Project Development Overview

Phase Gate 0 to take the project into Phase 1	Phase Gate 1 to take the project into Phase 2a		Phase Gate 2 to take project into Phase 2B		Phase Gate 3 to take project into Phase 3		Final Investment Decision:
Reporting input: Results of the pipeline review and prioritisation summary and	Reporting input: Strategic Outline Case.		Reporting input: Ministerial Advice (MA)		Reporting input: OBC providing clear recommendations on		Reporting input: Full Business Case
individual site Project Initiation Document (PID).	Decisions: a) To commit to work to develop a planning	ing	Decisions: a) To submit planning application and	0	the proposed delivery route and ongoing ownership structure.	ition	Decisions: a) To enter into financial / JV
Decisions: a) To approve spend to allow initial feasibility on	application b) To instruct solicitors to draw up legal documents for	Pre-Planning	complete formal planning process. b) To continue with	Planning	Decisions: a) Delivery options to	ect definition	agreements b) To enter into lea agreement
highest priority sites, b) To apply for and secure grid connections,	and approval to initiate Section 83 process	2a:	statutory and non- statutory stakeholder engagement during the	nase 2b:	proceed with, b) Financing / JV options to proceed	3: Project	c) To appoint turbir supplier and balan of plant contractor
c) The sites to progress with, a) To set up individual project SPVs.	c) To undertake on-site wind monitoring d) To undertake initial non-statutory consultation with	Phase	planning determination period.	Ę	with, c) To start turbine and balance of plant procurement and route	Phase	d) Offtaker arrangement to entinto.
Responsible Party:	stakeholders.				to market.		
Renewable Energy Developer (RED) Board	Responsible Party: Interim Board / Trydan Board		Responsible Party: Trydan Board		Responsible Party: Trydan Board		Responsible Part Trydan Board

Phase 0 - Pre-feasibility

Pipeline review and prioritisation

Workstreams completed for 22 sites

- Review any feasibility work already undertaken (e.g. Arup reports).
- Initial screening (Constraints mapping, planning policy review, initial access review, turbine layout & resource assessment
- Site visits with NRW
- Initial consultation with NRW
- · Initial grid liaison with WPD, SPEN, National Grid
- Project Initiation Documents (PIDs) completed for all 22 sites
- 22 sites entered Phase 1 (Feasibility) with a tiering system prioritizing 5 sites for detailed feasibility

Map removed

Trydan Overview / Tiering

Project name	Scale	Landscape & Visual	Ecology & Ornithology	Cultural Heritage	Residential Properties	Water, Soils & Peat	Aviation & Telecomms	Cumulative	Community & Politics	Planning Prospects	Tier after Phase 0	Comments
[information redacted]	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	26	1	Third party land (access & turbines)
[information redacted]	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	2	2	24	1	Third party land (access)
[information redacted]	3	3	3	3	2	1	2	2	2	21	1	Third party land (access & turbines)
[information redacted]	3	2	3	3	3	2	2	2	2	22	1	Active coal mining risk
[information redacted]	3	2	3	3	3	1	2	2	2	21	1	Significant Peat on-site
[information redacted]	3	2	3	3	3	1	2	3	1	21	1	Significant Peat on-site
[information redacted]	1	1	3	3	1	1	2	1	1	14	1	Within PAA, significant issues
[information redacted]	1	3	3	3	1	3	2	1	2	19	2	Scale/Cumulative
[information redacted]	3	1	3	3	3	2	2	2	2	21	2	Proximity to NP
[information redacted]	3	2	2	2	3	1	2	2	2	19	2	Significant Peat on-site
[information redacted]	3	2	3	3	3	1	2	2	2	21	2	Significant Peat on-site
[information redacted]	3	2	2	2	3	1	2	2	2	19	2	Significant Peat on-site
[information redacted]	3	2	2	2	3	3	2	3	2	22	2	Proximity to NP
[information redacted]	1	3	3	3	2	3	2	2	2	21	3	Scale
[information redacted]	3	2	3	3	3	2	2	2	1	21	3	Recreation
[information redacted]	1	3	3	3	2	3	2	2	2	21	3	Scale
[information redacted]	1	1	3	3	1	1	2	3	2	17	4	Proximity to AONB, scale
[information redacted]	1	2	3	3	2	2	2	3	2	20	4	Scale
[information redacted]	1	3	3	3	1	2	2	3	2	20	4	Scale
[information redacted]	1	1	3	1	1	2	2	1	1	13	4	Scale
[information redacted]	1	2	3	2	1	2	2	2	2	17	4	Scale
[information redacted]	3	2	3	3	1	1	2	3	2	20	4	Significant Peat on-site

