	Ratio (Ratio)			Ratio	55.00%			44.00			24.20%	19.94%	31.66%	20.00%	14.00%	29.00%	ĺ
		developed								<u> </u>									└─
1112	Short term unemployed participants with low skills or a work limiting health condition or		Ratio (Ratio)			Ratio	50.00%						%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	i
	disability gaining a qualification upon leaving	developed	n a man				70.00%			85.80			60.060/	60.0404	45.000/	49.00%	62.00%	31.00%	
1113	Individuals impacted by redundancy gaining a qualification upon leaving	Less developed	Ratio (Ratio)			Ratio	70.00%			85.80			60.06%	67.74%	45.82%	49.00%	62.00%	31.00%	i
11046	Short term unemployed participants with low skills or a work limiting health condition or		Ratio (Ratio)			Ratio	45.00%			-			0/	0/	0/	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
110A6	disability in employment 6 months after leaving	Less developed	Ratio (Ratio)			Rano	45.00%						%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	1
11006	Individuals impacted by redundancy in employment 6 months after leaving	Less	Ratio (Ratio)			Ratio	60,00%			0.00			0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\vdash
11000	marviduais impacted by redundancy in employment o months after reaving	developed	Katio (Katio)			Kano	00.00%			0.00			0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	1 '
1110	Economically inactive (aged 25 and over), not in education or training, who have complex	Less	Ratio (Ratio)			Ratio	50.00%			42.14			21.07%	24.34%	10 /13%	37.00%	40.00%	34.00%	
1110	barriers to employment gaining a qualification or work relevant certification upon leaving	developed	Ratio (Ratio)			Katio	50.0070			72.17			21.07/0	24.5470	17.43/0	37.0070	40.0070	34.0070	1 '
1100A	Long-term unemployed (aged 25 and over), not in education or training, who have complex	Less	Ratio (Ratio)			Ratio	50.00%			43.00			21.50%	22.93%	19.35%	36,00%	39.00%	30.00%	
110011	barriers to employment gaining a qualification or work relevant certification upon leaving	developed	ratio (ratio)			Tallo	50.0070			15.00			21.5070	22.5570	17.5570	50.0070	37.0070	50.0070	1 '
1102A	Economically inactive (aged 25 and over), not in education or training, who have complex	Less	Ratio (Ratio)			Ratio	20.00%			98.85			19.77%	17.16%	21.90%	21.00%	18.00%	24.00%	
	barriers to employment entering employment including self employment upon leaving	developed	, , , , ,																1 '
1102B	Long-term unemployed (aged 25 and over) who have complex barriers to employment entering	Less	Ratio (Ratio)			Ratio	25.00%			61.88			15.47%	17.07%	12.29%	19.00%	21.00%	14.00%	
	employment, including self employment, upon leaving	developed																l '	1 '
1103	Economically inactive (aged 25 and over), not in education or training, who have complex	Less	Ratio (Ratio)			Ratio	25.00%			30.56			7.64%	7.33%	7.60%	8.00%	8.00%	8.00%	,
	barriers to employment engaged in job search upon leaving	developed																	
1104A	Economically inactive (aged 25 and over), not in education or training, who have complex		Ratio (Ratio)			Ratio	54.00%			18.80			10.15%	10.60%	9.50%	16.00%	16.00%	15.00%	1 '
	barriers to employment increasing employability through completing work experience	developed																l '	1 '
	placement or volunteering opportunity																	L	
1104B	Long-term unemployed (aged 25 and over) who have complex barriers to employment		Ratio (Ratio)			Ratio	54.00%			19.69			10.63%	10.84%	10.45%	16.00%	18.00%	14.00%	1 '
	increasing employability through completing work experience placement or volunteering opportunity	developed																l '	1 '
102 4 6	Economically inactive (aged 25 and over), not in education or training, who have complex	Less	Ratio (Ratio)			Ratio	45.00%			0.00	-		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\vdash
102A0	barriers to employment in employment including self employment 6 months after leaving	developed	Katio (Katio)			Kano	43.00%			0.00			0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	1 '
102B6	Long-term unemployed (aged 25 and over) who have complex barriers to employment in	Less	Ratio (Ratio)			Ratio	45.00%			0.00			0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
10200	employment including self employment 6 months after leaving	developed	Ratio (Ratio)			Katio	45.00%			0.00			0.0070	0.0070	0.0070	0.0070	0.0070	0.0070	1 '
1118	Supported enterprises having adopted or improved equality and diversity strategies and	Less	Ratio (Ratio)		CO23 number of supported micro, small and medium-size	d Ratio	50.00%			0.00			0.00%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
1110	monitoring systems	developed	rano (rano)		enterprises (including cooperative enterprises, enterprises of		50.0070			0.00			0.0070	,,,	,,,	0.0070	0.0070	0.0070	1 '
					the social economy)													l '	1 '
1171	Employed, including self employed participants with work limiting health condition or	Less	Ratio (Ratio)			Ratio	50.00%			13.36			6.68%	6.67%	7.06%	10.00%	10.00%	11.00%	
	disability returning to work after a period of absence	developed	l				1										l	1	, ,
1120	Employed, including self employed participants with work limiting health condition or	Less	Ratio (Ratio)			Ratio	50.00%			0.00			0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	, ,
	disability with an improved labour market situation upon leaving such as increased hours,	developed																1 '	1 '
	permanent contract						<u> </u>											L	'
1170	Underemployed participants with an improved labour market situation upon leaving, such as		Ratio (Ratio)			Ratio	40.00%						%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	1 '
	increased hours, permanent contract	developed					<u> </u>												

ID	Indicator	Category o region	7			2017										
		region		Cumulativ	e	1	Annual tota	ıl	Qualitative	(Cumulativ	e	A	nnual tota	al	Qualitative
			Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women		Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	
1110A	Short term unemployed participants with low skills or a work limiting health condition or disability in employment upon leaving	Less developed	%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
1101B	Individuals impacted by redundancy in employment upon leaving	Less developed	24.93%	20.86%	32.23%	27.00%	22.00%	36.00%		23.96%	20.36%	30.22%	25.00%	21.00%	33.00%	
1112	Short term unemployed participants with low skills or a work limiting health condition or disability gaining a qualification upon leaving	Less developed	%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
1113	Individuals impacted by redundancy gaining a qualification upon leaving	Less developed	62.00%	68.64%	48.99%	56.00%	63.00%	44.00%		64.81%	71.11%	51.65%	70.00%	74.00%	60.00%	
110A6	Short term unemployed participants with low skills or a work limiting health condition or disability in employment 6 months after leaving	Less developed	%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
110B6	Individuals impacted by redundancy in employment 6 months after leaving	Less developed	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
1110	Economically inactive (aged 25 and over), not in education or training, who have complex barriers to employment gaining a qualification or work relevant certification upon leaving	Less developed	16.46%	19.00%	15.66%	24.00%	25.00%	24.00%		7.55%	9.61%	7.34%	9.00%	11.00%	9.00%	
	Long-term unemployed (aged 25 and over), not in education or training, who have complex barriers to employment gaining a qualification or work relevant certification upon leaving	Less developed	15.87%	16.88%	14.97%	22.00%	24.00%	20.00%		8.01%	8.18%	7.92%	9.00%	9.00%	9.00%	
1102A	Economically inactive (aged 25 and over), not in education or training, who have complex barriers to employment entering employment including self employment upon leaving	Less developed	19.42%	16.88%	21.35%	23.00%	20.00%	26.00%		15.19%	11.99%	16.72%	17.00%	13.00%	19.00%	
1102B	Long-term unemployed (aged 25 and over) who have complex barriers to employment entering employment, including self employment, upon leaving	Less developed	14.10%	15.58%	11.58%	17.00%	19.00%	14.00%		10.38%	11.41%	8.19%	11.00%	12.00%	9.00%	
	Economically inactive (aged 25 and over), not in education or training, who have complex barriers to employment engaged in job search upon leaving	Less developed	7.54%	7.10%	7.50%	8.00%	7.00%	8.00%		7.00%	7.25%	7.00%	7.00%	7.00%	7.00%	
1104A	Economically inactive (aged 25 and over), not in education or training, who have complex barriers to employment increasing employability through completing work experience placement or volunteering opportunity	Less developed	8.46%	8.75%	8.08%	12.00%	11.00%	12.00%		4.27%	5.24%	4.17%	5.00%	6.00%	5.00%	
1104B	Long-term unemployed (aged 25 and over) who have complex barriers to employment increasing employability through completing work experience placement or volunteering opportunity	Less developed	8.54%	8.14%	9.00%	11.00%	11.00%	11.00%		5.38%	4.65%	6.19%	6.00%	5.00%	7.00%	
102A6	Economically inactive (aged 25 and over), not in education or training, who have complex barriers to employment in employment including self employment 6 months after leaving	Less developed	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
102B6	Long-term unemployed (aged 25 and over) who have complex barriers to employment in employment including self employment 6 months after leaving	Less developed	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	

ID	Indicator	Category o	f			2017							2016			
				Cumulative			Annual tota	al	Qualitative	(Cumulative		Annual total			Qualitative
			Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women		Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	
1118	Supported enterprises having adopted or improved equality and diversity strategies and monitoring systems	Less developed	0.00%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
1171	Employed, including self employed participants with work limiting health condition or disability returning to work after a period of absence	Less developed	4.82%	4.87%	4.79%	7.00%	7.00%	7.00%		1.17%	1.21%	1.15%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	
1120	Employed, including self employed participants with work limiting health condition or disability with an improved labour market situation upon leaving such as increased hours, permanent	Less developed	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
	contract															<u> </u>
1170	Underemployed participants with an improved labour market situation upon leaving, such as increased hours, permanent contract	Less developed	%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	

	T															
ID	Indicator	Category of				2015							20)14		
		region		~												0 211
				Cumulativ	•		Annual to		Qualitative		Cumulat			Annual to		Qualitative
			Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women		Total	Men	Women		Men		
1110A	Short term unemployed participants with low skills or a work limiting health condition or disability in employment upon leaving	Less developed	%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
1101B	Individuals impacted by redundancy in employment upon leaving	Less developed	22.00%	19.00%	26.00%	22.00%	19.00%	26.00%		%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
1112	Short term unemployed participants with low skills or a work limiting health condition or disability gaining a qualification upon leaving	Less developed	%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
1113	Individuals impacted by redundancy gaining a qualification upon leaving	Less developed	55.00%	65.00%	39.00%	55.00%	65.00%	39.00%		%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
110A6	Short term unemployed participants with low skills or a work limiting health condition or disability in employment 6 months after leaving	Less developed	%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
110B6	Individuals impacted by redundancy in employment 6 months after leaving	Less developed	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
1110	Economically inactive (aged 25 and over), not in education or training, who have complex barriers to employment gaining a qualification or work relevant certification upon leaving	Less developed	1.00%	0.00%	1.00%	1.00%	0.00%	1.00%		%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
1100A	Long-term unemployed (aged 25 and over), not in education or training, who have complex barriers to employment gaining a qualification or work relevant certification upon leaving	Less developed	1.00%	2.00%	1.00%	1.00%	2.00%	1.00%		%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
1102A	Economically inactive (aged 25 and over), not in education or training, who have complex barriers to employment entering employment including self employment upon leaving	Less developed	7.00%	5.00%	8.00%	7.00%	5.00%	8.00%		%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
1102B	Long-term unemployed (aged 25 and over) who have complex barriers to employment entering employment, including self employment, upon leaving	Less developed	6.00%	7.00%	3.00%	6.00%	7.00%	3.00%		%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
1103	Economically inactive (aged 25 and over), not in education or training, who have complex barriers to employment engaged in job search upon leaving	Less developed	7.00%	9.00%	7.00%	7.00%	9.00%	7.00%		%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
1104A	Economically inactive (aged 25 and over), not in education or training, who have complex barriers to employment increasing employability through completing work experience placement or volunteering opportunity	Less developed	1.00%	0.00%	1.00%	1.00%	0.00%	1.00%		%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
1104B	Long-term unemployed (aged 25 and over) who have complex barriers to employment increasing employability through completing work experience placement or volunteering opportunity	Less developed	1.00%	2.00%	1.00%	1.00%	2.00%	1.00%		%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
102A6	Economically inactive (aged 25 and over), not in education or training, who have complex barriers to employment in employment including self employment 6 months after leaving	Less developed	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
102B6	Long-term unemployed (aged 25 and over) who have complex barriers to employment in employment including self employment 6 months after leaving	Less developed	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
1118	Supported enterprises having adopted or improved equality and diversity strategies and monitoring systems	Less developed	%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
1171	Employed, including self employed participants with work limiting health condition or disability returning to work after a period of absence	Less developed	7.00%	8.00%	7.00%	7.00%	8.00%	7.00%		%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
1120	Employed, including self employed participants with work limiting health condition or disability with an improved labour market situation upon leaving such as increased hours, permanent	Less developed	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
	contract													1		L
1170	Underemployed participants with an improved labour market situation upon leaving, such as increased hours, permanent contract	Less developed	%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	<u> </u>

Priority axis	1 - Tackling Poverty through Sustainable Employment
Investment Priority	9i - Active inclusion, including with a view to promoting equal opportunities and active participation, and improving employability

Table 4A : Common output indicators for the ESF and YEI - 1 $^{\prime}$ 9i

ID	Indicator	Category of region	Tar	get valu	e (2023)	Cui	nulative val	ue	Ach	ievemei	nt ratio		2018	
			Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women
CO01	unemployed, including long-term unemployed	Less developed				11,459.00	7,456.00	4,003.00				2,713.00	1,700.00	1,013.00
CO02	long-term unemployed	Less developed				7,687.00	5,003.00	2,684.00				2,151.00	1,370.00	781.00
CO03	inactive	Less developed				14,119.00	5,571.00	8,548.00				3,172.00	1,417.00	1,755.00
CO04	inactive, not in education or training	Less developed				0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00	0.00
CO05	employed, including self-employed	Less developed				4,251.00	1,793.00	2,458.00				1,526.00	628.00	898.00
CO06	below 25 years of age	Less developed				416.00	222.00	194.00				130.00	59.00	71.00
CO07	above 54 years of age	Less developed				5,129.00	2,893.00	2,236.00				1,439.00	752.00	687.00
CO08	above 54 years of age who are unemployed, including long term unemployed, or inactive not in education or training	Less developed				4,190.00	2,461.00	1,729.00				1,086.00	599.00	487.00
CO09	with primary (ISCED 1) or lower secondary education (ISCED 2)	Less developed				5,719.00	3,151.00	2,568.00				1,260.00	733.00	527.00
CO10	with upper secondary (ISCED 3) or post-secondary education (ISCED 4)	Less developed				10,604.00	4,738.00	5,866.00				2,618.00	1,238.00	1,380.00
CO11	with tertiary education (ISCED 5 to 8)	Less developed				4,398.00	1,877.00	2,521.00				1,277.00	512.00	765.00
CO15	migrants, participants with a foreign background, minorities (including marginalised communities such as the Roma)	Less developed				1,206.00	518.00	688.00				337.00	142.00	195.00
CO16	participants with disabilities	Less developed				3,668.00	1,887.00	1,781.00				1,011.00	522.00	489.00
CO17	other disadvantaged	Less developed				16,421.00	7,115.00	9,306.00				3,735.00	1,783.00	1,952.00
CO18	homeless or affected by housing exclusion	Less developed				1,111.00	649.00	462.00				265.00	162.00	103.00
CO19	from rural areas	Less developed				1,643.00	789.00	854.00				0.00	0.00	0.00
CO20	number of projects fully or partially implemented by social partners or non-governmental organisations	Less developed				160.00						31.00		
CO21	number of projects dedicated at sustainable participation and progress of women in employment	Less developed				0.00						0.00		
CO22	number of projects targeting public administrations or public services at national, regional or local level	Less developed				0.00						0.00		
CO23	number of supported micro, small and medium-sized enterprises (including cooperative enterprises, enterprises of the social economy)	Less developed				183.00						94.00		
	Grand total of participants					29,829.00						7,411.00		

ID	Indicator	Category of region		2017			2016			2015	
			Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women
CO01	unemployed, including long-term unemployed	Less developed	4,134.00	2,645.00	1,489.00	3,558.00	2,446.00	1,112.00	1,054.00	665.00	389.00
CO02	long-term unemployed	Less developed	3,108.00	1,997.00	1,111.00	2,129.00	1,444.00	685.00	299.00	192.00	107.00
CO03	inactive	Less developed	5,927.00	2,534.00	3,393.00	4,109.00	1,415.00	2,694.00	911.00	205.00	706.00
CO04	inactive, not in education or training	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO05	employed, including self-employed	Less developed	1,708.00	737.00	971.00	989.00	415.00	574.00	28.00	13.00	15.00
CO06	below 25 years of age	Less developed	160.00	92.00	68.00	121.00	67.00	54.00	5.00	4.00	1.00
CO07	above 54 years of age	Less developed	2,024.00	1,168.00	856.00	1,396.00	826.00	570.00	270.00	147.00	123.00
CO08	above 54 years of age who are unemployed, including long term unemployed, or inactive not in education or training	Less developed	1,666.00	997.00	669.00	1,175.00	721.00	454.00	263.00	144.00	119.00
CO09	with primary (ISCED 1) or lower secondary education (ISCED 2)	Less developed	2,204.00	1,252.00	952.00	1,876.00	1,012.00	864.00	379.00	154.00	225.00
CO10	with upper secondary (ISCED 3) or post-secondary education (ISCED 4)	Less developed	4,219.00	1,934.00	2,285.00	3,082.00	1,345.00	1,737.00	685.00	221.00	464.00
CO11	with tertiary education (ISCED 5 to 8)	Less developed	1,697.00	729.00	968.00	1,252.00	567.00	685.00	172.00	69.00	103.00
CO15	migrants, participants with a foreign background, minorities (including marginalised communities such as the Roma)	Less developed	494.00	225.00	269.00	327.00	132.00	195.00	48.00	19.00	29.00
CO16	participants with disabilities	Less developed	1,399.00	726.00	673.00	969.00	510.00	459.00	289.00	129.00	160.00
CO17	other disadvantaged	Less developed	6,469.00	2,863.00	3,606.00	4,772.00	1,927.00	2,845.00	1,445.00	542.00	903.00
CO18	homeless or affected by housing exclusion	Less developed	463.00	260.00	203.00	376.00	222.00	154.00	7.00	5.00	2.00
CO19	from rural areas	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,327.00	666.00	661.00	316.00	123.00	193.00
CO20	number of projects fully or partially implemented by social partners or non-governmental organisations	Less developed	60.00			36.00			33.00		
CO21	number of projects dedicated at sustainable participation and progress of women in employment	Less developed	0.00			0.00			0.00		
CO22	number of projects targeting public administrations or public services at national, regional or local level	Less developed	0.00			0.00			0.00		
CO23	number of supported micro, small and medium-sized enterprises (including cooperative enterprises, enterprises of the social economy)	Less developed	67.00			22.00			0.00		
	Grand total of participants		11,769.00			8,656.00			1,993.00		

ID	Indicator	Category of region		2014	
			Total	Men	Women
CO01	unemployed, including long-term unemployed	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO02	long-term unemployed	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO03	inactive	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO04	inactive, not in education or training	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO05	employed, including self-employed	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO06	below 25 years of age	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO07	above 54 years of age	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO08	above 54 years of age who are unemployed, including long term unemployed, or inactive not in education or training	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO09	with primary (ISCED 1) or lower secondary education (ISCED 2)	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00

CO10	with upper secondary (ISCED 3) or post-secondary education (ISCED 4)	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO11	with tertiary education (ISCED 5 to 8)	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO15	migrants, participants with a foreign background, minorities (including marginalised communities such as the Roma)	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO16	participants with disabilities	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO17	other disadvantaged	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO18	homeless or affected by housing exclusion	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO19	from rural areas	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO20	number of projects fully or partially implemented by social partners or non-governmental organisations	Less developed	0.00		
CO21	number of projects dedicated at sustainable participation and progress of women in employment	Less developed	0.00		
CO22	number of projects targeting public administrations or public services at national, regional or local level	Less developed	0.00		
CO23	number of supported micro, small and medium-sized enterprises (including cooperative enterprises, enterprises of the social economy)	Less developed	0.00		
	Grand total of participants		0.00		

Priority axis	1 - Tackling Poverty through Sustainable Employment
Investment Priority	9i - Active inclusion, including with a view to promoting equal opportunities and active participation, and improving employability

