

Re: Swansea Bay request for support for Maternity and Neonatal Review Tue 09/01/2024 19:14

From WG Officer 3 <redaction s40(2)>

To WG Officer 8<redaction s40(2)>; WG Officer 6 <redaction s40(2)> WG Officer 9 <redaction s40(2)>
WG Officer 2 <redaction s40(2)> WG Officer 1 <redaction s40(2)>

Hi WG Officer 8<redaction s40(2)>

Thanks for the heads up.

We were clear at every stage this was their review but we wanted to be sighted on the extent and governance as part of our assurance given the quality and safety concerns. This was by no means any suggestion we would be sharing the cost in any way.

Best wishes

WG Officer 3 <redaction s40(2)>

From: WG Officer 8<redaction s40(2)>

Sent: Tuesday, January 9, 2024 4:54 pm

To: WG Officer 6 <redaction s40(2)> WG Officer 3 <redaction s40(2)> WG Officer 9 <redaction s40(2)>

Subject: FW: Swansea Bay request for support for Maternity and Neonatal Review

TBA – this may be raised tomorrow

WG Officer 8<redaction s40(2)>

From: WG Officer 1 <redaction s40(2)>

Sent: Thursday, December 28, 2023 1:33 PM

To: WG Officer 16 <redaction s40(2)> WG Officer 8<redaction s40(2)> ; WG Officer 17 <redaction s40(2)> WG Officer 2 <redaction s40(2)>

Subject: RE: Swansea Bay request for support for Maternity and Neonatal Review

I am in complete agreement with WG Officer 16 <redaction s40(2)> this comes as a surprise to me from the Health Board given this is their review. Have we given them an indication that we intend to support the review from a financial perspective?

WG Officer 1 <redaction s40(2)>

From:

From WG Officer 16 <redaction s40(2)>

Sent: Friday, December 22, 2023 4:55 PM

Subject: RE: Swansea Bay request for support for Maternity and Neonatal Review

Thanks WG Officer 8<redaction s40(2)>

Happy to discuss in the new year and you're right there is no funding support to provide for this – so I would suggest that the organisation comes up with a detailed plan that considers the genuine requirements that WG agree to (appreciating what SBUHB Officer 2 redaction s40(2) has shared is a very rough early consideration not a plan) and go from there. It'll be a cost for the HB to bear however in my view not WG.

Have a good Christmas and see you in the new year

WG Officer 16 <redaction s40(2)>

From: WG Officer 8<redaction s40(2)>

Sent: Friday, December 22, 2023 4:17 PM

To: WG Officer 17 <redaction s40(2)> WG Officer 1 <redaction s40(2)> WG Officer 2 <redaction s40(2)> WG Officer 16 <redaction s40(2)>

Subject: Swansea Bay request for support for Maternity and Neonatal Review

Hello all

One for us to consider in the New Year, I have already indicated that we do not have any budget but SBUHB Officer 6 redaction s40(2) has sent this in – grateful for any thoughts before I respond

WG Officer 8<redaction s40(2)>

From: SBUHB Officer 6 redaction s40(2)

Sent: Friday, December 22, 2023 3:24 PM

To: WG Officer 8<redaction s40(2)>

Subject: Hello

Good to catch up yesterday WG Officer 8<redaction s40(2)> and will reply to your email to confirm our discussion in due course – but we have been working through the overall cost of the reviews etc. - Just a quick summary of likely costs for the review which is estimated to take 10 months starting in January.

- Engagement lead 40 – 50k (procurement sorting out a contract)
- Clinical Review team – 80 -100k (procurement sorting out a contract)

- Governance/leadership review and project support – awaiting a quote, estimate 60k
- Oversight Panel and legal/project management support – 150k (rough estimate)
- Copying notes Band 4 – not sure on how many hours extra per week. Possibly 5 – 10 hours per week for 12 weeks to copy and paginate all the clinical notes.
- Citizen Voice Body to meet with families – unknown at present.

You noted your position re not being able to support to this degree, but where else could I have the conversation re financial support for this work ?

Would welcome your advice

SBUHB Officer 2 redaction s40(2)