Trydan Overview / Tiering

Trydan Conclusion:

Excellent work undertake by RED Programme team. Agree with:

- Tier 1 projects, 2 of which were not subsequently allocated feasibility budget due to planning constraints ([information redacted]) and major grid delay ([information redacted])
- Prioritisation of the 5 sites

Map removed

Phase 1 - Feasibility (Commissioned Studies)

Project	Indicative MW	LPA	Within a PAA?	Commissioned Phase 1 Studies	LPC (NRW board sign off)
				Access (joint with [information redacted]), mining, EMI, peat, and LVIA appraisal	
	Informatio			Access/haulier assessment, topo, airwave	Information Redacted
		On Redacted		Access (joint with [information redacted]), peat, mining, EMI	Redacted
				Access, EMI, and peat.	
				Access, mining, EMI, peat, EYA, and L&V appraisal	

Reflection of phase 1 activities

The project phase gate process under the RED programme is a well-considered project process framework which will be largely followed by Trydan. Key development steps under phase 1 (Feasibility) are:

- 1. Major risk quantification / mitigation (undertake / commission assessments to investigate major risks identified in Phase 0)
- 2. Secure grid connection
- 3. Identify potential 3rd party landowners (for access / project extension) and agree heads of terms.
- 4. Set up project specific Special Purpose Vehicle (SPV)
- 5. Agree heads of terms with NRW
- 6. NRW Land and Property Consultation (LPC)
- 7. Strategic Outline Case for development

Reflection of phase 1 activities

Steps 1, 2 (save for [information redacted]) and 7 are complete (step 3 partially where relevant) for all sites seeking to enter Phase 2a, LPC approval are agenda items for scheduled NRW board meetings. It is important for phase 1 to strike the right balance between detailed feasibility to provide confidence of a project's commercial, financial and consenting viability whilst keeping projects on the critical path for timely planning submissions and decisions. Therefore, not all Steps are necessary under phase 1, for example:

- Step 3 doesn't necessarily require agreed HoTs with 3rd private landowners for access; provided they have been identified and initial positive discussions have taken place projects should be allowed to enter Phase 2a (note: this would be a very challenging ask for [information redacted] projects where multiple access agreements are required)
- Step 4, this can be an early Phase 2a activity
- Step 5, the intention is to agree a standard set of commercial Heads of Terms with NRW, when HoTs are agreed this step will be complete under Phase 0 for new projects
- Step 6, before this step is complete there should be agreement that seasonal, time critical, surveys can commence on-site, especially considering the likely need for 2 years' worth of bird survey data.

Phase 1 - Feasibility (Grid Status)

Site	Secured capacity	Comments
		BEGA forms submitted [information redacted].
Infor		Trydan considering a revised G99 for submission to [information redacted], based on [information redacted], should this progress the BEGA application will be formally withdrawn.
	mation Redacted	MPS/Project Progression forms returned to [information redacted] [information redacted]
	acte	Feasibility work ([information redacted]) due to commence shortly.
		Variation to Contract signed [information redacted] Notified [information redacted] for site to be include in Project Progression Process ([information redacted])

^{*} Contingent on new GSP in '[information redacted]' to be completed by [information redacted], however existing circuits off [information redacted] will need to be transferred onto the new GSP before Trydan projects can connect.

Priority 1 Site Locations and Programming

Map removed

 Priority 1 sites represent a wide geographic spread of initial projects and is in-line with Trydan's resource capacity. A wide geographic spread of initial projects allows Trydan to engage with diverse communities to establish individual community needs for optimal social, economic and environmental creation. Map removed

• 2-year bird survey programmes to be

commissioned at earliest opportunity across all sites in order to keep projects on the critical path, allowing flexibility of phasing/resourcing in event of unforeseen issues, [information redacted] survey window (Breeding) or [information redacted]

survey window (Wintering), subject to resourcing

and obtaining any third-party access rights