Table 4B: Programme specific output indicators for the ESF and YEI - ${\bf 1}$

ID	Indicator	Category of region	Measurement unit	Target	value (2	2023)	Cumulative value		Achievement ratio						
				Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men V	Women	Total	Men	Women
1002	Economically inactive (aged 25 and over), not in education or training, who have complex barriers to employment (low or no skills; a work limiting health condition or disability; care or childcare responsibilities; over 54; from a jobless household)	_	Number	36,000.00			14,119.00	5,571.00	8,548.00	0.39			3,172.00	1,417.00	1,755.00
1003	Long-term unemployed (aged 25 and over) who have complex barriers to employment (low or no skills; a work limiting health condition or disability; are from a BME (Black, Minority, Ethnic) group; from a jobless household)	Less developed	Number	10,800.00			7,687.00	5,003.00	2,684.00	0.71			2,151.00	1,370.00	781.00
1013A	Short term unemployed with no / low skills or a work limiting health condition or disability	Less developed	Number	5,999.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00
1013B	Individuals impacted by redundancy	Less developed	Number	12,001.00			3,772.00	2,453.00	1,319.00	0.31			562.00	330.00	232.00
1022	Participants Employed, including self-employed with work-limiting health condition or disability	Less developed	Number	4,000.00			4,251.00	1,793.00	2,458.00	1.06			1,526.00	628.00	898.00
1023	Underemployed participants with barriers to full employment	Less developed	Number	4,000.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00
1025	Number of micro, small and medium-sized enterprises supported	Less developed	Number	2,000.00			183.00	0.00	0.00	0.09			94.00	0.00	0.00
1026	Workplace health programmes	Less developed	Number	300.00			1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00

Indicator	Category of		2017			2016		l	2015			2014	
	region												
													omen
Economically inactive (aged 25 and over), not in education or training, who have complex barriers to employment (low or no skills; a work limiting health condition or disability; care or childcare responsibilities; over 54; from a jobless	Less developed	5,927.00	2,534.00	3,393.00	4,109.00	1,415.00	2,694.00	911.00	205.00	706.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
household)								l l					
Long-term unemployed (aged 25 and over) who have complex barriers to employment (low or no skills; a work limiting health condition or disability; are from a BME (Black, Minority, Ethnic) group; from a jobless household)	Less developed	3,108.00	1,997.00	1,111.00	2,129.00	1,444.00	685.00	299.00	192.00	107.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Short term unemployed with no / low skills or a work limiting health condition or disability	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Individuals impacted by redundancy	Less developed	1,026.00	648.00	378.00	1,429.00	1,002.00	427.00	755.00	473.00	282.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Participants Employed, including self-employed with work-limiting health condition or disability	Less developed	1,708.00	737.00	971.00	989.00	415.00	574.00	28.00	13.00	15.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Underemployed participants with barriers to full employment	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Number of micro, small and medium-sized enterprises supported	Less developed	67.00	0.00	0.00	22.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Workplace health programmes	Less developed	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
I	Economically inactive (aged 25 and over), not in education or training, who have complex barriers to employment (low or no skills; a work limiting health condition or disability; care or childcare responsibilities; over 54; from a jobless household) ong-term unemployed (aged 25 and over) who have complex barriers to employment (low or no skills; a work limiting health condition or disability; are from a BME (Black, Minority, Ethnic) group; from a jobless household) Short term unemployed with no / low skills or a work limiting health condition or disability Individuals impacted by redundancy Participants Employed, including self-employed with work-limiting health condition or disability Inderemployed participants with barriers to full employment Vumber of micro, small and medium-sized enterprises supported	region Conomically inactive (aged 25 and over), not in education or training, who have complex barriers to employment (low or no skills; a work limiting health condition or disability; care or childcare responsibilities; over 54; from a jobless household) Less developed disport term unemployed (aged 25 and over) who have complex barriers to employment (low or no skills; a work limiting health condition or disability; are from a BME (Black, Minority, Ethnic) group; from a jobless household) Less developed dividuals impacted by redundancy Less developed articipants Employed, including self-employed with work-limiting health condition or disability Less developed articipants with barriers to full employment Less developed dumber of micro, small and medium-sized enterprises supported	region Total Conomically inactive (aged 25 and over), not in education or training, who have complex barriers to employment (low or no skills; a work limiting health condition or disability; care or childcare responsibilities; over 54; from a jobless Less developed sousehold) Less developed (aged 25 and over) who have complex barriers to employment (low or no skills; a work limiting health condition or disability; are from a BME (Black, Minority, Ethnic) group; from a jobless household) Less developed (aged 25 and over) who have complex barriers to employment (low or no skills; a work limiting health condition or disability; are from a BME (Black, Minority, Ethnic) group; from a jobless household) Less developed (aged 25 and over) who have complex barriers to employment (low or no skills; a work limiting health condition or disability; are from a BME (Black, Minority, Ethnic) group; from a jobless household) Less developed (aged 25 and over) who have complex barriers to employment (low or no skills; a work limiting health condition or disability; are from a BME (Black, Minority, Ethnic) group; from a jobless household) Less developed (aged 25 and over) who have complex barriers to employment (low or no skills; a work limiting health condition or disability; are from a BME (Black, Minority, Ethnic) group; from a jobless household) Less developed (aged 25 and over) who have complex barriers to employment (low or no skills; a work limiting health condition or disability; are from a BME (Black, Minority, Ethnic) group; from a jobless household) Less developed (aged 25 and over) who have complex barriers to employment (low or no skills; a work limiting health condition or disability; are from a BME (Black, Minority, Ethnic) group; from a jobless household) Less developed (aged 25 and over) who have complex barriers to employment (low or no skills; a work limiting health condition or disability; are from a BME (Black, Minority, Ethnic) group; from a jobless household) Less developed (aged 25 and over) who	region of Pregion of P	region of Presion of President Of President Of President Of President Of President Of Presion of President Of President Of President Of President Of Presi	Preside Pres	region 6 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	region 6 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Pegin Pegi	region 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	region 6 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Person P	Pegina P

Priority axis	2 - Skills for Growth
Investment	8iv - Equality between men and women in all areas, including in access to employment, career progression, reconciliation of work and private life and promotion of
Priority	equal pay for equal work

Table 2A: Common result indicators for ESF (by priority axis, investment priority, and by category of region). Data on all common ESF result indicators (with and without target) shall be reported broken down by gender. For a Technical Assistance priority axis only those common indicators shall be reported for which a target has been set - 2/8iv

ID	Indicator	Category of	Common output indicator used as basis for		Target	value	e (2023)	Cur	nulativ	e value	Achieveme	nt ratio	20	018
		region	target setting	and target										
					Total	Men	Women	Tota	l Men	Women	Total Men	Women	Men	Women
CR01	inactive participants engaged in job searching upon leaving	Less developed						0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00
CR02	participants in education/training upon leaving	Less developed						0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00
CR03	participants gaining a qualification upon leaving	Less developed						0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00
CR03	participants gaining a qualification upon leaving	Less developed	CO05 employed, including self-employed	Ratio	75.00%	%	%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00
CR04	participants in employment, including self-employment, upon leaving	Less developed						0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00
CR05	disadvantaged participants engaged in job searching, education/ training, gaining a qualification, or in employment,	Less developed						0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00
	including self-employment, upon leaving	_												
CR06	participants in employment, including self-employment, six months after leaving	Less developed						0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00
CR07	participants with an improved labour market situation six months after leaving	Less developed						0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00
CR08	participants above 54 years of age in employment, including self-employment, six months after leaving	Less developed						0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00
CR09	disadvantaged participants in employment, including self-employment, six months after leaving	Less developed						0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00

ID	Indicator	Category of region	2	2017	2	016	- 2	2015		2014
			Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
CR01	inactive participants engaged in job searching upon leaving	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CR02	participants in education/training upon leaving	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CR03	participants gaining a qualification upon leaving	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CR03	participants gaining a qualification upon leaving	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CR04	participants in employment, including self-employment, upon leaving	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CR05	disadvantaged participants engaged in job searching, education/ training. gaining a qualification, or in employment, including self-employment, upon leaving	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CR06	participants in employment, including self-employment, six months after leaving	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CR07	participants with an improved labour market situation six months after leaving	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CR08	participants above 54 years of age in employment, including self-employment, six months after leaving	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CR09	disadvantaged participants in employment, including self-employment, six months after leaving	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Priority axis	2 - Skills for Growth
Investment	8iv - Equality between men and women in all areas, including in access to employment, career progression, reconciliation of work and private life and promotion of
Priority	equal pay for equal work

1.2. Table 2C : Programme specific result indicators for ESF and YEI

ID	Indicator		Measurement unit for indicator		Measurement unit for baseline and target	Target value (2023)			Ach	ievemer	nt ratio	2018						
												C	umulati	ive	A	nnual tota	al	Qualitative
						Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	
110	Supported Enterprises having adopted or improved equality and diversity strategies and monitoring systems	Less developed		CO23 number of supported micro, small and medium-sized enterprises (including cooperative enterprises, enterprises of the social economy)	Ratio	50.00%			94.26			47.13%	%	%	144.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
110	Employed, including self-employed participants with an improved labour market situation upon leaving	Less developed	Ratio (Ratio)	CO05 employed, including self-employed	Ratio	40.00%			0.00			0.00%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	

ID	Indicator	Category of region				2017				2016							
			Cumulative		Annual total		Annual total		ual total Qualitative		ve Cumulative		ve	Annual total		al	Qualitative
			Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women		Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women		
1109	Supported Enterprises having adopted or improved equality and diversity strategies and monitoring systems	Less developed	14.72%	%	%	28.00%	0.00%	0.00%		1.83%	%	%	2.00%	0.00%	0.00%	i	
1101	Employed, including self-employed participants with an improved labour market situation upon leaving	Less developed	0.00%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	i	

ID	Indicator	Category of region	2015							2014						
				Cumulati	re .		Annual tot	al	Qualitative		Cumulat	ive		Annual tota	al	Qualitative
			Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women		Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	
1109	Supported Enterprises having adopted or improved equality and diversity strategies and monitoring systems	Less developed	0.00%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
1101	Employed, including self-employed participants with an improved labour market situation upon leaving	Less developed	0.00%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	

Priority axis	2 - Skills for Growth
Investment	8iv - Equality between men and women in all areas, including in access to employment, career progression, reconciliation of work and private life and promotion of
Priority	equal pay for equal work

Table 4A : Common output indicators for the ESF and YEI - 2 / 8iv

ID	Indicator	Category of region	Targe	t value	(2023)	Cum	ulative v	alue	Ach	ievemer	nt ratio		2018	
			Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women
CO01	unemployed, including long-term unemployed	Less developed				0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00	0.00
CO02	long-term unemployed	Less developed				0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00	0.00
CO03	inactive	Less developed				0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00	0.00
CO04	inactive, not in education or training	Less developed				0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00	0.00
CO05	employed, including self-employed	Less developed	4,400.00	0.00	4,400.00	1,393.00	0.00	1,393.00	0.32		0.32	441.00	0.00	441.00
CO06	below 25 years of age	Less developed				246.00	0.00	246.00				73.00	0.00	73.00
	above 54 years of age	Less developed				31.00	0.00	31.00				14.00	0.00	14.00
CO08	above 54 years of age who are unemployed, including long term unemployed, or inactive not in education or training	Less developed				0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00	0.00
CO09	with primary (ISCED 1) or lower secondary education (ISCED 2)	Less developed				30.00	0.00	30.00				10.00	0.00	10.00
CO10	with upper secondary (ISCED 3) or post-secondary education (ISCED 4)	Less developed				531.00	0.00	531.00				174.00	0.00	174.00
CO11	with tertiary education (ISCED 5 to 8)	Less developed				813.00	0.00	813.00				254.00	0.00	254.00
CO15	migrants, participants with a foreign background, minorities (including marginalised communities such as the Roma)	Less developed				81.00	0.00	81.00				46.00	0.00	46.00
CO16	participants with disabilities	Less developed				50.00	0.00	50.00				19.00	0.00	19.00
CO17	other disadvantaged	Less developed				63.00	0.00	63.00				3.00	0.00	3.00
CO18	homeless or affected by housing exclusion	Less developed				0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00	0.00
CO19	from rural areas	Less developed				78.00	0.00	78.00				0.00	0.00	0.00
CO20	number of projects fully or partially implemented by social partners or non-governmental organisations	Less developed				0.00						0.00		
CO21	number of projects dedicated at sustainable participation and progress of women in employment	Less developed				2.00						1.00		
CO22	number of projects targeting public administrations or public services at national, regional or local level	Less developed				0.00						0.00		
CO23	number of supported micro, small and medium-sized enterprises (including cooperative enterprises, enterprises of the social economy)	Less developed	800.00	0.00	0.00	363.00			0.45			91.00		
	Grand total of participants					1,393.00						441.00		

ID	Indicator	Category of region		2017			2016				
			Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women
CO01	unemployed, including long-term unemployed	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO02	long-term unemployed	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO03	inactive	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO04	inactive, not in education or training	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO05	employed, including self-employed	Less developed	500.00	0.00	500.00	308.00	0.00	308.00	144.00	0.00	144.00
CO06	below 25 years of age	Less developed	90.00	0.00	90.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	33.00	0.00	33.00
CO07	above 54 years of age	Less developed	8.00	0.00	8.00	7.00	0.00	7.00	2.00	0.00	2.00
CO08	above 54 years of age who are unemployed, including long term unemployed, or inactive not in education or training	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO09	with primary (ISCED 1) or lower secondary education (ISCED 2)	Less developed	11.00	0.00	11.00	6.00	0.00	6.00	3.00	0.00	3.00
CO10	with upper secondary (ISCED 3) or post-secondary education (ISCED 4)	Less developed	182.00	0.00	182.00	116.00	0.00	116.00	59.00	0.00	59.00
CO11	with tertiary education (ISCED 5 to 8)	Less developed	297.00	0.00	297.00	184.00	0.00	184.00	78.00	0.00	78.00
CO15	migrants, participants with a foreign background, minorities (including marginalised communities such as the Roma)	Less developed	22.00	0.00	22.00	6.00	0.00	6.00	7.00	0.00	7.00
CO16	participants with disabilities	Less developed	17.00	0.00	17.00	8.00	0.00	8.00	6.00	0.00	6.00
CO17	other disadvantaged	Less developed	14.00	0.00	14.00	22.00	0.00	22.00	24.00	0.00	24.00
CO18	homeless or affected by housing exclusion	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO19	from rural areas	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	54.00	0.00	54.00	24.00	0.00	24.00
CO20	number of projects fully or partially implemented by social partners or non-governmental organisations	Less developed	0.00			0.00			0.00		
CO21	number of projects dedicated at sustainable participation and progress of women in employment	Less developed	0.00			0.00			1.00		
CO22	number of projects targeting public administrations or public services at national, regional or local level	Less developed	0.00			0.00			0.00		-
CO23	number of supported micro, small and medium-sized enterprises (including cooperative enterprises, enterprises of the social economy)	Less developed	134.00			126.00			12.00		
	Grand total of participants		500.00			308.00			144.00		

ID	Indicator	Category of region		2014	
			Total	Men	Women
CO01	unemployed, including long-term unemployed	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO02	long-term unemployed	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO03	inactive	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO04	inactive, not in education or training	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO05	employed, including self-employed	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO06	below 25 years of age	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO07	above 54 years of age	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00

CO08	above 54 years of age who are unemployed, including long term unemployed, or inactive not in education or training	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO09	with primary (ISCED 1) or lower secondary education (ISCED 2)	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO10	with upper secondary (ISCED 3) or post-secondary education (ISCED 4)	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO11	with tertiary education (ISCED 5 to 8)	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO15	migrants, participants with a foreign background, minorities (including marginalised communities such as the Roma)	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO16	participants with disabilities	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO17	other disadvantaged	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO18	homeless or affected by housing exclusion	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO19	from rural areas	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO20	number of projects fully or partially implemented by social partners or non-governmental organisations	Less developed	0.00		
CO21	number of projects dedicated at sustainable participation and progress of women in employment	Less developed	0.00		
CO22	number of projects targeting public administrations or public services at national, regional or local level	Less developed	0.00		
CO23	number of supported micro, small and medium-sized enterprises (including cooperative enterprises, enterprises of the social economy)	Less developed	0.00		
	Grand total of participants		0.00		

Priority axis	2 - Skills for Growth
Investment	8iv - Equality between men and women in all areas, including in access to employment, career progression, reconciliation of work and private life and promotion of
Priority	equal pay for equal work

Table 4B: Programme specific output indicators for the ESF and YEI - 2

ID	Indicator	Category of region	Measurement unit		Target value (2023)		Cumulative value			Achievement r	atio	2018			
				7	Total Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	
ID	Indicator	Category of region		2017			2016			201	5			2014		
			Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	We	omen	Total	Men	Women	

Priority axis	2 - Skills for Growth
Investment	10iii - Enhancing equal access to lifelong learning for all age groups in formal, non formal and informal settings, upgrading the knowledge, skills and competences of the
Priority	workforce, and promoting flexible learning pathways including through career guidance and validation of acquired competences

Table 2A: Common result indicators for ESF (by priority axis, investment priority, and by category of region). Data on all common ESF result indicators (with and without target) shall be reported broken down by gender. For a Technical Assistance priority axis only those common indicators shall be reported for which a target has been set - 2/10iii

ID	Indicator	Category	of Common output indicator used as basis	Measurement unit for baseline	Target valu	ie (2023)	Cui	nulative va	alue	Achiever	nent ratio	201	18
		region	for target setting	and target									
					Total Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total Me	n Women	Men	Women
CR0	inactive participants engaged in job searching upon leaving	Less developed	d				3.00	3.00	0.00			0.00	0.00
CR02	participants in education/training upon leaving	Less developed	d				2,290.00	1,391.00	899.00			4.00	6.00
	participants gaining a qualification upon leaving	Less developed	d				27,102.00	12,241.00	14,861.00			555.00	516.00
	participants in employment, including self-employment, upon leaving	Less developed					6.00	6.00	0.00			2.00	0.00
CR0	disadvantaged participants engaged in job searching, education/ training, gaining a qualification, or in	Less developed	d				7,757.00	2,820.00	4,937.00			242.00	296.00
	employment, including self-employment, upon leaving												i
	participants in employment, including self-employment, six months after leaving	Less developed	d				0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00
CR0	participants with an improved labour market situation six months after leaving	Less developed	d				13,044.00	6,084.00	6,960.00			6,084.00	6,960.00
CR08	participants above 54 years of age in employment, including self-employment, six months after leaving	Less developed	d				0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00
CR09	disadvantaged participants in employment, including self-employment, six months after leaving	Less developed	d				0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00

ID	Indicator	Category of region	20	17	20	16	20	15	2	2014
			Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
CR01	inactive participants engaged in job searching upon leaving	Less developed	3.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CR02	participants in education/training upon leaving	Less developed	460.00	308.00	519.00	266.00	408.00	319.00	0.00	0.00
CR03	participants gaining a qualification upon leaving	Less developed	4,161.00	5,218.00	3,792.00	4,513.00	3,733.00	4,614.00	0.00	0.00
	participants in employment, including self-employment, upon leaving	Less developed	4.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CR05	disadvantaged participants engaged in job searching, education/ training, gaining a qualification, or in employment, including self-employment, upon leaving	Less developed	1,018.00	2,031.00	821.00	1,464.00	739.00	1,146.00	0.00	0.00
CR06	participants in employment, including self-employment, six months after leaving	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CR07	participants with an improved labour market situation six months after leaving	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CR08	participants above 54 years of age in employment, including self-employment, six months after leaving	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CR09	disadvantaged participants in employment, including self-employment, six months after leaving	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Priority axis	2 - Skills for Growth
Investment	10iii - Enhancing equal access to lifelong learning for all age groups in formal, non formal and informal settings, upgrading the knowledge, skills and competences of the
Priority	workforce, and promoting flexible learning pathways including through career guidance and validation of acquired competences

