

**From:** Longford, Amy (ECWL - Cadw) <[REDACTED]@gov.wales>  
**Sent:** 04 June 2025 16:44  
**To:** Henderson, Aimee (ECWL - Cadw) <[REDACTED]@gov.wales>; Roberts, Kathryn (ECWL - Cadw) <[REDACTED]@gov.wales>  
**Subject:** RE: Corbett Arms: Request for urgent meeting with SAVE and structural engineer

Hi Aimee,

Agree, despite wanting to engage where we can, I agree this does cross over the line now the application is in. There is nothing to stop SAVE engaging directly with the LPA. You could also mention to SAVE, they already know that they can of course submit a full response directly to the LPA as part of the consultation on the LBC, the LPA would then have to record this and take this into account when determining the application.

Amy

**From:** Henderson, Aimee (ECWL - Cadw) <[REDACTED]@gov.wales>  
**Sent:** 04 June 2025 11:17  
**To:** Roberts, Kathryn (ECWL - Cadw) <[REDACTED]@gov.wales>; Longford, Amy (ECWL - Cadw) <[REDACTED]@gov.wales>  
**Subject:** FW: Corbett Arms: Request for urgent meeting with SAVE and structural engineer  
**Importance:** High

Morning both,

Please see below for a request for a meeting re. the LBC application for the Corbett Arms.

Amy – this leads on from our discussion about this yesterday in that I’m not sure whether we are able to contribute to this meeting now that the LBC has been submitted under the Council’s delegated powers, as we cannot risk prejudicing a potential call-in to the Minister’s by formally commenting on the LBC.

My instinct is that the Teams meeting as outlined by Lydia below, would cross the line into “commenting on the merits of the application” as described in Kate’s letter outlining our position to Gwynedd last month (attached for reference).

Your opinions on whether we can attend or if not, what is the most appropriate response to send to SAVE would be welcome.

Many thanks,  
Aimee.