1.3. Table 2C : Programme specific result indicators for ESF and YEI

ID	Indicator	Category of region	Measurement unit for indicator	Output indicator used as basis for target setting	Measurement unit for baseline and target	Targe	et value	(2023)	Acl	hieveme	nt ratio				2018			
													Cumulativ			Annual to		Qualitative
						Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women		Men				Women	
	Employed, including self employed participants with no formal qualifications gaining an essential skills or technical or job specific qualification upon leaving - Male	developed	Ratio (Ratio)		Ratio	72.00%			55.19			39.74%	39.74%	,-	67.00%	0110070	0.00%	
1174F	Employed, including self employed participants with no formal qualifications gaining an essential skills or technical or job specific qualification upon leaving - female	Less developed	Ratio (Ratio)		Ratio	72.00%			60.51			43.57%	%	43.57%	75.00%	0.00%	75.00%	
1175M	Employed, including self-employed participants with up to and including a lower secondary education (ISCED 2) gaining an essential skills or technical or job specific qualification at lower secondary (ISCED 2) level upon leaving - Male	Less developed	Ratio (Ratio)		Ratio	72.00%			68.13			49.05%	49.05%	%	33.00%	33.00%	0.00%	
1175F	Employed, including self-employed participants with up to and including a lower secondary education (ISCED 2) gaining an essential skills or technical or job specific qualification at lower secondary (ISCED 2) level upon leaving - female	Less developed	Ratio (Ratio)		Ratio	72.00%			59.61			42.92%	%	42.92%	25.00%	0.00%	25.00%	
1180M	Employed, including self-employed participants with lower secondary education (ISCED 2) gaining a technical or job specific vocational qualification upon leaving at upper secondary (ISCED 3) level or above - Male	Less developed	Ratio (Ratio)		Ratio	60.00%			86.78			52.07%	52.07%	%	55.00%	55.00%	0.00%	
1180F	Employed, including self-employed participants with lower secondary education (ISCED 2) gaining a technical or job specific vocational qualification upon leaving at upper secondary (ISCED 3) level or above - female	Less developed	Ratio (Ratio)		Ratio	60.00%			79.30			47.58%	%	47.58%	45.00%	0.00%	45.00%	
1181M	Employed, including self employed participants with upper secondary (ISCED 3) education or above gaining a technical or job specific vocational qualification at or above upper secondary (ISCED3) level upon leaving - male	Less developed	Ratio (Ratio)		Ratio	60.00%			59.82			35.89%	35.89%	%	34.00%	34.00%	0.00%	
1181F	Employed, including self employed participants with upper secondary (ISCED 3) education or above gaining a technical or job specific vocational qualification at or above upper secondary (ISCED3) level upon leaving - female	Less developed	Ratio (Ratio)		Ratio	60.00%			62.58			37.55%	%	37.55%	18.00%	0.00%	18.00%	
1177M	Participants with a graduate degree or equivalent gaining a qualification upon leaving at Masters (ISCED 7) or Doctoral (ISCED 8) level - male	Less developed	Ratio (Ratio)		Ratio	63.00%			8.17			5.15%	5.15%	%	28.00%	28.00%	0.00%	
1177F	Participants with a graduate degree or equivalent gaining a qualification upon leaving at Masters (ISCED 7) or Doctoral (ISCED 8) level - female	Less developed	Ratio (Ratio)		Ratio	63.00%			8.59			5.41%	%	5.41%	29.00%	0.00%	29.00%	
1108M	Participants with graduate degree or equivalent in employment upon leaving - male	Less developed	Ratio (Ratio)		Ratio	52.00%			3.42			1.78%	1.78%	%	7.00%	7.00%	0.00%	
1108F	Participants with graduate degree or equivalent in employment upon leaving - female	Less developed	Ratio (Ratio)		Ratio	52.00%			0.00			0.00%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	

ID	Indicator	Category									2016					
		region		Cumulati	ve		Annual tot	al	Oualitative		Cumulativ	e	Α	Annual tot	al	Oualitative
			Total	Men	Women	Total	Men		Q	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Q
1174M	Employed, including self employed participants with no formal qualifications gaining an essential skills or technical or job specific qualification upon leaving - Male	Less developed	30.76%			44.00%	44.00%	0.00%		12.57%	12.57%	%	13.00%	13.00%	0.00%	
1174F	Employed, including self employed participants with no formal qualifications gaining an essential skills or technical or job specific qualification upon leaving - female	Less developed	34.46%	%	34.46%	47.00%	0.00%	47.00%		17.52%	%	17.52%	20.00%	0.00%	20.00%	
1175M	Employed, including self-employed participants with up to and including a lower secondary education (ISCED 2) gaining an essential skills or technical or job specific qualification at lower secondary (ISCED 2) level upon leaving - Male	Less developed	49.79%	49.79%	%	48.00%	48.00%	0.00%		50.64%	50.64%	%	65.00%	65.00%	0.00%	
1175F	Employed, including self-employed participants with up to and including a lower secondary education (ISCED 2) gaining an essential skills or technical or job specific qualification at lower secondary (ISCED 2) level upon leaving - female	Less developed	44.07%	%	44.07%	37.00%	0.00%	37.00%		47.98%	%	47.98%	57.00%	0.00%	57.00%	
1180M	Employed, including self-employed participants with lower secondary education (ISCED 2) gaining a technical or job specific vocational qualification upon leaving at upper secondary (ISCED 3) level or above - Male	Less developed	51.98%	51.98%	%	65.00%	65.00%	0.00%		46.71%	46.71%	%	59.00%	59.00%	0.00%	
1180F	Employed, including self-employed participants with lower secondary education (ISCED 2) gaining a technical or job specific vocational qualification upon leaving at upper secondary (ISCED 3) level or above - female	Less developed	47.68%	%	47.68%	58.00%	0.00%	58.00%		43.46%	%	43.46%	46.00%	0.00%	46.00%	
1181M	Employed, including self employed participants with upper secondary (ISCED 3) education or above gaining a technical or job specific vocational qualification at or above upper secondary (ISCED3) level upon leaving - male	Less developed	35.99%	35.99%	%	45.00%	45.00%	0.00%		31.93%	31.93%	%	39.00%	39.00%	0.00%	
1181F	Employed, including self employed participants with upper secondary (ISCED 3) education or above gaining a technical or job specific vocational qualification at or above upper secondary (ISCED3) level upon leaving - female	Less developed	38.38%	%	38.38%	51.00%	0.00%	51.00%		32.91%	%	32.91%	43.00%	0.00%	43.00%	
1177M	Participants with a graduate degree or equivalent gaining a qualification upon leaving at Masters (ISCED 7) or Doctoral (ISCED 8) level - male	Less developed	2.79%	2.79%	%	5.00%	5.00%	0.00%		1.24%	1.24%	%	2.00%	2.00%	0.00%	
	Participants with a graduate degree or equivalent gaining a qualification upon leaving at Masters (ISCED 7) or Doctoral (ISCED 8) level - female	Less developed	1.52%	%	1.52%	1.00%	0.00%	1.00%		1.92%	%	1.92%	3.00%	0.00%	3.00%	
1108M	Participants with graduate degree or equivalent in employment upon leaving - male	Less developed	1.24%	1.24%	%	3.00%	3.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
1108F	Participants with graduate degree or equivalent in employment upon leaving - female	Less developed	0.00%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	

ID	Indicator	Category of region				2015							2014	4		
				Cumulati	ve	A	Annual to	tal	Qualitative		Cumulati	ve	I	Annual to	tal	Qualitative
			Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women		Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	
1174M	Employed, including self employed participants with no formal qualifications gaining an essential skills or technical or job specific qualification upon leaving - Male	Less developed	0.00%	0.00%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	ĺ
1174F	Employed, including self employed participants with no formal qualifications gaining an essential skills or technical or job specific qualification upon leaving - female	Less developed	0.00%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	1
1175M	Employed, including self-employed participants with up to and including a lower secondary education (ISCED 2) gaining an essential skills or technical or job specific qualification at lower secondary (ISCED 2) level upon leaving - Male	Less developed	42.36%	42.36%	%	79.00%	79.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
1175F	Employed, including self-employed participants with up to and including a lower secondary education (ISCED 2) gaining an essential skills or technical or job specific qualification at lower secondary (ISCED 2) level upon leaving - female	Less developed	42.20%	%	42.20%	75.00%	0.00%	75.00%		0.00%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
1180M	Employed, including self-employed participants with lower secondary education (ISCED 2) gaining a technical or job specific vocational qualification upon leaving at upper secondary (ISCED	Less developed	38.39%	38.39%	%	68.00%	68.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	

ID	Indicator	Category of region	of 2015							2014	4					
				Cumulativ	re	Α	nnual tot	al	Qualitative		Cumulati	ve	- 1	Annual to	tal	Qualitative
			Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women		Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	i
	3) level or above - Male															i
	Employed, including self-employed participants with lower secondary education (ISCED 2) gaining a technical or job specific vocational qualification upon leaving at upper secondary (ISCED 3) level or above - female	Less developed	41.44%	%	41.44%	77.00%	0.00%	77.00%		0.00%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
	Employed, including self employed participants with upper secondary (ISCED 3) education or above gaining a technical or job specific vocational qualification at or above upper secondary (ISCED3) level upon leaving - male	Less developed	25.93%	25.93%	%	42.00%	42.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
	Employed, including self employed participants with upper secondary (ISCED 3) education or above gaining a technical or job specific vocational qualification at or above upper secondary (ISCED3) level upon leaving - female	Less developed	25.93%	%	25.93%	41.00%	0.00%	41.00%		0.00%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
1177M	Participants with a graduate degree or equivalent gaining a qualification upon leaving at Masters (ISCED 7) or Doctoral (ISCED 8) level - male	Less developed	0.00%	0.00%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
1177F	Participants with a graduate degree or equivalent gaining a qualification upon leaving at Masters (ISCED 7) or Doctoral (ISCED 8) level - female	Less developed	0.00%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	i
	Participants with graduate degree or equivalent in employment upon leaving - male	Less developed	0.00%	0.00%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
1108F	Participants with graduate degree or equivalent in employment upon leaving - female	Less developed	0.00%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	

Priority axis	2 - Skills for Growth
Investment	10iii - Enhancing equal access to lifelong learning for all age groups in formal, non formal and informal settings, upgrading the knowledge, skills and competences of the
Priority	workforce, and promoting flexible learning pathways including through career guidance and validation of acquired competences

Table 4A : Common output indicators for the ESF and YEI - $2\,/\,10iii$

ID	Indicator	Category of region	Targ	get value	e (2023)	Cu	ımulative val	ue	Ach	ievemer	nt ratio		2018	
			Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women
CO01	unemployed, including long-term unemployed	Less developed				21.00	15.00	6.00				4.00	3.00	1.00
CO02	long-term unemployed	Less developed				1.00	0.00	1.00				1.00	0.00	1.00
CO03	inactive	Less developed				294.00	188.00	106.00				17.00	8.00	9.00
CO04	inactive, not in education or training	Less developed				228.00	151.00	77.00				14.00	6.00	8.00
CO05	employed, including self-employed	Less developed				56,559.00	24,693.00	31,866.00				2,886.00	1,270.00	1,616.00
CO06	below 25 years of age	Less developed				26,358.00	14,075.00	12,283.00				641.00	301.00	340.00
CO07	above 54 years of age	Less developed				1,910.00	668.00	1,242.00				235.00	105.00	130.00
CO08	above 54 years of age who are unemployed, including long term unemployed, or inactive not in education or training	Less developed				0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00	0.00
CO09	with primary (ISCED 1) or lower secondary education (ISCED 2)	Less developed				9,155.00	4,425.00	4,730.00				375.00	153.00	222.00
CO10	with upper secondary (ISCED 3) or post-secondary education (ISCED 4)	Less developed				37,114.00	16,479.00	20,635.00				1,621.00	696.00	925.00
CO11	with tertiary education (ISCED 5 to 8)	Less developed				8,915.00	3,036.00	5,879.00				509.00	195.00	314.00
CO15	migrants, participants with a foreign background, minorities (including marginalised communities such as the Roma)	Less developed				1,884.00	848.00	1,036.00				125.00	63.00	62.00
CO16	participants with disabilities	Less developed				2,723.00	1,330.00	1,393.00				112.00	47.00	65.00
CO17	other disadvantaged	Less developed				13,781.00	4,294.00	9,487.00				1,147.00	442.00	705.00
CO18	homeless or affected by housing exclusion	Less developed				59.00	31.00	28.00				9.00	7.00	2.00
CO19	from rural areas	Less developed				8,449.00	3,732.00	4,717.00				0.00	0.00	0.00
CO20	number of projects fully or partially implemented by social partners or non-governmental organisations	Less developed				0.00						0.00	i	
CO21	number of projects dedicated at sustainable participation and progress of women in employment	Less developed				0.00						0.00		
CO22	number of projects targeting public administrations or public services at national, regional or local level	Less developed				0.00						0.00	1	
CO23	number of supported micro, small and medium-sized enterprises (including cooperative enterprises, enterprises of the social economy)	Less developed				1,151.00						224.00		
	Grand total of participants					56,874.00						2,907.00		

ID	Indicator	Category of region		2017			2016			2015	
			Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women
CO01	unemployed, including long-term unemployed	Less developed	6.00	5.00	1.00	9.00	6.00	3.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
CO02	long-term unemployed	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO03	inactive	Less developed	105.00	72.00	33.00	94.00	55.00	39.00	51.00	30.00	21.00
CO04	inactive, not in education or training	Less developed	72.00	51.00	21.00	71.00	44.00	27.00	44.00	27.00	17.00
CO05	employed, including self-employed	Less developed	17,261.00	7,471.00	9,790.00	15,182.00	6,656.00	8,526.00	12,333.00	5,279.00	7,054.00
CO06	below 25 years of age	Less developed	6,361.00	3,479.00	2,882.00	6,833.00	3,731.00	3,102.00	7,451.00	3,789.00	3,662.00
CO07	above 54 years of age	Less developed	752.00	300.00	452.00	454.00	144.00	310.00	258.00	57.00	201.00
CO08	above 54 years of age who are unemployed, including long term unemployed, or inactive not in education or training	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO09	with primary (ISCED 1) or lower secondary education (ISCED 2)	Less developed	3,201.00	1,406.00	1,795.00	2,231.00	1,034.00	1,197.00	1,874.00	1,002.00	872.00
CO10	with upper secondary (ISCED 3) or post-secondary education (ISCED 4)	Less developed	10,589.00	4,773.00	5,816.00	10,056.00	4,469.00	5,587.00	8,536.00	3,702.00	4,834.00
CO11	with tertiary education (ISCED 5 to 8)	Less developed	2,839.00	953.00	1,886.00	2,493.00	921.00	1,572.00	1,935.00	596.00	1,339.00
CO15	migrants, participants with a foreign background, minorities (including marginalised communities such as the Roma)	Less developed	703.00	308.00	395.00	513.00	235.00	278.00	309.00	129.00	180.00
CO16	participants with disabilities	Less developed	907.00	421.00	486.00	754.00	386.00	368.00	590.00	292.00	298.00
CO17	other disadvantaged	Less developed	5,455.00	1,712.00	3,743.00	3,773.00	1,123.00	2,650.00	2,089.00	579.00	1,510.00
CO18	homeless or affected by housing exclusion	Less developed	39.00	17.00	22.00	7.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	1.00
CO19	from rural areas	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	3,156.00	1,409.00	1,747.00	3,061.00	1,272.00	1,789.00
CO20	number of projects fully or partially implemented by social partners or non-governmental organisations	Less developed	0.00			0.00			0.00		
CO21	number of projects dedicated at sustainable participation and progress of women in employment	Less developed	0.00			0.00			0.00		
CO22	number of projects targeting public administrations or public services at national, regional or local level	Less developed	0.00			0.00			0.00		1
CO23	number of supported micro, small and medium-sized enterprises (including cooperative enterprises, enterprises of the social economy)	Less developed	516.00	•		353.00	·		49.00	·	
	Grand total of participants		17,372.00	•		15,285.00	·		12,385.00	·	

ID	Indicator	Category of region		2014	
			Total	Men	Women
CO01	unemployed, including long-term unemployed	Less developed	1.00	0.00	1.00
CO02	long-term unemployed	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO03	inactive	Less developed	27.00	23.00	4.00
CO04	inactive, not in education or training	Less developed	27.00	23.00	4.00
CO05	employed, including self-employed	Less developed	8,897.00	4,017.00	4,880.00
CO06	below 25 years of age	Less developed	5,072.00	2,775.00	2,297.00
CO07	above 54 years of age	Less developed	211.00	62.00	149.00

CO08	above 54 years of age who are unemployed, including long term unemployed, or inactive not in education or training	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO09	with primary (ISCED 1) or lower secondary education (ISCED 2)	Less developed	1,474.00	830.00	644.00
CO10	with upper secondary (ISCED 3) or post-secondary education (ISCED 4)	Less developed	6,312.00	2,839.00	3,473.00
CO11	with tertiary education (ISCED 5 to 8)	Less developed	1,139.00	371.00	768.00
CO15	migrants, participants with a foreign background, minorities (including marginalised communities such as the Roma)	Less developed	234.00	113.00	121.00
CO16	participants with disabilities	Less developed	360.00	184.00	176.00
CO17	other disadvantaged	Less developed	1,317.00	438.00	879.00
CO18	homeless or affected by housing exclusion	Less developed	1.00	1.00	0.00
CO19	from rural areas	Less developed	2,232.00	1,051.00	1,181.00
CO20	number of projects fully or partially implemented by social partners or non-governmental organisations	Less developed	0.00		
CO21	number of projects dedicated at sustainable participation and progress of women in employment	Less developed	0.00		
CO22	number of projects targeting public administrations or public services at national, regional or local level	Less developed	0.00		
CO23	number of supported micro, small and medium-sized enterprises (including cooperative enterprises of the social economy)	Less developed	9.00		
	Grand total of participants		8,925.00		

Priority axis	2 - Skills for Growth
Investment	10iii - Enhancing equal access to lifelong learning for all age groups in formal, non formal and informal settings, upgrading the knowledge, skills and competences of the
Priority	workforce, and promoting flexible learning pathways including through career guidance and validation of acquired competences

Table 4B: Programme specific output indicators for the ESF and YEI - 2

ID	Indicator	Category of region	Measurement unit	Targe	t value (2	2023)	Cı	ımulative valı	ie	Acl	hievemen	t ratio		2018	
				Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women
1008	Number of enterprises collaborating with learning providers in Research and Innovation activities	Less developed	Number	754.00			544.00	0.00	0.00	0.72			139.00	0.00	0.00
1172F	Employed, including self-employed participants with no formal qualifications - female	Less developed	Number	0.00			734.00	0.00	734.00				165.00	0.00	165.00
1172M	Employed, including self-employed participants with no formal qualifications - male	Less developed	Number	0.00			956.00	956.00	0.00				237.00	237.00	0.00
1173F	Employed, including self-employed participants with qualifications up to and including a lower secondary education (ISCED 2) - female	Less developed	Number	31,692.00			10,684.00	0.00	10,684.00	0.34			642.00	0.00	642.00
1173M	Employed, including self-employed participants with qualifications up to and including a lower secondary education (ISCED 2) - male	Less developed	Number	26,841.00			10,126.00	10,126.00	0.00	0.38			444.00	444.00	0.00
1176F	Participants with a graduate degree or equivalent – female	Less developed	Number	724.00			219.00	0.00	219.00	0.30			31.00	0.00	31.00
1176M	Participants with a graduate degree or equivalent - male	Less developed	Number	784.00			310.00	310.00	0.00	0.40			29.00	29.00	0.00
1178F	Employed, including self-employed participants with lower secondary education (ISCED 2) - female	Less developed	Number	23,874.00			6,493.00	0.00	6,493.00	0.27			226.00	0.00	226.00
1178M	Employed, including self-employed participants with lower secondary education (ISCED 2) - male	Less developed	Number	20,693.00			5,920.00	5,920.00	0.00	0.29			187.00	187.00	0.00
1179F	Employed, including self employed participants with upper secondary (ISCED 3) education or above - female	Less developed	Number	9,814.00			13,848.00	0.00	13,848.00	1.41			562.00	0.00	562.00
1179M	Employed, including self employed participants with upper secondary (ISCED 3) education or above - male	Less developed	Number	10,780.00			7.584.00	7.584.00	0.00	0.70			384.00	384.00	0.00

ID	Indicator	Category of region		2017			2016			2015			2014	
			Total	Men	Women									
1008	Number of enterprises collaborating with learning providers in Research and Innovation activities	Less developed	202.00	0.00	0.00	145.00	0.00	0.00	49.00	0.00	0.00	9.00	0.00	0.00
1172F	Employed, including self-employed participants with no formal qualifications - female	Less developed	327.00	0.00	327.00	212.00	0.00	212.00	30.00	0.00	30.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Employed, including self-employed participants with no formal qualifications - male	Less developed	416.00	416.00	0.00	293.00	293.00	0.00	10.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1173F	Employed, including self-employed participants with qualifications up to and including a lower secondary education (ISCED 2) - female	Less developed	3,582.00	0.00	3,582.00	2,525.00	0.00	2,525.00	2,214.00	0.00	2,214.00	1,721.00	0.00	1,721.00
1173M	Employed, including self-employed participants with qualifications up to and including a lower secondary education (ISCED 2) - male	Less developed	3,125.00	3,125.00	0.00	2,398.00	2,398.00	0.00	2,230.00	2,230.00	0.00	1,929.00	1,929.00	0.00
1176F	Participants with a graduate degree or equivalent – female	Less developed	82.00	0.00	82.00	68.00	0.00	68.00	32.00	0.00	32.00	6.00	0.00	6.00
	Participants with a graduate degree or equivalent – male	Less developed	116.00	116.00	0.00	102.00	102.00	0.00	39.00	39.00	0.00	24.00	24.00	0.00
	Employed, including self-employed participants with lower secondary education (ISCED 2) - female	Less developed	1,818.00	0.00	1,818.00	1,972.00	0.00	1,972.00	1,333.00	0.00	1,333.00	1,144.00	0.00	1,144.00
1178M	Employed, including self-employed participants with lower secondary education (ISCED 2) - male	Less developed	1,652.00	1,652.00	0.00	1,647.00	1,647.00	0.00	1,374.00	1,374.00	0.00	1,060.00	1,060.00	0.00
	Employed, including self employed participants with upper secondary (ISCED 3) education or above - female	Less developed	4,015.00	0.00	4,015.00	3,791.00	0.00	3,791.00	3,466.00	0.00	3,466.00	2,014.00	0.00	2,014.00
1179M	Employed, including self employed participants with upper secondary (ISCED 3) education or above - male	Less developed	2,239.00	2,239.00		2,277.00	2,277.00	0.00	1,657.00	1,657.00	0.00	1,027.00	1,027.00	0.00

Priority axis	3 - Youth Employment and Attainment
Investment	8ii - Sustainable integration into the labour market of young people (ESF), in particular those not in employment, education or training, including young people at risk of
Priority	social exclusion and young people from marginalised communities, including through the implementation of the Youth Guarantee

Table 2A: Common result indicators for ESF (by priority axis, investment priority, and by category of region). Data on all common ESF result indicators (with and without target) shall be reported broken down by gender. For a Technical Assistance priority axis only those common indicators shall be reported for which a target has been set - 3/8ii

ID	Indicator	Category of	f Common output indicator used as basis	Measurement unit for baseline	Targe	t valu	ie (2023)	Cun	nulative v	alue	Achie	vement rati	20	018
		region	for target setting	and target										
					Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total 1	Men Wom	n Men	Women
CR0	inactive participants engaged in job searching upon leaving	Less developed						281.00	123.00	158.00			47.00	29.00
CR0	participants in education/training upon leaving	Less developed								1,891.00			235.00	153.00
CR0	Participants gaining a qualification upon leaving	Less developed						5,671.00	3,153.00	2,518.00			238.00	185.00
	participants in employment, including self-employment, upon leaving	Less developed						5,086.00	2,839.00	2,247.00			455.00	305.00
CR0	disadvantaged participants engaged in job searching, education/ training, gaining a qualification, or in	Less developed						6,450.00	3,486.00	2,964.00			445.00	395.00
	employment, including self-employment, upon leaving													
CR0	participants in employment, including self-employment, six months after leaving	Less developed						9,238.00	5,562.00	3,676.00			5,562.00	3,676.00
CR0	participants with an improved labour market situation six months after leaving	Less developed						0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00
CR0	participants above 54 years of age in employment, including self-employment, six months after leaving	Less developed						0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00
CR0	disadvantaged participants in employment, including self-employment, six months after leaving	Less developed						0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00

ID	Indicator	Category of region	20	17	2	016	20	015	2	2014
			Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
CR01	inactive participants engaged in job searching upon leaving	Less developed	53.00	45.00	16.00	65.00	7.00	19.00	0.00	0.00
CR02	participants in education/training upon leaving	Less developed	899.00	716.00	750.00	628.00	504.00	394.00	0.00	0.00
CR03	participants gaining a qualification upon leaving	Less developed	1,091.00	800.00	842.00	709.00	982.00	824.00	0.00	0.00
CR04	participants in employment, including self-employment, upon leaving	Less developed	1,181.00	917.00	868.00	759.00	335.00	266.00	0.00	0.00
CR05	disadvantaged participants engaged in job searching, education/ training, gaining a qualification, or in employment, including self-employment, upon leaving	Less developed	1,423.00	1,144.00	984.00	932.00	634.00	493.00	0.00	0.00
CR06	participants in employment, including self-employment, six months after leaving	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CR07	participants with an improved labour market situation six months after leaving	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CR08	participants above 54 years of age in employment, including self-employment, six months after leaving	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CR09	disadvantaged participants in employment, including self-employment, six months after leaving	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Priority axis	3 - Youth Employment and Attainment
Investment	8ii - Sustainable integration into the labour market of young people (ESF), in particular those not in employment, education or training, including young people at risk of
Priority	social exclusion and young people from marginalised communities, including through the implementation of the Youth Guarantee

1.4. Table 2C : Programme specific result indicators for ESF and YEI

ID			Measurement indicator	unit for	Output indicator used as basis for target		Targe	t value	(2023)	Achie	evement	ratio				2018			
	r	region	indicator		setting	target					1			Cumulativ	e		Annual to	al	Qualitative
							Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	
112	NEET participants (16-24 years of age) in education / training upon leaving	Less developed	Ratio (Ratio)			Ratio	17.00%			111.94			19.03%	18.96%	18.95%	12.00%	13.00%	12.00%	
118	83 NEET Participants (16 - 24 years of age) gaining qualifications upon leaving	Less developed	Ratio (Ratio)			Ratio	20.00%			125.80			25.16%	25.14%	24.94%	14.00%	13.00%	15.00%	
112	24 NEET participants (16-24 years of age) entering employment upon leaving I	Less developed	Ratio (Ratio)			Ratio	28.00%			81.07			22.70%	22.60%	22.11%	24.00%	25.00%	24.00%	

ID	Indicator	Category of region				2017							2016			
				Cumulative			Annual total		Qualitative		Cumulative			Annual total		Qualitative
			Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women		Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	
1123	NEET participants (16-24 years of age) in education / training upon leaving	Less developed	20.15%	19.99%	19.95%	22.00%	21.00%	23.00%		19.03%	19.33%	18.24%	23.00%	23.00%	22.00%	
1183	NEET Participants (16 - 24 years of age) gaining qualifications upon leaving	Less developed	26.94%	27.23%	26.37%	26.00%	26.00%	25.00%		27.50%	28.02%	27.13%	25.00%	26.00%	25.00%	
1124	NEET participants (16-24 years of age) entering employment upon leaving	Less developed	22.49%	22.19%	21.84%	29.00%	28.00%	29.00%		18.55%	18.44%	17.83%	27.00%	27.00%	26.00%	

ID	Indicator	Category of region				2015							2014			
				Cumulative			Annual total		Qualitative		Cumulative	e		Annual tota	ı	Qualitative
			Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women		Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	
1123	NEET participants (16-24 years of age) in education / training upon leaving	Less developed	15.02%	15.72%	14.32%	21.00%	22.00%	20.00%		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
1183	NEET Participants (16 - 24 years of age) gaining qualifications upon leaving	Less developed	30.04%	30.00%	29.36%	42.00%	42.00%	41.00%		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
1124	NEET participants (16-24 years of age) entering employment upon leaving	Less developed	10.01%	10.00%	9.31%	14.00%	14.00%	13.00%		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	

Priority axis	3 - Youth Employment and Attainment
Investment	8ii - Sustainable integration into the labour market of young people (ESF), in particular those not in employment, education or training, including young people at risk of
Priority	social exclusion and young people from marginalised communities, including through the implementation of the Youth Guarantee

Table 4A : Common output indicators for the ESF and YEI - $3\,/\,8ii$

ID	Indicator	Category of region	Tar	get value	e (2023)	Cu	mulative val	ue	Ach	ievemer	t ratio		2018	
			Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women
CO01	unemployed, including long-term unemployed	Less developed				18,101.00	10,481.00	7,620.00				1,660.00	1,045.00	615.00
CO02	long-term unemployed	Less developed				2,934.00	1,811.00	1,123.00				945.00	580.00	365.00
CO03	inactive	Less developed				4,524.00	2,028.00	2,496.00				1,445.00	786.00	659.00
CO04	inactive, not in education or training	Less developed				0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00	0.00
CO05	employed, including self-employed	Less developed				0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00	0.00
	below 25 years of age	Less developed				22,622.00	12,507.00	10,115.00				3,103.00	1,830.00	1,273.00
	above 54 years of age	Less developed				0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00	0.00
CO08	above 54 years of age who are unemployed, including long term unemployed, or inactive not in education or training	Less developed				0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00	0.00
CO09	with primary (ISCED 1) or lower secondary education (ISCED 2)	Less developed				9,349.00	5,440.00	3,909.00				878.00	536.00	342.00
CO10	with upper secondary (ISCED 3) or post-secondary education (ISCED 4)	Less developed				9,998.00	5,205.00	4,793.00				1,246.00	724.00	522.00
CO11	with tertiary education (ISCED 5 to 8)	Less developed				598.00	303.00	295.00				76.00	38.00	38.00
	migrants, participants with a foreign background, minorities (including marginalised communities such as the Roma)	Less developed				534.00	295.00	239.00				56.00	32.00	24.00
CO16	participants with disabilities	Less developed				2,931.00	1,822.00	1,109.00				292.00	178.00	114.00
CO17	other disadvantaged	Less developed				8,623.00	4,355.00	4,268.00				1,309.00	701.00	608.00
CO18	homeless or affected by housing exclusion	Less developed				571.00	341.00	230.00				188.00	112.00	76.00
CO19	from rural areas	Less developed				1,694.00	907.00	787.00				0.00	0.00	0.00
CO20	number of projects fully or partially implemented by social partners or non-governmental organisations	Less developed				85.00						15.00		
CO21	number of projects dedicated at sustainable participation and progress of women in employment	Less developed				0.00						0.00		
CO22	number of projects targeting public administrations or public services at national, regional or local level	Less developed				0.00						0.00		
CO23	number of supported micro, small and medium-sized enterprises (including cooperative enterprises, enterprises of the social economy)	Less developed				0.00						0.00		
	Grand total of participants					22,625.00						3,105.00		

ID	Indicator	Category of region		2017			2016			2015	
			Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women
CO01	unemployed, including long-term unemployed	Less developed	5,426.00	3,211.00	2,215.00	5,261.00	3,007.00	2,254.00	4,032.00	2,284.00	1,748.00
CO02	long-term unemployed	Less developed	1,138.00	706.00	432.00	616.00	388.00	228.00	235.00	137.00	98.00
CO03	inactive	Less developed	1,934.00	975.00	959.00	854.00	215.00	639.00	291.00	52.00	239.00
CO04	inactive, not in education or training	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO05	employed, including self-employed	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO06	below 25 years of age	Less developed	7,359.00	4,185.00	3,174.00	6,115.00	3,222.00	2,893.00	4,323.00	2,336.00	1,987.00
CO07	above 54 years of age	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO08	above 54 years of age who are unemployed, including long term unemployed, or inactive not in education or training	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO09	with primary (ISCED 1) or lower secondary education (ISCED 2)	Less developed	2,778.00	1,692.00	1,086.00	2,295.00	1,298.00	997.00	2,330.00	1,327.00	1,003.00
CO10	with upper secondary (ISCED 3) or post-secondary education (ISCED 4)	Less developed	3,121.00	1,641.00	1,480.00	3,125.00	1,558.00	1,567.00	1,853.00	936.00	917.00
CO11	with tertiary education (ISCED 5 to 8)	Less developed	164.00	87.00	77.00	289.00	136.00	153.00	68.00	41.00	27.00
CO15	migrants, participants with a foreign background, minorities (including marginalised communities such as the Roma)	Less developed	163.00	84.00	79.00	213.00	118.00	95.00	81.00	47.00	34.00
CO16	participants with disabilities	Less developed	886.00	540.00	346.00	750.00	467.00	283.00	723.00	460.00	263.00
CO17	other disadvantaged	Less developed	2,923.00	1,494.00	1,429.00	2,092.00	946.00	1,146.00	1,738.00	887.00	851.00
CO18	homeless or affected by housing exclusion	Less developed	253.00	158.00	95.00	95.00	54.00	41.00	29.00	12.00	17.00
CO19	from rural areas	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	783.00	405.00	378.00	689.00	392.00	297.00
CO20	number of projects fully or partially implemented by social partners or non-governmental organisations	Less developed	35.00			35.00			0.00		
CO21	number of projects dedicated at sustainable participation and progress of women in employment	Less developed	0.00			0.00			0.00		
CO22	number of projects targeting public administrations or public services at national, regional or local level	Less developed	0.00			0.00			0.00		
CO23	number of supported micro, small and medium-sized enterprises (including cooperative enterprises, enterprises of the social economy)	Less developed	0.00	•		0.00	·	,	0.00		
	Grand total of participants		7,360.00	•		6,115.00	·	·	4,323.00	, and the second	

ID	Indicator	Category of region		2014	
			Total	Men	Women
CO01	unemployed, including long-term unemployed	Less developed	1,722.00	934.00	788.00
CO02	long-term unemployed	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO03	inactive	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO04	inactive, not in education or training	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO05	employed, including self-employed	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO06	below 25 years of age	Less developed	1,722.00	934.00	788.00
CO07	above 54 years of age	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00

CO08	above 54 years of age who are unemployed, including long term unemployed, or inactive not in education or training	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO09	with primary (ISCED 1) or lower secondary education (ISCED 2)	Less developed	1,068.00	587.00	481.00
CO10	with upper secondary (ISCED 3) or post-secondary education (ISCED 4)	Less developed	653.00	346.00	307.00
CO11	with tertiary education (ISCED 5 to 8)	Less developed	1.00	1.00	0.00
CO15	migrants, participants with a foreign background, minorities (including marginalised communities such as the Roma)	Less developed	21.00	14.00	7.00
CO16	participants with disabilities	Less developed	280.00	177.00	103.00
CO17	other disadvantaged	Less developed	561.00	327.00	234.00
CO18	homeless or affected by housing exclusion	Less developed	6.00	5.00	1.00
CO19	from rural areas	Less developed	222.00	110.00	112.00
CO20	number of projects fully or partially implemented by social partners or non-governmental organisations	Less developed	0.00		
CO21	number of projects dedicated at sustainable participation and progress of women in employment	Less developed	0.00		
CO22	number of projects targeting public administrations or public services at national, regional or local level	Less developed	0.00		
CO23	number of supported micro, small and medium-sized enterprises (including cooperative enterprises of the social economy)	Less developed	0.00		
	Grand total of participants		1,722.00		- 1

Priority axis	3 - Youth Employment and Attainment
Investment	8ii - Sustainable integration into the labour market of young people (ESF), in particular those not in employment, education or training, including young people at risk of
Priority	social exclusion and young people from marginalised communities, including through the implementation of the Youth Guarantee

Table 4B: Programme specific output indicators for the ESF and YEI - ${\bf 3}$

ID	Indicator	Category of region	Measurement unit	Target value (2023)			Cumulative value		A	Achievement	t ratio	1			
				Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women
1027	NEET Participants (16 - 24 years of age)	Less developed	Number	42,700.00			22,625.00	12,509.00	10,116.00	0.53	, J		3,105.00	1,831.00	1,274.00

ID	Indicator	Category of region	2017			2016			2015		2014			
			Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women
1027	NEET Participants (16 - 24 years of age)	Less developed	7,360.00	4,186.00	3,174.00	6,115.00	3,222.00	2,893.00	4,323.00	2,336.00	1,987.00	1,722.00	934.00	788.00

Priority axis	3 - Youth Employment and Attainment
Investment	10i - Reducing and preventing early school leaving and promoting equal access to good quality early childhood, primary and secondary education including formal, non
Priority	formal and informal learning pathways for reintegrating into education and training

Table 2A: Common result indicators for ESF (by priority axis, investment priority, and by category of region). Data on all common ESF result indicators (with and without target) shall be reported broken down by gender. For a Technical Assistance priority axis only those common indicators shall be reported for which a target has been set - 3/10i

ID	Indicator	Category	of Common output indicator used as basis for	Measurement unit for baseline	Targe	t value (2023)	umulat	ve value	Achie	evement ratio	2	2018
		region	target setting	and target									
					Total	Men W	omen To	al Me	n Women	Total	Men Women	Men	Women
CR0	inactive participants engaged in job searching upon leaving	Less developed	d				0	00 0.	0.0)		0.00	0.00
CR02	participants in education/training upon leaving	Less developed	d				476	00 246.	00 230.0)		42.00	59.00
CR0	participants gaining a qualification upon leaving	Less developed	d				668	00 352.	00 316.0)		149.00	130.00
CR04	participants in employment, including self-employment, upon leaving	Less developed	d				0	00 0.	0.0)		0.00	0.00
CR0	disadvantaged participants engaged in job searching, education/ training, gaining a qualification, or in employment,	Less developed	d				923	00 508.	00 415.0)		174.00	138.00
	including self-employment, upon leaving												
CR0	participants in employment, including self-employment, six months after leaving	Less developed	d				0	00 0.	0.0)		0.00	0.00
CR0	participants with an improved labour market situation six months after leaving	Less developed	d				0	00 0.	0.0)		0.00	0.00
CR08	participants above 54 years of age in employment, including self-employment, six months after leaving	Less developed	d				0	00 0.	0.0)		0.00	0.00
CR09	disadvantaged participants in employment, including self-employment, six months after leaving	Less developed	d				0	00 0.	0.0)		0.00	0.00

ID	Indicator	Category of region	20	17	2	016	2	2015	2	2014
			Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
CR01	inactive participants engaged in job searching upon leaving	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CR02	participants in education/training upon leaving	Less developed	137.00	119.00	67.00	51.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00
CR03	participants gaining a qualification upon leaving	Less developed	153.00	144.00	48.00	39.00	2.00	3.00	0.00	0.00
CR04	participants in employment, including self-employment, upon leaving	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CR05	disadvantaged participants engaged in job searching, education/ training, gaining a qualification, or in employment, including self-employment, upon leaving	Less developed	242.00	212.00	90.00	62.00	2.00	3.00	0.00	0.00
CR06	participants in employment, including self-employment, six months after leaving	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CR07	participants with an improved labour market situation six months after leaving	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CR08	participants above 54 years of age in employment, including self-employment, six months after leaving	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CR09	disadvantaged participants in employment, including self-employment, six months after leaving	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Priority axis	3 - Youth Employment and Attainment
Investment	10i - Reducing and preventing early school leaving and promoting equal access to good quality early childhood, primary and secondary education including formal, non
Priority	formal and informal learning pathways for reintegrating into education and training

1.5. Table 2C : Programme specific result indicators for ESF and YEI

ID	Indicator	Category of region	Measurement unit for indicator	Output indicator used as basis for target setting	Measurement unit for baseline and target	ne Target value (2023)			Ac	hievemer	nt ratio				2018			
													Cumulati	ve	1	Annual to	tal	Qualitative
						Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	
1125	Participants at risk of becoming NEET (11-24) gaining qualifications upon leaving	Less developed	Ratio (Ratio)		Ratio	7.00%			72.43			5.07%	6.13%	4.18%	8.00%	11.00%	6.00%	
1129M	Young People 11-19 receiving completing training in maths, Science Engineering and Technology male	Less developed	Ratio (Ratio)		Ratio	55.00%			56.36			31.00%	31.00%	%	27.00%	27.00%	0.00%	
1129F	Young People 11-19 completing training in maths, Science Engineering and Technology - female	Less developed	Ratio (Ratio)		Ratio	55.00%			30.07			16.54%	%	16.54%	11.00%	0.00%	11.00%	
1130M	Young People 11-19 who continue to study a Maths, Science, Engineering and Technology subject post 16 - male	Less developed	Ratio (Ratio)		Ratio	45.00%			14.20			6.39%	6.39%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
1127	Participants at risk of becoming NEET (11-24) into education or training upon leaving	Less developed	Ratio (Ratio)		Ratio	19.00%			24.84			4.72%	4.49%	4.98%	4.00%	3.00%	5.00%	
1130F	Young People 11-19 who continue to study a Maths, Science, Engineering and Technology subject post 16 - female	Less developed	Ratio (Ratio)		Ratio	45.00%			3.18			1.43%	%	1.43%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
1128	Participants at risk of becoming NEET (11-24) at reduced risk of becoming NEET upon leaving	Less developed	Ratio (Ratio)		Ratio	0.00%						21.90%	20.44%	23.82%	31.00%	30.00%	32.00%	
1184M	Employed including self employed participants from the early years and childcare sector gaining qualifications upon leaving - male	Less developed	Ratio (Ratio)		Ratio	77.00%			10.29			7.92%	7.92%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
1184F	Employed including self employed participants from the early years and childcare sector gaining qualifications upon leaving - female	Less developed	Ratio (Ratio)		Ratio	77.00%			15.31			11.79%	%	11.79%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	

ID	Indicator	Category of region				2017							2016			
				Cumulative			Annual tota	al Qualitative		Cumulative			Annual total		al	Qualitative
			Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women		Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	
1125	Participants at risk of becoming NEET (11-24) gaining qualifications upon leaving	Less developed	4.07%	4.58%	3.52%	5.00%	6.00%	4.00%		2.92%	2.85%	2.92%	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%	
1129M	Young People 11-19 receiving completing training in maths, Science Engineering and Technology - male	Less developed	32.77%	32.77%	%	44.00%	44.00%	0.00%		27.35%	27.35%	%	33.00%	33.00%	0.00%	
1129F	Young People 11-19 completing training in maths, Science Engineering and Technology - female	Less developed	19.17%	%	19.17%	28.00%	0.00%	28.00%		14.42%	%	14.42%	16.00%	0.00%	16.00%	
1130M	Young People 11-19 who continue to study a Maths, Science, Engineering and Technology subject post 16 - male	Less developed	9.23%	9.23%	%	3.00%	3.00%	0.00%		12.23%	12.23%	%	14.00%	14.00%	0.00%	
1127	Participants at risk of becoming NEET (11-24) into education or training upon leaving	Less developed	4.97%	4.96%	4.97%	6.00%	6.00%	6.00%		3.68%	3.69%	3.66%	4.00%	4.00%	4.00%	
1130F	Young People 11-19 who continue to study a Maths, Science, Engineering and Technology subject post 16 - female	Less developed	2.11%	%	2.11%	1.00%	0.00%	1.00%		2.70%	%	2.70%	3.00%	0.00%	3.00%	
1128	Participants at risk of becoming NEET (11-24) at reduced risk of becoming NEET upon leaving	Less developed	18.82%	17.39%	20.85%	25.00%	24.00%	27.00%		11.12%	9.31%	12.99%	12.00%	10.00%	14.00%	
1184M	Employed including self employed participants from the early years and childcare sector gaining qualifications upon leaving - male	Less developed	7.92%	7.92%	%	11.00%	11.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
1184F	Employed including self employed participants from the early years and childcare sector gaining qualifications upon leaving - female	Less developed	11.79%	%	11.79%	16.00%	0.00%	16.00%		1.00%	%	1.00%	1.00%	0.00%	1.00%	

ID	Indicator	Category of region				2015							20			
				Cumulativ	ve		Annual tot	al	Qualitative	_	Cumulat	ive		Annual tot	al	Qualitative
			Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women		Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	
1125	Participants at risk of becoming NEET (11-24) gaining qualifications upon leaving	Less developed	2.00%	1.00%	2.00%	2.00%	1.00%	2.00%		%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
1129M	Young People 11-19 receiving completing training in maths, Science Engineering and Technology - male	Less developed	1.00%	1.00%	%	1.00%	1.00%	0.00%		%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
1129F	Young People 11-19 completing training in maths, Science Engineering and Technology - female	Less developed	0.00%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
1130M	Young People 11-19 who continue to study a Maths, Science, Engineering and Technology subject post 16 - male	Less developed	4.00%	4.00%	%	4.00%	4.00%	0.00%		%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
1127	Participants at risk of becoming NEET (11-24) into education or training upon leaving	Less developed	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
1130F	Young People 11-19 who continue to study a Maths, Science, Engineering and Technology subject post 16 - female	Less developed	0.00%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
1128	Participants at risk of becoming NEET (11-24) at reduced risk of becoming NEET upon leaving	Less developed	1.00%	1.00%	2.00%	1.00%	1.00%	2.00%		%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
1184M	Employed including self employed participants from the early years and childcare sector gaining qualifications upon leaving - male	Less developed	%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
1184F	Employed including self employed participants from the early years and childcare sector gaining qualifications upon leaving - female	Less developed	%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	

Priority axis	3 - Youth Employment and Attainment
Investment	10i - Reducing and preventing early school leaving and promoting equal access to good quality early childhood, primary and secondary education including formal, non
Priority	formal and informal learning pathways for reintegrating into education and training

Table 4A : Common output indicators for the ESF and YEI - $3\,/\,10i$

ID	Indicator	Category of region	Tar	get valu	e (2023)	Cun	nulative val	lue	Ach	ievemen	t ratio		2018	
			Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women
CO01	unemployed, including long-term unemployed	Less developed				0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00	0.00
CO02	long-term unemployed	Less developed				0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00	0.00
CO03	inactive	Less developed				18,709.00	9,431.00	9,278.00				5,253.00	2,520.00	2,733.00
CO04	inactive, not in education or training	Less developed				18,709.00	9,431.00	9,278.00				5,253.00	2,520.00	2,733.00
CO05	employed, including self-employed	Less developed				602.00	25.00	577.00				0.00	0.00	0.00
CO06	below 25 years of age	Less developed				18,709.00	9,431.00	9,278.00				5,253.00	2,520.00	2,733.00
CO07	above 54 years of age	Less developed				26.00	1.00	25.00				0.00	0.00	0.00
CO08	above 54 years of age who are unemployed, including long term unemployed, or inactive not in education or training	Less developed				0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00	0.00
CO09	with primary (ISCED 1) or lower secondary education (ISCED 2)	Less developed				1,595.00	822.00	773.00				264.00	136.00	128.00
CO10	with upper secondary (ISCED 3) or post-secondary education (ISCED 4)	Less developed				4,023.00	1,854.00	2,169.00				1,038.00	513.00	525.00
CO11	with tertiary education (ISCED 5 to 8)	Less developed				299.00	66.00	233.00				95.00	36.00	59.00
CO15	migrants, participants with a foreign background, minorities (including marginalised communities such as the Roma)	Less developed				1,022.00	508.00	514.00				340.00	157.00	183.00
CO16	participants with disabilities	Less developed				1,537.00	804.00	733.00				441.00	236.00	205.00
CO17	other disadvantaged	Less developed				14,406.00	7,039.00	7,367.00				3,986.00	1,899.00	2,087.00
CO18	homeless or affected by housing exclusion	Less developed				214.00	75.00	139.00				55.00	20.00	35.00
CO19	from rural areas	Less developed				1,233.00	684.00	549.00				0.00	0.00	0.00
CO20	number of projects fully or partially implemented by social partners or non-governmental organisations	Less developed				0.00						0.00		
CO21	number of projects dedicated at sustainable participation and progress of women in employment	Less developed				0.00						0.00		
CO22	number of projects targeting public administrations or public services at national, regional or local level	Less developed				0.00						0.00		,]
CO23	number of supported micro, small and medium-sized enterprises (including cooperative enterprises, enterprises of the social economy)	Less developed				0.00						0.00		
	Grand total of participants					19,311.00						5,253.00		-

ID	Indicator	Category of region		2017			2016			2015	
			Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women
CO01	unemployed, including long-term unemployed	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO02	long-term unemployed	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO03	inactive	Less developed	6,233.00	3,228.00	3,005.00	6,440.00	3,228.00	3,212.00	783.00	455.00	328.00
CO04	inactive, not in education or training	Less developed	6,233.00	3,228.00	3,005.00	6,440.00	3,228.00	3,212.00	783.00	455.00	328.00
CO05	employed, including self-employed	Less developed	433.00	18.00	415.00	169.00	7.00	162.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO06	below 25 years of age	Less developed	6,233.00	3,228.00	3,005.00	6,440.00	3,228.00	3,212.00	783.00	455.00	328.00
CO07	above 54 years of age	Less developed	19.00	0.00	19.00	7.00	1.00	6.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO08	above 54 years of age who are unemployed, including long term unemployed, or inactive not in education or training	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO09	with primary (ISCED 1) or lower secondary education (ISCED 2)	Less developed	676.00	355.00	321.00	575.00	292.00	283.00	80.00	39.00	41.00
CO10	with upper secondary (ISCED 3) or post-secondary education (ISCED 4)	Less developed	1,721.00	717.00	1,004.00	969.00	424.00	545.00	295.00	200.00	95.00
CO11	with tertiary education (ISCED 5 to 8)	Less developed	149.00	18.00	131.00	55.00	12.00	43.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO15	migrants, participants with a foreign background, minorities (including marginalised communities such as the Roma)	Less developed	348.00	179.00	169.00	273.00	135.00	138.00	61.00	37.00	24.00
CO16	participants with disabilities	Less developed	570.00	288.00	282.00	492.00	259.00	233.00	34.00	21.00	13.00
CO17	other disadvantaged	Less developed	4,618.00	2,272.00	2,346.00	5,360.00	2,635.00	2,725.00	442.00	233.00	209.00
CO18	homeless or affected by housing exclusion	Less developed	96.00	35.00	61.00	60.00	20.00	40.00	3.00	0.00	3.00
CO19	from rural areas	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,140.00	621.00	519.00	93.00	63.00	30.00
CO20	number of projects fully or partially implemented by social partners or non-governmental organisations	Less developed	0.00			0.00			0.00		
CO21	number of projects dedicated at sustainable participation and progress of women in employment	Less developed	0.00			0.00			0.00		
CO22	number of projects targeting public administrations or public services at national, regional or local level	Less developed	0.00			0.00			0.00		
CO23	number of supported micro, small and medium-sized enterprises (including cooperative enterprises, enterprises of the social economy)	Less developed	0.00			0.00			0.00		
	Grand total of participants		6,666.00			6,609.00			783.00		

ID	Indicator	Category of region		2014	
			Total	Men	Women
CO01	unemployed, including long-term unemployed	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO02	long-term unemployed	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO03	inactive	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO04	inactive, not in education or training	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO05	employed, including self-employed	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO06	below 25 years of age	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO07	above 54 years of age	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00

CO08	above 54 years of age who are unemployed, including long term unemployed, or inactive not in education or training	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO09	with primary (ISCED 1) or lower secondary education (ISCED 2)	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO10	with upper secondary (ISCED 3) or post-secondary education (ISCED 4)	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO11	with tertiary education (ISCED 5 to 8)	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO15	migrants, participants with a foreign background, minorities (including marginalised communities such as the Roma)	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO16	participants with disabilities	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO17	other disadvantaged	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO18	homeless or affected by housing exclusion	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO19	from rural areas	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO20	number of projects fully or partially implemented by social partners or non-governmental organisations	Less developed	0.00		
CO21	number of projects dedicated at sustainable participation and progress of women in employment	Less developed	0.00		
CO22	number of projects targeting public administrations or public services at national, regional or local level	Less developed	0.00		
CO23	number of supported micro, small and medium-sized enterprises (including cooperative enterprises, enterprises of the social economy)	Less developed	0.00		
	Grand total of participants		0.00		

Priority axis	3 - Youth Employment and Attainment
Investment	10i - Reducing and preventing early school leaving and promoting equal access to good quality early childhood, primary and secondary education including formal, non
Priority	formal and informal learning pathways for reintegrating into education and training

Table 4B: Programme specific output indicators for the ESF and YEI - ${\bf 3}$

ID	Indicator	Category of region	Measurement unit	Targe	Target value (2023)		Cumulative value			Ac	hievemen	t ratio			
				Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women
1028	Participants at Risk of becoming NEET (11-24 years of age)	Less developed	Number	49,700.00			10,357.00	5,735.00	4,622.00	0.21			2,618.00	1,385.00	1,233.00
1030M	Young people 11-19 years of age - Male	Less developed	Number	8,400.00			3,696.00	3,696.00	0.00	0.44			1,135.00	1,135.00	0.00
1031	Young People 11-19 years of age – Female	Less developed	Number	15,000.00			4,656.00	0.00	4,656.00	0.31			1,500.00	0.00	1,500.00
1032F	Employed, including self employed participants from the early years and childcare sector - Female	Less developed	Number	2,700.00			577.00	0.00	577.00	0.21			0.00	0.00	0.00
1032M	Employed, including self employed participants from the early years and childcare sector - Male	Less developed	Number	300.00			25.00	25.00	0.00	0.08			0.00	0.00	0.00

ID	Indicator	Category of region	2017				2016		2015					
			Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women
1028	Participants at Risk of becoming NEET (11-24 years of age)	Less developed	4,295.00	2,394.00	1,901.00	3,169.00	1,806.00	1,363.00	275.00	150.00	125.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1030M	Young people 11-19 years of age - Male	Less developed	834.00	834.00	0.00	1,422.00	1,422.00	0.00	305.00	305.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1031	Young People 11-19 years of age – Female	Less developed	1,104.00	0.00	1,104.00	1,849.00	0.00	1,849.00	203.00	0.00	203.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1032F	Employed, including self employed participants from the early years and childcare sector - Female	Less developed	415.00	0.00	415.00	162.00	0.00	162.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1032M	Employed, including self employed participants from the early years and childcare sector - Male	Less developed	18.00	18.00	0.00	7.00	7.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Priority axis	5 - Public services reform and regional working
Investment	11i - Investment in institutional capacity and in the efficiency of public administrations and public services at the national, regional and local levels with a view to
Priority	reforms, better regulation and good governance

Table 2A: Common result indicators for ESF (by priority axis, investment priority, and by category of region). Data on all common ESF result indicators (with and without target) shall be reported broken down by gender. For a Technical Assistance priority axis only those common indicators shall be reported for which a target has been set - 5/11i

ID	Indicator	Category o	Common output indicator used as basis for	Measurement unit for baseline	Targe	t valu	ie (2023)	Cum	ulative	e value	Achievement ratio	2	2018
		region	target setting	and target									
					Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total Men Women	n Men	Women
CR01	inactive participants engaged in job searching upon leaving	Less developed						0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00
CR02	participants in education/training upon leaving	Less developed						0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00
	participants gaining a qualification upon leaving	Less developed						0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00
	participants in employment, including self-employment, upon leaving	Less developed						0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00
CR05	disadvantaged participants engaged in job searching, education/ training, gaining a qualification, or in employment,	Less developed						0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00
	including self-employment , upon leaving												
	participants in employment, including self-employment, six months after leaving	Less developed						0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00
	participants with an improved labour market situation six months after leaving	Less developed						0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00
CR08	participants above 54 years of age in employment, including self-employment, six months after leaving	Less developed						0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00
CR09	disadvantaged participants in employment, including self-employment, six months after leaving	Less developed						0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00

ID	Indicator	Category of region	2017			2016		2015	2	2014
			Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
CR01	inactive participants engaged in job searching upon leaving	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CR02	participants in education/training upon leaving	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CR03	participants gaining a qualification upon leaving	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CR04	participants in employment, including self-employment, upon leaving	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CR05	disadvantaged participants engaged in job searching, education/ training, gaining a qualification, or in employment, including self-employment, upon leaving	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CR06	participants in employment, including self-employment, six months after leaving	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CR07	participants with an improved labour market situation six months after leaving	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CR08	participants above 54 years of age in employment, including self-employment, six months after leaving	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CR09	disadvantaged participants in employment, including self-employment, six months after leaving	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Priority axis	5 - Public services reform and regional working
Investment	11i - Investment in institutional capacity and in the efficiency of public administrations and public services at the national, regional and local levels with a view to
Priority	reforms, better regulation and good governance

1.6. Table 2C : Programme specific result indicators for ESF and YEI

ID	Indicator	Category of region	Measurement unit for indicator	Output indicator used as basis for target setting	Measurement unit for baseline and target	Tar	get valu	e (2023)	Ach	ieveme	nt ratio				20	18		
													Cumulat	tive		Annual to	otal	Qualitative
						Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	
118	Number of new methods, procedures, and tools developed and disseminated	Less developed	Number (Number)		Number	8.00			0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1

Ι	D	Indicator	Category of region		2017												
				Cumulative			Annual total			Qualitative	Cumulative			Annual total			Qualitative
				Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women		Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	
1	1185	Number of new methods, procedures, and tools developed and disseminated	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

ID	Indicator	Category of region				2015							2014			
				Cumulative		Annual total			Qualitative	Cumul		ve		Annual tot	al	Qualitative
			Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women		Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	
1185	Number of new methods, procedures, and tools developed and disseminated	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

Priority axis	5 - Public services reform and regional working
Investment	11i - Investment in institutional capacity and in the efficiency of public administrations and public services at the national, regional and local levels with a view to
Priority	reforms, better regulation and good governance

Table 4A : Common output indicators for the ESF and YEI - 5 / 11i

ID	Indicator	Category of region	Tar	get value	e (2023)	Cu	mulative	value	Ach	ievemen	t ratio		2018	
			Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women
CO01	unemployed, including long-term unemployed	Less developed				0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00	0.00
CO02	long-term unemployed	Less developed				0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00	0.00
CO03	inactive	Less developed				0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00	0.00
CO04	inactive, not in education or training	Less developed				0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00	0.00
CO05	employed, including self-employed	Less developed				0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00	0.00
CO06	below 25 years of age	Less developed				0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00	0.00
CO07	above 54 years of age	Less developed				0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00	0.00
CO08	above 54 years of age who are unemployed, including long term unemployed, or inactive not in education or training	Less developed				0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00	0.00
CO09	with primary (ISCED 1) or lower secondary education (ISCED 2)	Less developed				0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00	0.00
CO10	with upper secondary (ISCED 3) or post-secondary education (ISCED 4)	Less developed				0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00	0.00
CO11	with tertiary education (ISCED 5 to 8)	Less developed				0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00	0.00
CO15	migrants, participants with a foreign background, minorities (including marginalised communities such as the Roma)	Less developed				0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00	0.00
CO16	participants with disabilities	Less developed				0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00	0.00
CO17	other disadvantaged	Less developed				0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00	0.00
CO18	homeless or affected by housing exclusion	Less developed				0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00	0.00
CO19	from rural areas	Less developed				0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00	0.00
CO20	number of projects fully or partially implemented by social partners or non-governmental organisations	Less developed				0.00						0.00		
CO21	number of projects dedicated at sustainable participation and progress of women in employment	Less developed				0.00						0.00		
CO22	number of projects targeting public administrations or public services at national, regional or local level	Less developed	4.00			0.00			0.00			0.00		
CO23	number of supported micro, small and medium-sized enterprises (including cooperative enterprises, enterprises of the social economy)	Less developed				0.00						0.00		
	Grand total of participants					0.00						0.00		

ID	Indicator	Category of region		2017			2016			2015	
			Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women
CO01	unemployed, including long-term unemployed	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO02	long-term unemployed	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO03	inactive	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO04	inactive, not in education or training	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO05	employed, including self-employed	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO06	below 25 years of age	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO07	above 54 years of age	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO08		Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO09	with primary (ISCED 1) or lower secondary education (ISCED 2)	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO10	with upper secondary (ISCED 3) or post-secondary education (ISCED 4)	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO11		Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO15	migrants, participants with a foreign background, minorities (including marginalised communities such as the Roma)	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO16	participants with disabilities	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO17	other disadvantaged	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO18	homeless or affected by housing exclusion	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO19	from rural areas	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO20	number of projects fully or partially implemented by social partners or non-governmental organisations	Less developed	0.00			0.00			0.00		
CO21		Less developed	0.00		·	0.00			0.00		
CO22	number of projects targeting public administrations or public services at national, regional or local level	Less developed	0.00			0.00			0.00		•
CO23	number of supported micro, small and medium-sized enterprises (including cooperative enterprises, enterprises of the social economy)	Less developed	0.00		·	0.00			0.00		
	Grand total of participants	•	0.00		·	0.00			0.00		

ID	Indicator	Category of region		2014	
			Total	Men	Women
CO01	unemployed, including long-term unemployed	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO02	long-term unemployed	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO03	inactive	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO04	inactive, not in education or training	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO05	employed, including self-employed	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO06	below 25 years of age	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO07	above 54 years of age	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00

CO08	above 54 years of age who are unemployed, including long term unemployed, or inactive not in education or training	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO09	with primary (ISCED 1) or lower secondary education (ISCED 2)	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO10	with upper secondary (ISCED 3) or post-secondary education (ISCED 4)	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO11	with tertiary education (ISCED 5 to 8)	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO15	migrants, participants with a foreign background, minorities (including marginalised communities such as the Roma)	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO16	participants with disabilities	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO17	other disadvantaged	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO18	homeless or affected by housing exclusion	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO19	from rural areas	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
CO20	number of projects fully or partially implemented by social partners or non-governmental organisations	Less developed	0.00		
CO21	number of projects dedicated at sustainable participation and progress of women in employment	Less developed	0.00		
CO22	number of projects targeting public administrations or public services at national, regional or local level	Less developed	0.00		
CO23	number of supported micro, small and medium-sized enterprises (including cooperative enterprises, enterprises of the social economy)	Less developed	0.00		
	Grand total of participants		0.00		

Priority axis	5 - Public services reform and regional working
Investment	11i - Investment in institutional capacity and in the efficiency of public administrations and public services at the national, regional and local levels with a view to
Priority	reforms, better regulation and good governance

Table 4B: Programme specific output indicators for the ESF and YEI - ${\bf 5}$

ID	Indicator	Category of region	Measurement unit	Tar	Target value (2023)		Target value (2023)			Target value (2023)			23) Cumulative value				t ratio		2018	_
				Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women					
1180	Number of methods, processes and tools being developed with support	Less developed	Number	10.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00					
1181	Number of entities* participating in projects target public administrations or public services at national, regional or local level	Less developed	Number	15.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00					

ID	Indicator	Category of region		2017			2016			2015			2014	
			Total	Men	Women									
1180	Number of methods, processes and tools being developed with support	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1181	Number of entities* participating in projects target public administrations or public services at national, regional or local level	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Priority axes for technical assistance

Priorit	y axis	4 - Technical Assistance
---------	--------	--------------------------

Table 4A : Common output indicators for the ESF and YEI - $\mbox{\bf 4}$

ID	Indicator	Category of region	Target value	(2023)		Cumulative value		Ach	ievement ratio		2018			
			Total Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men Womer	Total	Men	Women		
ID	Indicator	Category of region			2017			2016		2015 Total Men Wome				
				Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women		
ID	Indicate	or	Category of region							2014				
								Total		Men		Women		

Priority axis 4 - Technical Assistance

Table 4B: Programme specific output indicators for the ESF and YEI - 4 $\,$

ID	Indicator	Category of region	Measurement unit	Tar	get value (2023)	Cu	mulative v	alue	Ac	chievemen	t ratio		2018	
				Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women
TAO1	Number of training events held		Number	10.00			29.00	0.00	0.00	2.90			27.00	0.00	0.00
TAO10	Number of e-newsletters issued		Number	72.00			246.00	0.00	0.00	3.42			246.00	0.00	0.00
TAO11	Percentage of enquiries resolved within 5 working days		Ratio	75.00			75.00	0.00	0.00	1.00			75.00	0.00	0.00
TAO12	Number of audit vists		Ratio	50.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00
TAO2	Framework Contract for Specialist Expertise in place for project delivery		Number	1.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00
TAO3	Number of projects undergoing a formal assessment for their cross cutting themes integration		Ratio	90.00			57.00	0.00	0.00	0.63			57.00	0.00	0.00
TAO4	Number of research reports published		Number	20.00			5.00	0.00	0.00	0.25			5.00	0.00	0.00
TAO5	Number of projects given Monitoring and Evaluation advice		Ratio	100.00			57.00	0.00	0.00	0.57			57.00	0.00	0.00
TAO6	Number of dissemination events		Number	6.00			5.00	0.00	0.00	0.83			4.00	0.00	0.00
TAO7	Number of events organised by WEFO		Number	10.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00
TAO8	Number of press releases issued		Number	150.00			134.00	0.00	0.00	0.89			126.00	0.00	0.00
TAO9	Number of high profile project launches		Ratio	50.00			90.00	0.00	0.00	1.80			90.00	0.00	0.00

ID	Indicator	Category of region		2017			2016			2015			2014	
			Total	Men	Women									
TAO1	Number of training events held		2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
TAO10	Number of e-newsletters issued		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
TAO11	Percentage of enquiries resolved within 5 working days		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
TAO12	Number of audit vists		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
TAO2	Framework Contract for Specialist Expertise in place for project delivery		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
TAO3	Number of projects undergoing a formal assessment for their cross cutting themes integration		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
TAO4	Number of research reports published		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
TAO5	Number of projects given Monitoring and Evaluation advice		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
TAO6	Number of dissemination events		1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
TAO7	Number of events organised by WEFO		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
TAO8	Number of press releases issued		7.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
TAO9	Number of high profile project launches		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Priority axis	4 - Technical Assistance
Specific objective	4.1 - To ensure the efficient and effective management of the 2014-2020 programmes.

Table 2C : Programme specific result indicators for ESF and YEI - 4 $\scriptstyle /$ 4.1

ID	Indicator	Category of region	Measurement unit for indicator	Output indicator used as basis for target setting	Measurement unit for baseline and target	Target	value (2	2023)	Acl	hievemer	nt ratio				201	8		
												-	Cumulat	ive	A	Annual to	tal	Qualitative
						Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	
TAR1	Management Authority Irregularity Rate		(Ratio)			Below 2%												1.09
TAR2	WEFO Error Rate		(Ratio)			Below 2%												0.1

ID	Indicator	Category of region				2017							2016	í		
				Cumulativ	ve		Annual tot	al	Qualitative		Cumulativ	re .		Annual tot	al	Qualitative
			Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women		Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	
TAR1	Management Authority Irregularity Rate								0.16%							0.59%
TAR2	WEFO Error Rate								0.05%							

ID	Indicator	Category of region				2015	5						2014	1		
				Cumulati			Annual to	al	Qualitative		Cumulativ	'e		Annual tot	al	Qualitative
			Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women		Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	
TAR1	Management Authority Irregularity Rate															
TAR2	WEFO Error Rate															

Priority axis	4 - Technical Assistance
Specific objective	4.2 - To provide high quality advice to key partners involved in the design and delivery of operations.

Table 2C : Programme specific result indicators for ESF and YEI - 4 / 4.2 $\,$

ID	Indicator	Category of region	Measurement unit for indicator	Output indicator used as basis for target setting	Measurement unit for baseline and target	Targ	et value ((2023)	Acl	nievemen	nt ratio				2018			
													Cumulati	ve		Annual to	al	Qualitative
						Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	
TAR3	Customer Satisfaction		Ratio (Ratio)		Ratio	50.00%						0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	

ID	Indicator	Category of region				2017							2016			
				Cumulative			Annual total		Qualitative		Cumulative			Annual total		Qualitative
			Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women		Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	
TAR3	Customer Satisfaction		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	

ID	Indicator	Category of region				2015							2014	4		
				Cumulative			Annual total		Qualitative		Cumulativ	e		Annual total		Qualitative
			Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women		Total	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	
TAR3	Customer Satisfaction		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	

 Table 5: Information on the milestones and targets defined in the performance framework

Priority	Ind	ID	Indicator	Measurement	Fund	Category of	2018 Cum	2018 Cum	2018 Cum	2018 Annual	2018 Annual	2018 Annual
axis	type			unit		region	total	men	women	total	total men	total women
1	F	P1F	Eligible Expenditure	Euros	ESF	Less developed	55,980,388.42					
1	О	1002	Economically inactive (aged 25 and over), not in education or training, who have complex barriers to employment (low or no skills; a work limiting health condition or disability; care or childcare responsibilities; over 54; from a jobless household)	Number	ESF	Less developed	14,119.00	5,571.00	8,548.00	3,172.00	1,417.00	1,755.00
1	О	1003	Long-term unemployed (aged 25 and over) who have complex barriers to employment (low or no skills; a work limiting health condition or disability; are from a BME (Black, Minority, Ethnic) group; from a jobless household)	Number	ESF	Less developed	7,687.00	5,003.00	2,684.00	2,151.00	1,370.00	781.00
2	F	P2F	Eligible Expenditure	Euros	ESF	Less developed	249,423,269.17					
2	O	1172F	Employed, including self-employed participants with no formal qualifications - female	Number	ESF	Less developed	734.00	0.00	734.00	165.00	0.00	165.00
2	O		Employed, including self-employed participants with no formal qualifications - male	Number	ESF	Less developed	956.00	956.00	0.00	237.00	237.00	0.00
2	O	1173F	Employed, including self-employed participants with qualifications up to and including a lower secondary education (ISCED 2) - female	Number	ESF	Less developed	10,684.00	0.00	10,684.00	642.00	0.00	642.00
2	O	1173M	Employed, including self-employed participants with qualifications up to and including a lower secondary education (ISCED 2) - male	Number	ESF	Less developed	10,126.00	10,126.00	0.00	444.00	444.00	0.00
2	O	1178F	Employed, including self-employed participants with lower secondary education (ISCED 2) - female	Number	ESF	Less developed	6,493.00	0.00	6,493.00	226.00	0.00	226.00
2	О	1178M	Employed, including self-employed participants with lower secondary education (ISCED 2) - male	Number	ESF	Less developed	5,920.00	5,920.00	0.00	187.00	187.00	0.00
3	F	P3F	Eligible Expenditure	Euros	ESF	Less developed	116,740,373.84					
3	0	1027	NEET Participants (16 - 24 years of age)	Number	ESF	Less developed	22,625.00	12,509.00	10,116.00	3,105.00	1,831.00	1,274.00
5	0	CO22	number of projects targeting public administrations or public services at national, regional or local level	Number	ESF	Less developed	0.00			0.00		
5	F	P1F	Eligible Expenditure	Euros	ESF	Less developed	0.00					

Priority	Ind	ID	Indicator	Measurement	Fund	Category of	2017 Cum	2016 Cum	2015 Cum	Observations
axis	type			unit		region	total	total	total	
1	F	P1F	Eligible Expenditure	Euros	ESF	Less developed	22,845,263.49	4,351,627.37	0.00	
1	0	1002	Economically inactive (aged 25 and over), not in education or training, who have complex barriers to employment (low or no skills; a work limiting health condition	Number	ESF	Less developed	10,947.00	5,020.00	911.00	
			or disability; care or childcare responsibilities; over 54; from a jobless household)							
1	0	1003	Long-term unemployed (aged 25 and over) who have complex barriers to employment (low or no skills; a work limiting health condition or disability; are from a	Number	ESF	Less developed	5,536.00	2,428.00	299.00	
			BME (Black, Minority, Ethnic) group; from a jobless household)							
2	F	P2F	Eligible Expenditure	Euros	ESF	Less developed	181,904,525.77	9,190,476.10	0.00	
2	O	1172F	Employed, including self-employed participants with no formal qualifications - female	Number	ESF	Less developed	569.00	242.00	30.00	
2	0	1172M	Employed, including self-employed participants with no formal qualifications - male	Number	ESF	Less developed	719.00	303.00	10.00	
2	0	1173F	Employed, including self-employed participants with qualifications up to and including a lower secondary education (ISCED 2) - female	Number	ESF	Less developed	10,042.00	6,460.00	3,935.00	
2	0	1173M	Employed, including self-employed participants with qualifications up to and including a lower secondary education (ISCED 2) - male	Number	ESF	Less developed	9,682.00	6,557.00	4,159.00	
2	0	1178F	Employed, including self-employed participants with lower secondary education (ISCED 2) - female	Number	ESF	Less developed	6,267.00	4,449.00	2,477.00	
2	0	1178M	Employed, including self-employed participants with lower secondary education (ISCED 2) - male	Number	ESF	Less developed	5,733.00	4,081.00	2,434.00	
3	F	P3F	Eligible Expenditure	Euros	ESF	Less developed	39,547,957.50	4,802,480.48	0.00	
3	0	1027	NEET Participants (16 - 24 years of age)	Number	ESF	Less developed	19,520.00	12,160.00	6,045.00	
5	0	CO22	number of projects targeting public administrations or public services at national, regional or local level	Number	ESF	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	
5	F	P1F	Eligible Expenditure	Euros	ESF	Less developed	0.00	0.00	0.00	

Priority	Ind	ID	Indicator	Measurement	Fund	Category of	2014 Cum
axis	type			unit		region	total
1	F	P1F	Eligible Expenditure	Euros	ESF	Less developed	0.00
1	0	1002	Economically inactive (aged 25 and over), not in education or training, who have complex barriers to employment (low or no skills; a work limiting health condition or disability; care or childcare responsibilities; over 54; from a jobless household)	Number	ESF	Less developed	0.00
1	0	1003	Long-term unemployed (aged 25 and over) who have complex barriers to employment (low or no skills; a work limiting health condition or disability; are from a BME (Black, Minority, Ethnic) group; from a jobless household)	Number	ESF	Less developed	0.00
2	F	P2F	Eligible Expenditure	Euros	ESF	Less developed	0.00
2	0	1172F	Employed, including self-employed participants with no formal qualifications - female	Number	ESF	Less developed	0.00
2	0		Employed, including self-employed participants with no formal qualifications - male	Number	ESF	Less developed	0.00
2	0	1173F	Employed, including self-employed participants with qualifications up to and including a lower secondary education (ISCED 2) - female	Number	ESF	Less developed	1,721.00
2	0	1173M	Employed, including self-employed participants with qualifications up to and including a lower secondary education (ISCED 2) - male	Number	ESF	Less developed	1,929.00
2	0	1178F	Employed, including self-employed participants with lower secondary education (ISCED 2) - female	Number	ESF	Less developed	1,144.00
2	О	1178M	Employed, including self-employed participants with lower secondary education (ISCED 2) - male	Number	ESF	Less developed	1,060.00
3	F	P3F	Eligible Expenditure	Euros	ESF	Less developed	0.00
3	0	1027	NEET Participants (16 - 24 years of age)	Number	ESF	Less developed	1,722.00
5	0	CO22	number of projects targeting public administrations or public services at national, regional or local level	Number	ESF	Less developed	0.00
5	F	P1F	Eligible Expenditure	Euros	ESF	Less developed	0.00

Priority axis	Ind type	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Fund	Category of region	of Milestone for 2018 total	Milestone for 2018 men	Milestone for 2018 women	Final target (2023) total	Final target (2023) men	Final target (2023) women
1	F	P1F	Eligible Expenditure	Euros	ESF	Less developed	53,000,000			238,000,000.00		
1	0	1002	Economically inactive (aged 25 and over), not in education or training, who have complex barriers to employment (low or no skills; a work limiting health condition or disability; care or childcare responsibilities; over 54; from a jobless household)	Number	ESF		9,182			36,000.00		
1	О	1003	Long-term unemployed (aged 25 and over) who have complex barriers to employment (low or no skills; a work limiting health condition or disability; are from a BME (Black, Minority, Ethnic) group; from a jobless household)	Number	ESF	Less developed	2,755			10,800.00		
2	F	P2F	Eligible Expenditure	Euros	ESF	Less developed	133,000,000			471,000,000.00		
2	О	1172F	Employed, including self-employed participants with no formal qualifications - female	Number	ESF	Less developed	0			0.00		
2	О	1172M	Employed, including self-employed participants with no formal qualifications - male	Number	ESF	Less developed	0			0.00		
2	О	1173F	Employed, including self-employed participants with qualifications up to and including a lower secondary education (ISCED 2) - female	Number	ESF	Less developed	8801			31,692.00		
2	О	1173M	Employed, including self-employed participants with qualifications up to and including a lower secondary education (ISCED 2) - male	Number	ESF	Less developed	9666			26,000.00		
2	О	1178F	Employed, including self-employed participants with lower secondary education (ISCED 2) - female	Number	ESF	Less developed	3,732			23,874.00		
2	О	1178M	Employed, including self-employed participants with lower secondary education (ISCED 2) - male	Number	ESF	Less developed	4,099			20,693.00		
3	F	P3F	Eligible Expenditure	Euros	ESF	Less developed	83,941,491			329,093,318.00		
3	0	1027	NEET Participants (16 - 24 years of age)	Number	ESF	Less developed	10,891			42,700.00		
5	0	CO22	number of projects targeting public administrations or public services at national, regional or local level	Number	ESF	Less developed	1			4.00		
5	F	P1F	Eligible Expenditure	Euros	ESF	Less developed	0			22,000,000.00		

3.4 Financial data (Article 50(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)

Table 6: Financial information at priority axis and programme level

(as set out in Table 1 of Annex II to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 1011/2014 (Model for the transmission of financial data))

Priority axis	Fund	Category of region	Calculation basis	Total fund	Co- financing rate	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Proportion of the total allocation covered with selected operations	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	Total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to the managing authority	Proportion of the total allocation covered by eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries	Number of operations selected	Total eligible expenditure incurred by beneficiaries and paid by 31/12/2018 and certified to the Commission
1	ESF	Less developed	Total	237,797,199.00	78.96%	209,103,024.23	87.93%	197,914,481.03	55,980,388.42	23.54%	14	55,980,388.42
2	ESF	Less developed	Total	470,987,093.00	72.11%	523,030,193.64	111.05%	498,774,040.50	249,423,269.17	52.96%	20	249,423,269.17
3	ESF	Less developed	Total	329,093,318.00	74.36%	338,672,727.84	102.91%	334,845,877.13	116,740,373.84	35.47%	18	116,740,373.84
5	ESF	Less developed	Total	21,752,197.00	75.30%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0	0.00
4	ESF	Less developed	Total	21,579,890.00	74.36%	30,922,338.78	143.29%	30,454,490.11	10,839,914.41	50.23%	6	
Total	ESF	Less developed		1,081,209,697.00	74.41%	1,101,728,284.49	101.90%	1,061,988,888.77	432,983,945.84	40.05%	58	422,144,031.43
Grand total				1,081,209,697.00	74.41%	1,101,728,284.49	101.90%	1,061,988,888.77	432,983,945.84	40.05%	58	422,144,031.43

Table 7: Breakdown of the cumulative financial data by category of intervention for the ERDF, the ESF and the Cohesion Fund (Article 112(1) and (2) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 5 of Regulation (EU) No 1304/2013)

Priority axis		racteristics of				Categorisat	ion dimensions					Financi	ial data	
axis	Fund		Intervention field	Form of finance	Territorial dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic dimension	Location dimension	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	Total elegibile expenditure declared by beneficiaries to the managing authority	Number of operations selected
1		Less developed	109	01	01	07		08	24	UKL1	55,410,808.28	50,802,038.57	10,320,941.28	2
1		Less developed	109	01	07	07		02	20	UKL1	19,168,885.46	17,508,913.45	3,732,176.56	4
1		Less developed	109	01	07	07		08	20	UKL1	10,244,543.07	10,244,543.07	5,417,535.86	1
1	ESF	Less developed	109	01	07	07		02	21	UKL1	71,790,962.12	67,487,459.75	18,877,668.80	4
1		Less developed	109	01	07	07		08	24	UKL1	52,487,825.30	51,871,526.19	17,632,065.92	3
2	ESF	Less developed	105	01	07	07		07	24	UKL1	16,220,683.00	14,849,341.99	9,113,530.63	2
2	ESF	Less developed	117	01	07	07		04	19	UKL1	80,473,993.82	66,692,445.73	25,799,534.02	4
2	ESF	Less developed	117	01	07	07		05	19	UKL1	6,815,887.96	6,353,798.44	3,995,323.88	2
2	ESF	Less developed	117	01	07	07		08	19	UKL1	419,519,628.86	410,878,454.34	210,514,880.64	12
3	ESF	Less developed	103	01	07	07		02	21	UKL1	43,774,486.65	43,744,032.44	11,250,109.28	4
3	ESF	Less developed	103	01	07	07		08	21	UKL1	7,947,491.94	7,943,091.39	1,470,847.19	1
3	ESF	Less developed	103	01	07	07		08	24	UKL1	155,524,042.25	152,791,724.41	61,195,569.58	4
3	ESF	Less developed	115	01	07	07		08	19	UKL1	81,030,504.80	79,970,826.69	25,867,628.18	7
3	ESF	Less developed	115	01	07	07		02	21	UKL1	50,396,202.20	50,396,202.20	16,956,219.61	2
5	ESF	Less developed	119	01	07	07		08	24	UKL1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
4	ESF	Less developed	121	01	07	07		08	18	UKL1	29,195,751.05	28,727,902.38	10,326,383.62	2
4	ESF	Less developed	123	01	07	07		08	24	UKL1	1,726,587.73	1,726,587.73	513,530.79	4

Table 8: The use made of cross-financing

1	2	3	4	5	6
Use of cross-	Priority	The amount of EU support	As a share of the EU	The amount of EU support used	As a share of the EU
financing	axis	envisaged to be used for	support to the priority	under cross financing based on	
		cross financing based on	axis (%) (3/EU	eligible expenditure declared by	axis (%) (5/EU
		selected operations (EUR)	support to priority	the beneficiary to the managing	
Costs eligible for	1	0.00	axis*100)	authority (EUR)	axis*100)
support under the	1	0.00		0.00	
ERDF, but					
supported from the					
ESF					
Costs eligible for	2	2,167,648.47	0.68%	2,117,361.14	0.66%
support under the					
ERDF, but					
supported from the					
ESF					
Costs eligible for	3	0.00		0.00	
support under the					
ERDF, but					
supported from the					
ESF	4	0.00		0.00	
Costs eligible for support under the	4	0.00		0.00	
support under the ERDF, but					
supported from the					
ESF					
Costs eligible for	5	0.00		0.00	
support under the					
ERDF, but					
supported from the					
ESF					

Table 10: Expenditure incurred outside the Union (ESF)

The amount of expenditure	Share of the total financial allocation (Union and	Eligible expenditure	Share of the total financial allocation (Union and
envisaged to be incurred	national contribution) to the ESF programme or	incurred outside the	national contribution) to the ESF programme or
outside the Union under	the ESF part of a multi-fund programme (%)	Union declared by the	the ESF part of a multi-fund programme (%)
thematic objectives 8 and	(1/total financial allocation (Union and national	beneficiary to the	(3/total financial allocation (Union and national
10 based on selected	contribution) to the ESF programme or the ESF	managing authority	contribution) to the ESF programme or the ESF
operations (EUR)	part of a multi-fund programme*100)	(EUR)	part of a multi-fund programme*100)
0.00		0.00	

4. SYNTHESIS OF THE EVALUATIONS

Synthesis of the findings of all evaluations of the programme that have become available during the previous financial year, with reference of name and reference period of the evaluation reports used

The process and outputs evaluation of the Parents, Childcare and Employment (PaCE) operations was published in December 2018. Key findings included:

- 100% of PaCE participants had childcare responsibilities and 96% are female.
- Over a third of all participants progressed into work, substantially more than the 20% target.
- Parents had a lack of awareness and understanding of what childcare is available.
- There appears to be a prevalence of low-level mental health issues amongst participants.
- Participants' motivation for engaging with PaCE vary, but many have a genuine desire to find work.
- Generally the participants had good relationships with their advisers.
- Participants who had undertaken work related volunteering placements were overwhelmingly positive about the experience.
- Management and administrative systems generally seem to work well.
- The promotion of PaCE has been fairly low key.
- PaCE advisers work from a range of community settings.
- It seems that PaCE has wider effects upon participants and their families beyond enabling them to progress into work, education or training or to gain qualifications.

The interim evaluation of the Traineeships operations was published in July 2018. Key findings included:

- Providers, officials, and partners were generally positive about the Traineeships model, considering it effective for the cohort of young people and a useful stepping stone in progression towards employment, providing learners with a taster of a particular sector or occupation and enabling them to develop a broad platform of skills, particularly in relation to employability.
- Providers praised the high degree of flexibility of the Traineeships programme, enabling them to utilise provision (either directly delivered or delivered by third party providers) that best meets the needs of young people.
- Most providers interviewed described a steady fall in the number of young people being recruited on to the Traineeships programme. Typically, providers perceived that the fall in numbers reflected a lack of promotion of the programme. Some also referred to changes in the eligibility criteria.
- Social media is increasingly seen as a key route to promotion of the programme and is actively used by all providers but particularly so by those in the private sector; 'word of mouth' was also cited by many providers.
- The majority of providers raised concerns about the referral process. Some questioned the impartiality of Careers Wales based on the perception that there was an imbalance in the volume of referrals to each provider.
- Most officials and partners voiced concerns about the appropriateness of referrals to different strands, with a widespread view that those most in need of the Engagement strand were not necessarily getting on to the programme due to some providers' reluctance to take on "more challenging individuals".
- There was a general agreement amongst providers and partners that young people engaging with Traineeships display an increasingly complex set of needs and barriers, with mental health issues deemed particularly prevalent.
- Providers vary in the extent to which they utilise the Additional Learning Support budget, with some providers not accessing it at all and others actively targeting these resources. Providers not accessing these budgets cited complexity, availability of resources and lack of intelligence regarding Trainees' backgrounds as reasons for this.
- With regard to cross-cutting themes, providers agreed that Traineeships help to tackle poverty by providing young people with work opportunities and by tackling barriers to employment, and that equal opportunities are an embedded element across training provision. Sustainable development was the least evidenced cross-cutting theme in interviews with providers. Most providers identified their commitment to supporting the Welsh language by aiming to have at least one Welsh speaking

member of staff.

• Officials and partners were confident that the programme would achieve its overall targets. However, there were concerns that participation was mostly at Engagement and Level 1 and that subsequent progression was often in-programme or into FE courses. Most officials and partners raised concerns about the Bridge-to-Employment strand, with an awareness that take-up of this strand was low which may limit progression opportunities into employment.

The emerging outcomes and impact evaluation of the Communities for Work (CfW) operations was published in June 2018. Key findings included:

- The barriers to work faced by CfW participants vary considerably and are often multiple and interrelated. The barriers faced included very practical ('situational' or 'indirect') barriers such as having care responsibilities (overwhelmingly, childcare), lacking transport to get to work, lacking qualifications or work related skills and having work limiting health conditions. Direct barriers faced included low levels of self-confidence and mental health issues, most commonly feelings of anxiety and/or depression.
- The level of motivation to find work varied from one participant to another, with motivation often linked to the barriers faced and the ease with which they could be overcome. CfW is more easily able to help participants overcome some barriers, for example by meeting the costs of training or providing equipment needed for particular jobs. Other, typically direct barriers, require participants to understand the nature the issues they face and to demonstrate considerable commitment to overcoming them, sometimes over a protracted period.
- Whilst there are examples of participants overcoming complex barriers as a result of their involvement with CfW, the support most commonly received by participants revolves around helping them develop CVs and undertake job-search activities, suggesting that the programme has been most successful in reaching participants who are comparatively work ready, but has yet to address more complex or entrenched barriers to employment.
- A key feature of CfW is the time available to advisers/mentors to work with individual participants to understand the barriers they face, their aspirations and motivations and to tailor the support provided, as necessary. The time available and flexibility of the support provided by CfW was seen as a distinct advantage over mainstream employability provision and it was clear that advisers/mentors are trusted and respected by participants.
- Training is also an important feature of CfW, with participants mainly undertaking short courses that provide basic accreditation relevant to a range of workplaces. Training that led to participants securing licences necessary to work in certain industries was also a crucial step on the road to employment for some.

- Some participants undertook volunteering or work experience placements, generally in fields directly related to the kinds of jobs to which they aspired. Work/volunteering placements were seen as a means of developing certain skills, of building individuals' confidence and of demonstrating capability and reliability. However, some participants were reluctant to undertake unpaid work experience/volunteering.
- Performance to date suggests that CfW will exceed its Priority 3 job outcomes targets by some margin, but fall some way short of its Priority 1 job outcomes targets. There is, nevertheless, some room for optimism that job outcomes for Priority 1 participants will continue to improve and go some way to closing the gap with the programme end targets.
- Like engagements, job outcomes performance varies considerably from one CfW area to another. Again, it was not possible to identify definitively why performance in some areas should be stronger than in others. Factors associated with strong performance seemed to include: strong performance in relation to engagements; buoyant local labour market conditions; skilled and experienced staff who had been in post long enough to allow time for engagements to be translated into outcomes; effective team working at the front line; strong partnerships with the wider support system; and relevant and accessible training provision.
- Overall, participants who engaged with CfW for three months or less were more likely to have progressed into work than individuals who stayed on the programme for longer. This is attributable in part to the fact that advisers (who it was intended would work with individuals deemed to be less than 12 months from employment) were appointed some time before mentors (who it was intended would work with individuals 12 months or more from employment). However, it might also indicate that CfW is engaging at least some individuals who would be able to progress into work with less support and who could be served by mainstream sources.
- Whilst it was accepted that there will inevitably be an element of deadweight to any programme of this nature, any deadweight attached to CfW is likely to be minimal, given the nature of the client group targeted.

The Interim Evaluation of the Apprenticeships operations was published in April 2018. Key findings included:

• Employers and wider stakeholders were fairly satisfied with the delivery of the Apprenticeship Programme and how it is working in practice, although provider quality (particularly in terms of teaching quality and engagement with employer needs) was seen as variable. Employers were generally content with the quantity and quality of information they had received about the Programme from providers and Welsh Government. However, providers reported that some employers and prospective learners continue to hold inaccurate beliefs about apprenticeships, for example assuming that they are only available for traditional trades, or that they are aimed at

young school leavers as an inferior alternative to university.

- Identified delivery challenges included:
 - o Improving the promotion of apprenticeships to potential learners, parents and employers, and correcting any misperceptions;
 - Ensuring more consistent quality across training providers (particularly in terms of teaching quality and engagement with employer needs);
 - Unlocking practical barriers to increasing provision of Welsh Language apprenticeships, including staffing and raising employer awareness;
 - o Improving provider-employer relationships, especially with smaller employers.

Name	Fund	From month	From year	To month	To year	Type of evaluation	Thematic objective	Topic	Findings
Tallic	I unu	I I VIII IIIVIIIII	I I OIII y Cai	I O IIIOIIIII	10 year	Type of evaluation	Thematic objective	Topic	1 mumas

6 ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN (Article 50(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)

(a) Issues which affect the performance of the programme and the measures taken

With a high level of commitment in most Priorities the focus is moving to delivery to a large extent.

Some beneficiaries' plans for how quickly their operations can put resources in place to begin delivering the approved activities turn out to be overly ambitious. The Managing Authority has addressed this by utilising the practice of a formal Mobilisation Phase for operations post approval, during which the operation is required to meet agreed milestones for mobilising the resources and arrangements the operation will need, for example appointing project staff or procuring suppliers. This has helped to bring a greater focus on what operations need to put in place in order to start delivery and has given the Managing Authority greater visibility of action by beneficiaries to mobilise their operations.

Some beneficiaries still require guidance on what is needed to satisfy management verification checks. The Managing Authority continued to hold regular stakeholder / beneficiary events in 2018 to promote issues of best practice and communicate issues of eligibility and compliance, helping to reduce potential errors and encourage collaborative working.

The progress of the Article 50 negotiations and preparations for the UK to leave the European Union were of key interest to many beneficiaries during 2018. The Managing Authority continued to undertake regular communications with stakeholders to offer reassurances that delivery of operations could and should continue as normal and to address any potential concerns raised by beneficiaries. Generally interest in ESF did not seem to be impacted by the decision to leave the EU.

During the past year, the programmes have operated in the context of record high employment levels. This has had an effect on the way in which operations have needed to adapt to the nature of demand for services and changing market failure characteristics. The positive performance levels achieved reflect the agility at both Programme and operation level to be able to respond. However, there are likely to be very different challenges in the short term which mean that the socio-economic environment in which the Programmes operate could change rapidly and require continued flexibility to enable operations to be responsive.

(b) An assessment of whether progress made towards targets is sufficient to ensure their fulfilment, indicating any remedial actions taken or planned, where appropriate.

The Performance Framework milestones for 2018 were achieved with performance in excess of 100% of targets, with the exception of the new Priority 5 where the milestone for approving one operation was not achieved due to this new priority experiencing an unexpected slow start.

Following the Programme Modification, the Managing Authority is confident of achieving all agreed programme targets by the end of the Programme.

The Managing Authority will continue to monitor delivery closely and take action where required.

7. CITIZEN'S SUMMARY

A citizen's summary of the contents of the annual and the final implementation reports shall be made public and uploaded as a separate file in the form of annex to the annual and the final implementation report

You can upload/find the Citizen's summary under General > Documents in the SFC2014 application

8. REPORT ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

9. OPTIONAL FOR THE REPORT TO BE SUBMITTED IN 2016, NOT APPLICABLE TO OTHER LIGHT REPORTS: ACTIONS TAKEN TO FULFILL EXANTE CONDITIONALITIES

Table 14: Actions taken to fulfil applicable general ex-ante conditionalities

	1_1	0						
General ex-ante conditionality	Criteria not fulfilled	Actions taken	Deadline	Bodies responsible	Action completed by the deadline	Criteria fulfilled	Expected date for full implementation of remaining actions	Commentary

Table 15: Actions taken to fulfil applicable thematic ex-ante conditionalities

	11							
General ex-ante conditionality	Criteria not fulfilled	Actions taken	Deadline	Bodies responsible	Action completed by the deadline	Criteria fulfilled	Expected date for full implementation of remaining actions	Commentary

10. PROGRESS IN PREPARATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF MAJOR PROJECTS AND JOINT ACTION PLANS (ARTICLE 101(H) AND 111(3) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)

10.1. Major projects

Table 12: Major projects

				Jetes												
ı	Project Co	CI Status of	Total	Total eligible	Planned notification	Date of tacit agreement /						Current state of realisation — financial progress (% of expenditure	e Current state of realisation - physical progress Main	Main	Date of signature of first	Observations
		MP	investments	costs	submission date	approval by Commission	implementati	on (year, quarter)) completion date	Investmen	t priorities	certified to Commission compared to total eligible cost)	implementation stage of the project	outputs	works contract	

Significa	nt problems encountered in implementing major projects and measures ta	ken to overcome
them		

Any change planned in the list of major projects in the operational programme

10.2. Joint action plans

Progress in the implementation of different stages of joint action plans

Not applicable to this Programme.	

Table 13: Joint action plans (JAP)

Title of the Co	CI Stage of implementation of	Total eligible	Total	public OP contribution to	Priority	Type of	[Planned] submission to the	[Planned] start of	[Planned]	Main outputs and	Total eligible expenditure certified to the	Observations
JAP	JAP	costs	support	JAP	axis	JAP	Commission	implementation	completion	results	Commission	

Not applicable to this Programme.

Significant problems encountered and measures taken to overcome them

PART B

REPORTING SUBMITTED IN YEARS 2017, 2019 AND FINAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (Article 50(4), 111(3) and (4) of regulation (EU) No 1303/2013

11. ASSESSMENT OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE OPERATIONAL PROGRAMME (ARTICLES 50(4) AND 111(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)

11.1. Information in Part A and achieving objectives of the programme (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)

Priority axis	1 - Tackling Poverty through Sustainable Employment

Data from the ESF Participants Survey show that almost half (48%) of West Wales and the Valleys Priority 1 leavers (who were unemployed / inactive on joining their ESF operation) were in employment six months after leaving their ESF operation.

Furthermore, across both West Wales and the Valleys and East Wales Priority 1 (data currently not available at programme level), almost 70% of participants stated that they had been able to use the skills they learned on their ESF operation.

WEFO is currently analysing data from the ESF Participants Survey and more outputs will be available soon.

The operation-level evaluation evidence presented in Section 4 (for PaCE and Communities for Work) suggests that, although operations have encountered challenges, they are making good progress in helping participants overcome their barriers to employment.

Priority axis	2 - Skills for Growth

Data from the ESF Participants Survey show that 45% of West Wales and the Valleys Priority 2 leavers (who were employed on joining their ESF operation) had an improved labour market situation six months after leaving their ESF operation.

Furthermore, across both West Wales and the Valleys and East Wales Priority 2 (data currently not available at programme level), over 80% of participants stated that they had been able to use the skills they learned on their ESF operation.

WEFO is currently analysing data from the ESF Participants Survey and more outputs will be available soon.

The Apprenticeships operations make a big contribution to the participant numbers for Priority 2. The evaluation of the Apprenticeships discussed in Section 4 concluded that the core activities that make up the Apprenticeship Programme design are being delivered as intended and are well perceived. Employers from a variety of sectors reported finding the structure of apprenticeships helpful, and that they suited the needs of their business. Most were happy with the range of subjects and curriculum offered. Those

interviewed for the evaluation believed that Apprenticeships have a positive impact on both apprentices and employers although Interviewees often expressed impacts in terms of the benefits to the Apprentice (development and opportunities), rather than specific business impacts. The impact of Apprenticeships will be explored in the final stage of the evaluation.

Priority axis

3 - Youth Employment and Attainment

Data from the ESF Participants Survey show that 55% of West Wales and the Valleys Priority 3 leavers (who were unemployed / inactive on joining their ESF operation) were in employment six months after leaving their ESF operation.

Furthermore, across both West Wales and the Valleys and East Wales Priority 3 (data currently not available at programme level), over 80% of participants stated that they had been able to use the skills they learned on their ESF operation.

WEFO is currently analysing data from the ESF Participants Survey and more outputs will be available soon.

The operation-level evaluation evidence presented in Section 4 (for PaCE, Communities for Work and Traineeships) suggests that, although operations have encountered challenges, they are making good progress in helping participants overcome their barriers to employment.

Priority axis

4 - Technical Assistance

The only data available for Technical Assistance are the error rate and irregularity rate result indicators. These can be found in Section 3.2.

Priority axis

5 - Public services reform and regional working

No evidence is available yet from evaluations, as the Priority was only added, as part of the most recent modification to the Programme, towards the end of 2018.

11.2. Specific actions taken to promote equality between men and women and to prevent discrimination, in particular accessibility for persons with disabilities, and the arrangements implemented to ensure the integration of the gender perspective in the operational programme and operations (Articles 50(4) and 111(4), second subparagraph, (e) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)

Equal Opportunities (EO) and Gender Mainstreaming (in Wales this includes the Welsh Language) is one of three Cross Cutting Themes (CCTs) integrated in to this operational programme.

CCTs require action in multiple fields and as such need to be integrated into all areas of the programmes. The model used to build the CCTs into the programmes embraces both vertical and horizontal measures, providing an opportunity to tackle particular issues and raise the profile and encourage a learning process across a diverse range of organisations and activities.

Delivering the CCTs

WEFO's CCT team give ongoing specialist advice to WEFO staff and beneficiaries with a formal CCT assessment carried out at Business Planning; A continual programme of awareness raising and training is provided to stakeholders, along with guidance which has been developed alongside case study examples; Regular monitoring of progress takes place at project review meetings and update reports are provided to the PMC and other stakeholders.

EO and Gender Mainstreaming

The specific aim of the EO and Gender Mainstreaming CCT, is to overcome the inequalities between men and women in the labour market, develop strategies to tackle the gender pay gap and occupational segregation alongside actions which increase the numbers of female entrepreneurs. In addition to the need to promote gender equality in employment, there is also the need to tackle the barriers, often multiple, which many individuals experience in accessing and retaining employment.

There are a number of intervention areas which aim to reduce unemployment and increase educational attainment among disabled people, specifically Priority 1 aimed at tackling poverty and has a focus on those who are economically inactive or unemployed, with work limiting health conditions or disabilities. Priority 3 has specific actions to address low educational attainment amongst those most at risk of becoming NEET, which includes young people with Special Educational Needs and disabled undergraduates. All projects are expected to clearly articulate specific actions to address the needs of the target groups and there is a further requirement to set specific targets relating to individuals with disabilities and report progress.

An example is **GO Wales: Achieve Through Work Experience,** funded through Priority 3. The project aims to reduce the risk of students in higher education becoming NEET, especially those who face additional barriers. Providing a range of activities to enhance employability and increase their future ability to secure employment or move into further learning based on appropriate career paths, the project identifies suitable employers and work experience opportunities to support the participant to develop their skills and confidence, and better demonstrate work-readiness and increased employability following completion of their qualifications.

The project (to 31/12/2018) has supported 544 participants of whom, 60% identify as having a disability or Work-Limiting Health Condition including Autism Spectrum Disorder and mental health condition, 19% identify as BME, 8% from a migrant background, 8% with a caring responsibility and 3% care leavers.

CCT Indicators/Targets

Information on progress of the formal EO and Gender Mainstreaming indicator is included in Section 3.

Additional project level CCT indicators are identified which enable WEFO to capture a broader range of activity and enable good practice to be identified and reported.

11.3. Sustainable development (Articles 50(4) and 111(4), second subparagraph, (f) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)

Substantial emphasis has been placed on future sustainability in the Structural Funds Regulations. *Europe* 2020: Sustainable Growth is the European Commission's strategy for a resource efficient, greener and more competitive economy and aims to: build a more competitive low-carbon economy, protect the environment, develop new green technologies, introduce smart electricity grids, harness EU-scale networks, improve the business environment and help consumers.

The programmes' overarching focus on sustainable economic development and the specific cross-cutting themes on equalities and tackling poverty, mean that in line with EU requirements and the Welsh Government's sustainable development approach, there is a need to ensure that environmental and long term aspects are fully considered. For this reason, the sustainable development CCT highlights the need for project sponsors to also demonstrate how their projects promote environmental sustainability.

An example of the opportunities which exist within the ESF WW&V programme to target specific features of sustainable development is demonstrated below.

Materials and Manufacturing Academy (M2A)

The Materials and Manufacturing Academy (M2A) is a demand led, industry focused operation that aims to produce high calibre individuals trained to Masters and Doctoral level to lead in the advanced engineering and materials sector. Funded through Priority 2 Skills for Growth and led by Swansea University, M2A is building on the success of the (2007-13) ESF STRIP project that was funded in 2009 to provide Masters and Doctorate level participants to support the steel supply chain. The M2A project includes a taught module on the circular economy, which includes resource efficiency concepts and ecodesign considerations.

CCT Indicators/Targets

The inclusion of formal targets is an important driver to encourage projects to address issues and to monitor progress systematically over the life of the programme. Information on progress of the Sustainable Development indicator is included in Section 3.

Additional project level CCT indicators are also identified (agreed at the June 2015 PMC), which enable WEFO to capture a broader range of activity and enable good practice to be identified and reported.

11.4. Reporting on support used for climate change objectives (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)

Priority	Amount of support to be used for climate	Proportion of total allocation to the
axis	change objectives (EUR)	operational programme (%)
Total	0.00	0.00%

Operations funded through the ESF programmes are encouraged to actively promote the mitigation of wider climate change objectives.

Through the delivery of the Sustainable Development Cross Cutting Theme, operations are encouraged to consider a variety of activities such as implementing travel plans and sustainable transport initiatives which contribute to tackling the wider climate change agenda.

Sustainable development initiatives and environmental awareness is encouraged as part of the content of education and training programmes and support for participating employers to adopt or improve Sustainable Development Strategies is an indicator for some of the activity supported.

11.5. Role of partners in the implementation of the programme

The third sector has a strong role in the implementation of the programme. The Managing Authority has authorised Wales Council for Voluntary Action, the umbrella body for the third sector in Wales, to act as an Intermediary Body to select for support and oversee projects carried out by community organisations needing smaller amounts of ESF funding. In addition a number of third sector organisations act as lead beneficiaries for larger operations approved by the Managing Authority.

Private sector organisations are most frequently involved in helping deliver approved ESF operations as procured providers under contract to lead beneficiaries. It is also possible for the private sector to lead operations.

Regional Engagement Teams (RETs) funded from the Technical Assistance priority axis have been established for each of four regions across Wales to harness and input the perspective of partners in the regions. Among other roles, the RETs carry out regional proofing of applications for funding whilst these are under appraisal by the Managing Authority and carry out publicity for the Programme in their regions to spread understanding of the programme benefits.

RETs also facilitate regular networking events for beneficiaries and delivery partners in Priority 1, 2 and 3. These events allow beneficiaries in each Priority to share best practice, report on progress, and facilitate closer working arrangements and collaboration.

12. OBLIGATORY INFORMATION AND ASSESSMENT ACCORDING TO ARTICLE 111(4), FIRST SUBPARAGRAPH, (A) AND (B), OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013

12.1. Progress in implementation of the evaluation plan and the follow-up given to the findings of evaluations

After a delayed start, the ESF Participants Survey finally got into the field in February 2018, and, by the end of 2018, following completion of waves 1 and 2 of the survey, our contractors, IFF Research, have completed 7,980 interviews with participants who left their ESF operation at least 12 months prior to the survey.

The aim of the survey is to collect data to help us evaluate the effectiveness of support funded by ESF. The survey is also being used to collect data for the longer-term result indicators. Where sufficient data are available, we have reported these in Section 3.

WEFO has shared the operation-level datasets from the ESF Participants Survey with the contractors undertaking the evaluations of Apprenticeships, ReAct, Traineeships and Jobs Growth Wales.

Throughout 2018 WEFO continued to provide advice and guidance to beneficiaries to support operation-level evaluation. Progress was made with a number of operation level evaluations, with the Interim Evaluations for PaCE, Communities for Work, Apprenticeships, and Traineeships published and discussed in Section 4.

Also in 2018, preparations began for an evaluation of Regional Engagement Teams, for which the contract has since been awarded.

The Monitoring and Evaluation Advisory Group met in February and November 2018. The MEAG discussed: progress with various evaluation studies; draft specifications for the Evaluation of RETs, the Evaluation of the Sustainable Management Scheme, and the Evaluation of RDP Leader; approaches to dealing with the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR); and open data.

~								
Ctotuc	Name	L'irmd	Voor of finalizing evaluation	Type of evolution	L'Ibomotio objective	Tonio	Kindings (in case of executed)	Follow up (in coco of executed)
Status	rvanne	Fund	Year of finalizing evaluation	Type of evaluation	Thematic objective	Topic	Findings (in case of executed)	Follow up (in case of executed)

12.2. The results of the information and publicity measures of the Funds carried out under the communication strategy

Good progress was made in implementing the Communications Strategy for Structural Funds programmes during 2018 through a range of information and publicity activities that promote:

- 1. the EU funding investments made by WEFO;
- 2. the overall performance of the Structural Funds programmes; and
- 3. the impact of the funds on people, businesses and communities across Wales.

Website

The EU Funds section of the Welsh Government website, www.gov.wales/eu-funding, continues to be an important platform for providing information, advice and resources for project sponsors, partners, stakeholders and the media, including searchable data on the performance of the programmes.

The EU Funds section of the website received 61,182 visits during 2018.

The Welsh Government's homepage and main news section was also utilised to promote each investment made through the Structural Funds programmes.

Twitter

Through Twitter, followers received breaking news stories, including project announcements, events and progress of the programmes during 2018. Monthly infographics on programme performance were issued and key milestones and achievements of ESF West Wales and Valleys projects were promoted through stories, graphics and imagery.

WEFO's 3,700 followers were also alerted to new content on the EU Funds section of the Welsh Government website such as progress information, guidance for sponsors, and All-Wales Programme Monitoring Committee papers. Twitter was also used to promote Europe Day in collaboration with project sponsors across Wales.

During 2018, 672 tweets were posted between our @wefowales and @wefocymru Twitter channels, while the Welsh Government corporate account and a range of Ministerial accounts have also promoted key information and messages.

Newsletter

WEFO's EU funds newsletter is issued on a fortnightly basis and includes a round-up of the latest news on

the Structural Funds programmes and external items promoting key milestones and achievements of individual projects. The newsletter can be subscribed to through the Welsh Government website and at the end of 2018 had over 7,000 subscribers.

Events

WEFO held its annual information event on 5 December 2018 to mark the progress and achievements of the EU Structural Funds programmes in Wales.

The event was attended by around 200 delegates and included the EU Funds Awards 2018 which celebrated the success of ESF project mentors.

The then Cabinet Secretary for Finance, Mark Drakeford AM, announced the winners of the awards and took part in a photo opportunity with people and organisations that were shortlisted.

Twitter content relating to the event generated almost 25,000 views and more than 600 engagements.

Press and Publicity

Media and press activity focused on funding announcements, project launches, milestones and success stories which generated national and local media coverage. Some 42 Welsh Government press notices were issued during 2018 promoting the overall programmes. In total there were 575 instances of media coverage referencing EU funds with over 98% positive in nature.

Press notices issued for the ESF West Wales and the Valleys programme included:

- £5.2m for the Technocamps 2 and STEM Gogledd schemes to promote STEM subjects and STEM-related careers to young people, particularly girls and young women.
- £3.1m for Aberystwyth University's BioInnovation Wales scheme which is helping businesses in the food and agricultural sector address high level skills shortages through bespoke qualifications and industry accredited skills tailored to industry needs.

Both announcements generated significant coverage and the event to announce the funding for Technocamps 2 and STEM Gogledd was attended by Prince's Trust Ambassador, Tim Peake.

Plaques

Interior and exterior EU commemorative plaques have been an excellent way of promoting the EU funds contribution to schemes across Wales. During 2018, almost 200 plaques were issued to project sponsors and beneficiaries to display in areas visible to the general public.

Promotional Items

During 2018, WEFO continued to utilise a range of branded promotional tools and activities to raise awareness of the programmes and EU funding in Wales. These included canvas bags, mugs, highlighters and pens. WEFO attended a number of conferences and events, displaying stands, information and literature to promote and raise awareness of the programmes, including displays at various project milestone events.

Throughout the programming period WEFO has worked closely with project sponsors on information and publicity requirements to ensure Structural Funds have been promoted positively and consistently through press notices, events, websites, social media and promotional materials.

13. ACTIONS TAKEN TO FULFILL EX-ANTE CONDITIONALITIES (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013) (MAY BE INCLUDED IN REPORT TO BE SUBMITTED IN 2016 (SEE POINT 9 ABOVE). REQUIRED IN REPORT SUBMITTED IN 2017) OPTION: PROGRESS REPORT

14.1. Progress in the implementation of the integrated approach to territorial development, including development of regions facing demographic challenges and permanent or natural handicaps, integrated territorial investments, sustainable urban development, and community led local development under the operational programme					
Not applicable to the Programme.					
14.2. Progress in the implementation of actions to reinforce the capacity of Member State authorities and beneficiaries to administer and use the Funds					
Not applicable to the Programme.					
14.3. Progress in the implementation of any interregional and transnational actions					
The programme does not include any specific interregional or transnational operations. However a small number of approved operations include some elements of transnational activity. Examples include:					
• The Knowledge Economy Skills Scholarships 2 operation which includes a transnational strand under which participants and academics attend a transnational summer school (European Industrial Doctorates School) which brings together the Welsh universities participating in KESS with students and academics from the Universities of Umea, Pardubice, Ghent and Aveiro.					
• The Agile Nation 2 operation which includes a transnational strand that enables the beneficiary, Chwarae Teg, to develop links and share experience with gender equality organisations in other EU Member States.					
14.4. Where appropriate, the contribution to macro-regional and sea basin strategies					
As stipulated by the Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013, article 27(3) on the "content of programmes", article 96(3)(e) on the "content, adoption and amendment of operational programmes under the Investment for growth and jobs goal", article 111(3), article 111(4)(d) on "implementation reports for the Investment for growth and jobs goal", and Annex 1, section 7.3 on "contribution of mainstream programmes to macroregional and sea-basin strategies, this programme contributes to MRS(s) and/or SBS:					
Not applicable to the Programme.					
 □ EU Strategy for the Baltic Sea Region (EUSBSR) □ EU Strategy for the Danube Region (EUSDR) 					

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION WHICH MAY BE ADDED DEPENDING ON THE CONTENT AND OBJECTIVES OF THE OPERATIONAL PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 111(4), SECOND SUBPARAGRAPH, (A), (B), (C), (D), (G) AND (H), OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)

14.

□ EU Strategy for the Adriatic and Ionian Region (EUSAIR)
 □ EU Strategy for the Alpine Region (EUSALP)
 □ Atlantic Sea Basin Strategy (ATLSBS)

14.5. Progress in the implementation of actions in the field of social innovation, where appropriate

An operation was approved in 2018 which will be led by a domestic abuse and sexual violence organisation, Threshold DAS, and which aims to provide vocational skills to women who are abuse survivors as well as offering training for those who wish to get involved themselves in supporting victims and survivors of violence and abuse. The Managing Authority considers that the operation has potential to be an innovative model.

14.6. Progress in the implementation of measures to address the specific needs of geographical areas most affected by poverty or of target groups at highest risk of poverty discrimination or social exclusion, with special regard to marginalised communities and persons with disabilities, long term unemployment and young people not in employment including, where appropriate, the financial resources used

Many individual approved operations are designed to address the specific needs of disadvantaged groups and disadvantaged geographical areas.

For example, the operation Better Jobs, Better Futures....for Women approved in 2018 and led by Gower College Swansea is specifically targeted at employed women in Swansea who are low paid and/or low skilled. Evidence of participants' wage levels and their occupational code in the UK's SOC classification system is used to ensure that support is targeted as those women most at risk of in-work poverty in Swansea.

Another operation – ACE: Achieving Change through Employment – is delivering interventions to tackle poverty or the risk of poverty facing members of the BAME community and migrant populations. The project, led by a third sector organisation - SOVA - provides one to one mentoring support and training to help participants gain employment or become self-employed, improve employability skills and support social inclusion such as improving language and communication skills for those coming to the UK. Recent examples include support for Syrian refugees re-settling in Wales.

PART C REPORTING SUBMITTED IN YEAR 2019 AND FINAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (Article 50(5) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)

15. FINANCIAL INFORMATION AT PRIORITY AXIS AND PROGRAMME LEVEL (ARTICLES 21(2) AND 22(7) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)

16. SMART, SUSTAINABLE AND INCLUSIVE GROWTH (OPTION PROGRESS REPORT)

Information on and assessment of the programme contribution to achieving the Union strategy for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth.

Article 9 of Regulation 1303/2013 identifies the 'Thematic Objectives' that all ES&I-funded programmes will support, in order to contribute to the union strategy for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth. The rationale for the selection of Thematic Objectives is included in the UK Partnership Agreement; in particular, the Wales-specific analysis and rationale is set out in the Welsh Chapter.

Each Priority and Specific Objective within the Programme contributes in some way to smart, sustainable and inclusive growth.

The following outlines the progress made against each of the Programme's Thematic Objectives:

Thematic Objective 08: Promoting sustainable and quality employment and supporting labour

Specific Objective 3.1 of Priority 3 (Youth Employment and Attainment) and Specific Objective 2.4 of Priority 2 (Skills for Growth) contribute to this Thematic Objective.

By the end of 2018 €146.7m of EU funds had been committed to 11 operations under this Thematic Objective.

Operations approved under this Thematic Objective aim to reduce the number of 16-24 year olds who are Not in Employment Education or Training (NEET) (SO3.1); and improve the position of women in the workforce (SO2.4).

Examples of investments include the Welsh Government-led operations **Jobs Growth Wales**, **Traineeships, Healthy Working Wales** – **Out of Work Services, Communities for Work** and **Parents, Childcare and Employment (PaCE)**. All of these operations are targeting those young people not in employment, education or training. Chwarae Teg's **Agile Nation 2** operation, which is aiming to improve the position of women in the workforce.

Key indicators contributing to Thematic Objective

Outputs

NEET Participants (16-24 years of age) - Achieved 22,818

Employed including self-employed (SO2.4) – Achieved 1,393

Intermediate Results

NEET Participants (16 - 24 years of age) gaining qualifications upon leaving - with primary or lower

secondary education - Achieved 6,625

NEET Participants (16 - 24 years of age) entering employment upon leaving - Achieved 5,204

Participants gaining a qualification upon leaving (SO2.4) – Achieved 812

Further information on indicators can be found in Section 3.

Thematic Objective 09: Promoting social inclusion, combating poverty and any discrimination

All of Priority 1 (Tackling Poverty through Sustainable Employment) contributes to this Thematic Objective.

By the end of 2018 €154.4m of EU funds had been committed to 14 operations under this Thematic Objective. Investments supported under this Thematic Objective aim to: increase the employability of those closest to the labour market at most risk of poverty; increase the employability of Economically Inactive and Long Term Unemployed people aged 25 and over, who have complex barriers to employment; and reduce underemployment or absence rates for employed individuals, safeguard and increase the labour market participation of those with work limiting health conditions and/or other barriers to sustainable engagement with the labour market.

Examples of operations approved under this Thematic Objective include the Welsh Government's **REACT III** operation, which aims to address the needs of people who have been made redundant, or are under notice of redundancy, through a series of measures designed to remove barriers to obtaining new employment. The Welsh Government-led **Parents, Childcare and Employment (PaCE), Communities4Work and Healthy Working Wales - Out of Work Service,** along with the **Local Authority-led Bridges2Work, Workways II** and **OPUS** are targeting those who are economically inactive or long term unemployed, aged 25 and over, who have complex barriers to employment. The Welsh Government-led **Healthy Working Wales – In-Work Service** is targeting those with work limiting health conditions or disabilities.

Key indicators contributing to Thematic Objective

Outputs

Individuals impacted by redundancy – Achieved 3,772

Economically inactive (aged 25 and over) – Achieved 14,119

Long-term unemployed (aged 25 and over) – Achieved 7,687

Employed including self-employed with work-limiting health condition /disability – Achieved 4,251

Intermediate Results

Individuals impacted by redundancy in employment upon leaving – Achieved 913

Economically inactive (aged 25 and over) employment entering employment - Achieved 2,857

Long-term unemployed (aged 25 and over) entering employment – Achieved 1,215

Individuals impacted by redundancy gaining a qualification – Achieved 2,280

Economically inactive (aged 25 and over) gaining a qualification or work relevant certification – Achieved 3,612

Long-term unemployed (aged 25 and over) gaining a qualification or work relevant certification – Achieved 2,143

Thematic Objective 10: Investing in education, training and vocational training for skills and lifelong learning

All of Priority 3 (Youth Employment and Attainment), except for Specific Objective 3.1, and all of Priority 2 (Skills for Growth), except for Specific Objective 2.4, contribute to this Thematic Objective.

By the end of 2018 €406.6m of EU funds had been committed to 27 operations under this Thematic Objective. Investments supported under this Thematic Objective aim to: reduce the number of those at risk of becoming NEET, amongst 11-24 year olds; increase the take up of and attainment levels in STEM subjects amongst 11-19 year olds; increase the skills of the Early Years and Childcare workforce; increase the skills levels, including work relevant skills, of those in the workforce with no or low skills; increase the number of people in the workforce with technical and job specific skills at an intermediate and higher level; and increase the number of people with graduate degrees or equivalent undertaking research and innovation activities with enterprise.

Examples of operations approved under this Thematic Objective include the Local Authority-led operations: **TRAC 11-24**, **Cynnydd** and **Inspire 2 Achieve**, all of which aim to reduce the number of those at risk of becoming NEET, amongst 11-24 year olds. **STEM Cymru 2**, led by Engineering Education Scheme Wales, is an example of an operation targeting an increase in the take up of and attainment levels in STEM subjects. In addition, **Progress for Success (PfS)** is aiming to raise the quality of the early years, childcare and play workforce by increasing the levels of relevant childcare qualifications held by the existing workforce.

The Welsh Government's two **Apprenticeships** operations are examples of operations aiming to increase the skills levels of those in the workforce with no or low skills, under Specific Objective 2.1, and aiming to increase the number of people in the workforce with technical and job specific skills at an intermediate and higher level, under Specific Objective 2.2. **KESS II**, led by Bangor University, and **Materials and Manufacturing Academy**, led by Swansea University, are examples of operations working with

participants with graduate degrees undertaking research and innovation activities with enterprises.

Key indicators contributing to Thematic Objective

Outputs

Employed including self-employed participants with qualifications up to and including a lower secondary education (Male and Female) – Achieved 23,889

Employed including self-employed participants with lower secondary education (CQFW 2) (Male and Female) – Achieved 13,646

Employed including self employed participants with upper secondary (CQFW 3) education or above (Male and Female) – Achieved 22,769

Participants with graduate degree or equivalent (Male and Female) – Achieved 529

Participants at Risk of becoming NEET (11-24) – Achieved 10,357

Young People 11 -19 years of age – Achieved 8,352

Employed including self-employed Participants from early years and childcare sector – female – 577

Intermediate Results

Employed including self-employed participants with up to and including a lower secondary education gaining an essential skills or technical or job specific qualification at lower secondary level or above upon leaving (Male and Female) -13,406

Employed including self-employed participants with lower secondary education (CQFW 2) gaining a technical or job specific vocational qualification upon leaving at upper secondary (CQFW 3) level or above (Male and Female) – Achieved 6,906

Employed including self employed participants with upper secondary (CQFW 3) education or above gaining a technical or job specific vocational qualification at or above upper secondary (CQFW 3) level upon leaving (Male and Female) – Achieved 9,074

Participants with a graduate degree or equivalent gaining a qualification upon leaving at Masters (CQFW 7) or Doctoral (CQFW 8) level (Male and Female) – Achieved 33

Thematic Objective 11: Enhancing institutional capacity of public authorities and stakeholders and an efficient public administration

Priority 5 (Public services reform and regional working) of the Programme will contribute to this Thematic Objective. This is a new Priority, which received EC approval in September 2018, as part of the Programme Modification. Whilst the funding allocation is relatively small at €15.4m, the Priority aims to facilitate real improvement in public services through building capacity for regional working. Although no operations reached approval in 2018, discussions were ongoing with a number of partners who were developing proposals.

17. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN — PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)

Where the assessment of progress made with regard to the milestones and targets set out in the performance framework demonstrates that certain milestones and targets have not been achieved, Member States should outline the underlying reasons for failure to achieve these milestones in the report of 2019 (for milestones) and in the final implementation report (for targets).

The Programme has a Performance Reserve of 6% of the financial allocation access to which is contingent on meeting the 2018 milestones, or being within allowable tolerances, set out in the Performance Framework.

The Performance Framework consists of financial and output indicators for each Priority, with milestones and targets set for the end of 2018 and for the end of 2023. Achievement against the 2018 milestones determines the allocation of the Performance Reserve.

Table 5 of Section 3.3, which compares the achievements for the end of 2018 with the 2018 milestones, shows that:

Priority 1 – all 2018 milestones met or within allowable tolerances

Priority 2 – all 2018 milestones met or within allowable tolerances

Priority 3 – all 2018 milestones met or within allowable tolerances

Priority 5 - 2018 milestone not met

The output indicator under Priority 5 has not met the 2018 milestone. No formal operation applications were submitted during 2018 for Priority 5, which was a new Priority added as part of the recent Programme modification agreed by the European Commission in October 2018. This will mean a small adjustment of 6% to the funding allocation for this Priority in 2019 (around €1m), which will be reallocated to another Priority in the Programme.

DOCUMENTS

	Document title	Document type	Document date	Local reference	Commission reference	Files	Sent date	Sent By
West	t Wales and the Valleys ESF AIR 2018 Summary	Citizens' summary	27-Jun-2019		Ares(2019)4092964	West Wales and the Valleys ESF AIR 2018 Summary	27-Jun-2019	nlawtoca

LATEST VALIDATION RESULTS

Severity	Code	Message
Info		Implementation report version has been validated